

Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 [P.L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35]. Copies of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the National Endowment for the Arts' Director of Guidelines & Panel Operations, A.B. Spellman [(202) 682-5421]. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call (202) 682-5496 between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Comments should be sent to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, *Attn:* OMB Desk Officer for the National Endowment for the Arts, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 [(202) 395-7316], within 30 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Endowment request the review of all of its funding application guidelines. This entry is issued by the Endowment and contains the following information: (1) The title of the form; (2) how often the required information must be reported; (3) who will be required or asked to report; (4) what the form will be used for; (5) an estimate of the number of responses; (6) the average burden hours per response; (7) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to prepare the form. This entry is not subject to 44 U.S.C. § 3504(h).

*Agency:* National Endowment for the Arts.

*Title:* Blanket Justification for NEA Funding Application Guidelines FY 1998-FY 2001.

*OMB Number:* 3135-0112.

*Frequency:* Annually.

*Affected Public:* Nonprofit organizations, state and local arts agencies, and individuals.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 5,000.

*Estimated Time Per Respondent:* 19.29 hours.

*Total Burden Hours:* 96,450.

*Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs:* 0.

*Total Annual Costs (Operating/Maintaining Systems or Purchasing Services):* 0.

*Description:* Guideline instructions and applications elicit relevant information from individuals, nonprofit organizations, and state and local arts agencies that apply for funding from the NEA. Current Endowment categories include, but are not limited to: Grants to Organizations, Partnership Agreements, Literature Fellowships, American Jazz Masters, National Heritage Fellowships in the Folk & Traditional Arts, and Leadership Initiatives (including Millennium). This information is necessary for the accurate, fair and thorough consideration of competing proposals in the review process.

**ADDRESSES:** A.B. Spellman, National Endowment for the Arts, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Room 516, Washington, DC 20506-0001, telephone (202) 682-5421 (this is not a toll-free number), fax (202) 682-5049.

Murray Welsh,

*Director, Administrative Services, National Endowment for the Arts.*

[FR Doc. 97-5538 Filed 3-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7537-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-348 and 50-364]

### Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-2 and NPF-8, issued to Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Houston County, Alabama.

The proposed amendments would revise and clarify surveillance requirements for the Control Room

Emergency Filtration System, the Penetration Room Filtration System, and the Containment Purge Exhaust Filter System.

This requested Technical Specification (TS) change is a followup to a Notice of Enforcement Discretion (NOED) granted to the licensee that is in effect for the period from 1:27 p.m. Eastern Standard Time on February 26, 1997, until approval of this exigent TS request and full implementation of the amendments within 30 days of its issuance. NRC Inspection Manual, Part 9900, "Operations—Notices of Enforcement Discretion," requires that a followup TS amendment be issued within 4 weeks from the issuance of the NOED.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the requested amendments involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed changes to convert from ANSI N510-1980 to ASME N510-1989 for specific FNP [Farley Nuclear Plant] filtration surveillance testing requirements do not affect the probability of any accident occurring. The consequences of any accident will not be affected since the proposed change will continue to ensure that appropriate and required surveillance testing for FNP filtration systems will be performed. Relocating specific testing requirements to the FNP FSAR [Final Safety Analysis Report] has no effect on the probability or consequences of any accident previously evaluated since required testing will continue to be performed.

Therefore, the proposed TS changes do not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. The proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

Testing differences between ANSI N510-1980 and ASME N510-1989 have been evaluated by SNC [Southern Nuclear Operating Company, Inc.] and none of the proposed changes have the potential to create an accident at FNP. ANSI N510-1989 has been endorsed and approved by the NRC for licensee use in NUREG 1431. No new system design or testing configuration is being proposed that could create the possibility of any new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated. Relocating specific testing requirements to the FSAR has no effect on the possibility of creating a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated since it is an administrative change in nature.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

3. The proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

Conversion from the testing requirements of ANSI N510-1980 sections 5, 8, and 14 to ASME N510-1989 sections 5, 8, and 14 has been previously approved by the NRC at other nuclear facilities. ASME N510-1989 has been approved and endorsed by the NRC in NUREG 1431. Relocating specific testing requirements to the FSAR has no effect on the margin of plant safety since required testing will continue to be performed. Therefore, SNC concludes based on the above, that the proposed changes do not result in a significant reduction of margin with respect to plant safety as defined in the Final Safety Analysis Report or the bases of the FNP technical specifications.

Therefore, the proposed changes do not involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 14 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 14-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 14-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the

amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish in the Federal Register a notice of issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

Written comments may be submitted by mail to the Chief, Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and should cite the publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. Written comments may also be delivered to Room 6D22, Two White Flint North, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland, from 7:30 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. Federal workdays. Copies of written comments received may be examined at the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC.

The filing of requests for hearing and petitions for leave to intervene is discussed below.

