

Southern relates that it seeks to abandon approximately 20 miles of pipeline in Louisiana. As described in its application, Southern wants to abandon certain portions of its 10-inch Loisel Field Line in Iberia and St. Martin Parishes, Louisiana, and portions of its 4-inch Loisel Field McCarter Line and its 8-inch Iberia Field Line in Iberia Parish, Louisiana. Southern also requests permission to abandon five receiving stations in Iberia Parish, Louisiana: The Loisel Field McCarter Receiving Station, the Loisel Field Emerald Oil Receiving Station, the Iberia Field Receiving Station, the Fausse Point-Cities Service Receiving Station, and the Fausse Point-Sun Gas Company Receiving Station.

Southern explains that it is no longer economical for it to maintain the facilities in view of the minimal gas production that is received by the facilities for transportation; the sale of the facilities will lower Southern's long-term costs by reducing its operating and maintenance costs, fuel and gas loss, and capital expenditures for upgrading of lines and receiving stations; and all of Southern's gas purchase contracts in the Iberia and Loisel Fields have terminated. Southern says that Shoreham wants to purchase the facilities to connect new sources of supply to its pipeline system and will, upon purchase, connect the facilities to an existing Shoreham pipeline in the area of the facilities and will disconnect the facilities from Southern's system.

Southern indicates that Shoreham will pay a purchase price of \$50,000 for the facilities. Southern states that the proposed abandonment by sale to Shoreham will not affect the capacity of Southern's pipeline system. Southern relates that Acadiana Gas Systems, Inc., who owns and operates production facilities connected to the Fausse Point-Sun Gas Company Receiving Station, is the only producer on the facilities Southern proposes to abandon. Southern asserts that, after the abandonment, this producer may continue to flow gas volumes from its production facilities by accessing Shoreham's pipeline system.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before April 17, 1997, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by

it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in any proceeding herein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, or if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Southern to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-8317 Filed 4-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP97-137-044]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Compliance Filing

March 27, 1997.

Take notice that on March 24, 1997 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission its proposal to comply with the January 23, 1997 "Order on Remand" regarding interruptible transportation revenue credits.

As background to the instant filing, on May 3, 1993, Transco filed a settlement of its Section 4 rate case in Docket Nos. RP97-137 *et al.* (Agreement). The Agreement included, among other things, a mechanism to credit 90% of excess interruptible transportation revenue to firm shippers, GSS customers and interruptible shippers paying maximum rates. On November 4, 1993 (November 4 Order) the Commission modified and approved the Agreement such that the excess interruptible transportation revenue was

to be refunded only to firm customers. In compliance with the November 4 Order, on May 31, 1994, Transco refunded approximately \$17.8 million, including interest, to its firm customers (Excess IT Refund).

Various parties appealed the November 4 Order's exclusion of interruptible shippers from participation in the Excess IT Refund. Subsequently, the United States Court of Appeals granted the Commission's motion for a voluntary remand of this issue. The January 23 Order rescinded the Commission's prior modification of the Agreement and approved the Agreement's provisions concerning interruptible transportation credits. Transco was directed to make a filing, within 60 days of the January 23 Order (i.e., on or before March 24, 1997), to implement the Commission's decision.

Transco states that the instant filing is to submit a plan to restore parties to the position they would have been in if this legal error had not occurred.

Transco states that it is serving copies of the instant filing to its customers, State Commissions and other interested parties in Docket No. RP92-137.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before April 3, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-8322 Filed 4-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER97-2003-000]

WWP Resource Services, Inc.; Notice of Filing

March 27, 1997.

Take notice that on February 26, 1997, WWP Resource Services, Inc., notified the Commission of a change in its name from WWP Resource Services, Inc. to Avista Energy, Inc. WWP Resource Services, Inc. filed amended Articles of Incorporation with the Washington Secretary of State on February 14, 1997 and indicates that future filings with the Commission, consistent with the change