potential exposure using an anticipated residue of 0.5 ppm, would 1.7 x 10^{-8}.

The Agency has used a linearized model to estimate the carcinogenic risk associated with chlorothalonil, whereas ISK Biosciences believes that a threshold based model is appropriate. Using the overestimated exposure estimates of EPA, with a threshold based model and using the conservative RfD of 0.018 mg/kg bwt/day, the margin of safety for the general population would exceed 10,000 and the margin of safety for infants and children would exceed 7,000. Using corrected exposure estimates would obviously yield larger margins of exposure. Using a conservative RfD of 0.018 mg/kg/day, as the Agency has done in recent DRES analyses, and incorporating corrections needed in exposure values for mushrooms and several other lesser corrections, ISK Biosciences Corporation calculated the overall dietary exposure to ''anticipated residues'' of chlorothalonil from all registered uses and pending uses of chlorothalonil to be 0.36% of the RfD for the general U.S. population.

Because the worst case assumption for human exposure from drinking water indicate that exposure would be only 1% of the dietary exposure, the risk assessment is not significantly altered by considering the exposure from drinking water.

2. *Infants and children*. There is a complete data base for chlorothalonil which includes pre- and post-natal developmental toxicity data as well as mechanistic data related to the rodent specific nephrotoxicity observed in subchronic and chronic studies. The toxicological effects of chlorothalonil in rodents are well understood. Chlorothalonil has a low level of toxicity in dogs.

In a two-generation reproduction study in rats, all reproductive parameters investigated showed no treatment-related effects except pup weight gain. Specifically, the weights of pups exposed to chlorothalonil were comparable to controls at parturition through day 4 of lactation. It was only after day 4 of lactation, when the pups begin to consume the test diet, that body weight gain lags behind controls. This only occurred at the highest dose tested; 3,000 ppm. The dose of chlorothalonil the pups would receive would be far in excess of the estimated adult dose of 150 mg/kg bwt/day (3,000 ppm divided by 20). The doses for the pups could have easily exceeded 500 mg/kg bwt/day. Dose levels of 375 mg/kg bwt and above have been shown to significantly affect body weight in the rat. Therefore, the reduction of body weight gain observed in the reproduction study is considered to be comparable to the effects that have been observed in older rats. The NOEL for this effect was 1,500 ppm.

In developmental toxicity studies conducted in the rat and the rabbit, chlorothalonil did not cause any developmental effects even at dose levels that produced significant maternal toxicity. In the rabbit a dose level of 20 mg/kg bwt caused maternal toxicity, but there were no developmental effects and in the rat, a dose level of 400 mg/kg bwt caused maternal toxicity without developmental toxicity.

The extensive data base that is available for chlorothalonil is devoid of any indication that chlorothalonil would represent any unusual or disproportionate hazard to infants or children. Therefore, there is no need to impose an additional 10x safety factor for infants or children. The standard uncertainty factor of 100x should be used for all segments of the human population when calculating risks associated with chlorothalonil.

F. International Tolerances

There is currently no maximum residue level set for chlorothalonil on non-bell peppers by the Codex Alimentarius Commission.

II. Public Record

A record has been established for this notice under docket control number [PF–726] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of the record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:

opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this rulemaking, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official rulemaking record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official rulemaking record is the paper record maintained at the address in **ADDRESSES** at the beginning of this document.

List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: March 24, 1997.

Stephen L. Johnson,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 97–8388 Filed 4–1–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

March 25, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarify of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments June 2, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commissions, Room

234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060–####.

Title: Section 90.176 Coordination notification requirements on frequencies below 512 MHz.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit.

Number of Respondents: 15.

Estimated Time Per Response: .25 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 975 hours.

Total Annual Cost: 0.

Needs and Uses: The reporting requirement in 90.176 is a result of comments sought in the Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rule Making in PR Dck No. 92–235 and requires each Private Land Mobile frequency coordinator provide, within one business day, a listing of their frequency recommendations to all other frequency coordinators in their respective pool, and, if requested, an engineering analyses. This requirement is necessary to avoid situations where harmful interference is created because two or more coordinators recommend the same frequency in the same area at approximately the same time to different applicants.

OMB Approval Number: 3060–0051.

Title: Application for Renewal of Ship/Aircraft Radio Station License.

Form No.: FCC 405B.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Individuals, State or Local Governments, Business or other For-Profit, Non-profit institutions.

Number of Respondents: 10,500.

Estimated Time Per Response: 10 minutes.

Total Annual Burden: 1,743 hours.

Needs and Uses: This form is used to verify existence of a station and to renew a license authorization when there are no changes or only certain minor changes to administrative data. FCC Rules require a Ship or Aircraft radio station license to be renewed every ten years. The Commission will use the information collected to update the existing database and to issue a renewed authorization.

The form is required by the Communications Act; International Treaties and FCC Rules—47 CFR Parts 1.922,1.926, 80.19 and 87.21.

During the last OMB cycle, the Commission separated the Ship and Aircraft renewal form into two separate collections, 3060–0051 and 3060–0615(FCC Form 405S), due to the large quantity of applications received. Since that submission, the Commission adopted a Report and Order to de-license the majority of Ship and Aircraft stations. Therefore, we are re-combining the Ship and Aircraft collections as 3060–0051(FCC Form 405B) and will let the collection for 3060–0615 expire. The number of respondents and burden have been adjusted accordingly due to the de-licensing and re-combining of the collections.

A space for the applicant to provide an Internet address is being added to the form. This will provide an additional option of reaching the applicant should the FCC have any questions concerning the application. In addition, the Commission is required to collect the Taxpayer Identification Number to comply with the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–8343 Filed 4–1–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

Notice of Public Information Collections Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

March 26, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarify of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments June 2, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., N.W., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202–418–0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval Number: 3060–####.

Title: Compliance and Information Customer Satisfaction Survey.

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New collection.

Respondents: Individuals or households; business or other for-profit; not-for-profit institutions; federal, state, local or tribal government(s).

Number of Respondents: 1,800.

Estimated Time Per Response: 5 minutes.

Total Annual Burden: 150 hours.

Total Annual Cost: 0.

Needs and Uses: The FCC Compliance and Information Bureau in order to assess their customer stasfaction programs is develping customer survey(s) on how they are handling their customer complaints, inquiries and requests for information. This survey will ensure that CIB is in compliance with the Commission's customer satisfaction mandate. This survey will measure response time, customer statisfaction and CIB outreach programs. The data will be used to plan future outreach programs and target areas of needed employee training.

OMB Number: 3060–0604.

Title: Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act, Competitive Bidding, Third Report and Order and Third Memorandum Opinion and Order and Further NPRM.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Form Number: N/A.

Respondents: Individuals; State or local governments; Businesses or other for-profit; Small businesses or organizations.

Number of Respondents: 10–17,770.

Estimated Time Per Response: .50 to 20 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 45,654 hours.

Needs and Uses: Collection of information is required so that the Commission can determine whether