By April 7, 1997, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written request for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene. Requests for a hearing and a petition for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. Interested persons should consult a current copy of 10 CFR 2.714 which is available at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Houston-Love Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, Post Office Box 1369, Dothan, Alabama. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition; and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and

how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than 15 days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter. Each contention must consist of a specific statement of the issue of law or fact to be raised or controverted. In addition, the petitioner shall provide a brief explanation of the bases of the contention and a concise statement of the alleged facts or expert opinion which support the contention and on which the petitioner intends to rely in proving the contention at the hearing.

The petitioner must also provide references to those specific sources and documents of which the petitioner is aware and on which the petitioner intends to rely to establish those facts or expert opinion. Petitioner must provide sufficient information to show that a genuine dispute exists with the applicant on a material issue of law or fact. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. The contention must be one which, if proven, would entitle the petitioner to relief. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to

present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If the amendment is issued before the expiration of the 30-day hearing period, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. If a hearing is requested, the final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it immediately effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, Attention: Docketing and Services Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last 10 days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at 1-(800) 248-5100 (in Missouri 1-(800) 342-6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number N1023 and the following message addressed to Herbert N. Berkow: petitioner's name and telephone number, date petition was mailed, plant name, and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, and to M. Stanford Blanton, Esq., Balch and Bingham, Post Office Box 306, 1710 Sixth Avenue North, Birmingham, Alabama 35201, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the presiding Atomic Safety and Licensing Board that the petition and/or request should be granted based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated February 24, 1997,

which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room, located at the Houston-Love Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, Post Office Box 1369, Dothan, Alabama.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of February 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Jacob I. Zimmerman,  
*Project Manager, Project Directorate II-2,  
Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of  
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 97-5507 Filed 2-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### Industry Presentation on the Fabrication of Mixed Oxide Fuel

**AGENCY:** Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Meeting notice.

**SUMMARY:** Representatives from the nuclear industry will be making presentations relating to the fabrication of mixed oxide (MOX) fuel for use in commercial light nuclear reactors. This meeting is a follow-up to the February 21, 1997, meeting where NEI presented material concerning the use of MOX fuel in nuclear reactors. The meeting is open to the public, and all interested parties may attend.

**DATES:** Wednesday, March 26, 1997, from 8:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Two White Flint North, Auditorium, 11545 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland. (Note: The NRC is accessible to the White Flint Metro Station; visitor parking around the NRC building is limited.)

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Vanice A. Perin, Mail Stop T-8-A-33, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. *Phone:* 301-415-8143; *FAX:* 301-415-5390; *INTERNET:* VAP@NRC.GOV. For material related to the meeting please contact U.S. NRC Public Affairs Office at (301) 415-8200 after March 26, 1997.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 14, 1997, the Department of Energy issued the Record of Decision (ROD) on the Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials. One of DOE's approaches to dispose of the surplus plutonium is to burn it as MOX fuel in existing domestic commercial reactors.

The Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) has requested the opportunity to present information on the use and fabrication of MOX fuel for nuclear reactors to NRC

staff. This meeting is a follow-up to the February 21, 1997, meeting where NEI presented material concerning the use of MOX fuel in nuclear reactors. A preliminary agenda for the meeting is as follows: (1) Technology Confirmation Around the World, presented by the National Laboratories; (2) MOX Fabrication and Licensing Experience, presented by British Nuclear Fuels, Inc.; (3) MOX Fabrication and Licensing Experience, presented by Belgonucleaire; (4) MOX Fabrication and Licensing Experience, presented by Cogema; and (5) MOX Fabrication and Licensing Experience, presented by Siemens.

Attendees are requested to notify Ms. Vanice A. Perin at 301-415-8143 of their planned attendance if special requirements (e.g., for the hearing-impaired) are necessary.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of February, 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,  
Elizabeth Q. Ten Eyck,  
*Division Director, Division of Fuel Cycle  
Safety and Safeguards.*

[FR Doc. 97-5508 Filed 3-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

### RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

#### Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Railroad Retirement Board has submitted the following proposal(s) for the collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval.

#### SUMMARY OF PROPOSAL(S):

(1) *Collection title:* Voluntary Customer Surveys in Accordance with E.O. 12862.

(2) *Form(s) submitted:* G-201, Web-Site Survey.

(3) *OMB Number:* N/A.

(4) *Expiration date of current OMB clearance:* N/A.

(5) *Type of request:* New collection.

(6) *Respondents:* Individuals or households, business or other for profit.

(7) *Estimated annual number of respondents:* 11,550.

(8) *Total annual responses:* 11,550.

(9) *Total annual reporting hours:* 1,043.

(10) *Collection description:* The Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will utilize voluntary customer surveys to ascertain customer satisfaction with the RRB in terms of timeliness, appropriateness, access, and other