

4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48c) in connection with the commodity proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following commodity is hereby added to the Procurement List:

Plug, Ear, Hearing Protection
6515-00-137-6345

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

E.R. Alley, Jr.,

Deputy Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 97-7930 Filed 3-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Nevada Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Nevada Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 10:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 p.m. on April 18, 1997, at the Office of Margo Piscevich, 350 South Center Street, Suite 300, Reno, Nevada 89509. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss civil rights issues.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Margo Piscevich, 702-329-0958, or Philip Montez, Director of the Western Regional Office, 213-894-3437 (TDD 213-894-3435). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, March 20, 1997.

Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 97-7896 Filed 3-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Washington Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and

regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Washington Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9:30 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 p.m., on April 23, 1997, at the Westin Hotel, 1900 Fifth Street, Seattle, Washington 98101. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss ongoing civil rights concerns and welfare reform monitoring.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson William Wassmuth, 206-233-0611, or Philip Montez, Director of the Western Regional Office, 213-894-3437 (TDD 213-894-3435). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, March 20, 1997.

Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 97-7897 Filed 3-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-427-812]

Calcium Aluminate Flux From France; Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On February 4, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the final results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on calcium aluminate flux (CA flux) from France (62 FR 5200). The period of review is June 15, 1994 through May 31, 1995. On February 18, 1997, the sole respondent, Lafarge Aluminates, and its U.S. subsidiary, Lafarge Calcium Aluminates, Inc. (collectively, Lafarge) filed a timely request that the Department correct a ministerial error in these final results. We are publishing this amendment to the final results of review in accordance with 19 CFR 353.28(c).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 28, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maureen McPhillips or Linda Ludwig, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 8, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3019 or (202) 482-3833, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the Tariff Act are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of CA Flux, other than white, high purity CA flux. This product contains by weight more than 32 percent but less than 65 percent alumina and more than one percent each of iron and silica.

CA flux is currently classified under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheading 2523.10.0000. The HTSUS is provided for convenience and U.S. Customs' purposes only. The written description of the scope of this order remains dispositive. This review covers the period June 15, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

Ministerial Error in Final Results of Review

After reviewing Lafarge's allegation of a ministerial error in the Department's final results of CA flux from France, we agree that misplaced parentheses in the computer program resulted in the failure to multiply the per-unit U.S. cost of manufacture (COM) by the quantity when calculating the U.S. cost of goods sold (COGS) to derive profit based on the total costs, total revenues, and total expenses for all subject merchandise during the period of review. The intent of the Department was clearly to include total, not per-unit, revenue, costs and expenses in the profit calculation as we did for revenue, and all components of selling expenses and movement charges. For these amended final results we have multiplied all components of the COGS, including the COM, by the quantity in order to correctly include the total COGS in the calculation of profit.