

Western Area Power Administration**Proposed Rates for Central Valley and California-Oregon Transmission Projects; Correction**

AGENCY: Western Area Power Administration, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rates; correction.

SUMMARY: The Western Area Power Administration published a document in the Federal Register of March 4, 1997, proposing rates for Central Valley Project and California-Oregon Transmission Project. The document contains an incorrect date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debbie Dietz, Rates Manager, Sierra Nevada Region, Western Area Power Administration, 114 Parkshore Drive, Folsom, CA 95630-4710, (916) 353-4453.

Correction

In the Federal Register issue of March 4, 1997, in FR Doc. 97-5256, on page 9763, in the third column, correct the **DATES** caption to read:

DATES: The consultation and comment period will begin from the date of publication of this Federal Register notice and will end June 2, 1997. A public information forum at which Western will present a detailed explanation of the Proposed Rates is scheduled for March 25, 1997, beginning at 9 a.m. PST, at the Sierra Nevada Region, Western Area Power Administration, 114 Parkshore Drive, Folsom, CA 95630-4710. A public comment forum at which Western will receive oral and written comments is scheduled for April 24, 1997, beginning at 9 a.m. PDT, at the same location. Western should receive written comments by the end of the consultation and comment period to be assured consideration.

Issued in Washington, D.C. March 11, 1997.

Joel K. Bladow,

Assistant Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97-6583 Filed 3-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION**SES Performance Review Board Members**

March 11, 1997.

AGENCY: Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the names of the members of the SES Performance Review Board of EEOC for FY 1996 and 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia Cornwell Johnson, Director, Human Resources Management Services, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, 1801 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20507, (202) 663-4306.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to the requirement of Section 4314(c)(1), Chapter 43 Title 5 U.S.C., membership of the SES Performance Review Board is as follows: Ms. Ronnie Blumenthal, Director, Office of Federal Operations, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (Chairperson); Mr. Spencer H. Lewis, Director, New York District Office, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Mr. Federico Costales, Director, Miami District Office, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; Ms. Issie Jenkins, Director, Baltimore District Office, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (Alternate). Signed at Washington, D.C. on this 5th day of March 1997.

For the Commission,
Gilbert F. Casellas,
Chairman.

[FR Doc. 97-6537 Filed 3-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-06-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**Notice of Public Information Collections being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission**

March 10, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commissions, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility;

(b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarify of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should submit comments May 16, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commissions, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to dconway@fcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Dorothy Conway at 202-418-0217 or via internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
OMB Approval Number: 3060-0565.

Title: Section 76.944 Commission review of franchising authority decisions on rates for the basic service tier and associated equipment.

Type of Review: Extension of existing collection.

Respondents: Business or other for-profit; state and local governments.

Number of Respondents: 300. (150 cable operators + 150 LFAs).

Estimated Time Per Response: 2-30 hours.

Total Annual Burden: 5,400 hours estimated as follows: We estimate that approximately 150 appeals are filed annually. For all aspects of the filing process (including appeals, oppositions and replies), we estimate that cable operators spend an average of 30 hours on each filing and that local franchising authorities spend an average of 20 hours on each filing.

We estimate that cable operators will use in-house legal staff to file requests for appeals approximately 50% of the time, therefore using outside legal assistance 50% of the time. When using outside legal assistance, operators are estimated to undergo a burden of 2 hours per filing to coordinate information with the outside legal assistance. 75 cable operators x 30 hours for in-house filings = 2,250. 75 cable operators x 2 hours for filings done by outside legal assistance = 150. 150 LFAs x 20 hours for each filing = 3,000. Total burden = 2,250 + 150 + 3,000 = 5,400 hours.

Cost to Respondents: We estimate the postage and stationery costs incurred by parties for appeal case to be \$10 per party (\$20 per case). 150 x \$20 = \$3,000. We estimate that cable operators