

to accomplish the proposed initial inspection, and that the average labor rate is approximately \$60 an hour. Parts to accomplish the repetitive inspections cost approximately \$100 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$110,000. These figures do not take into account the cost of repetitive inspections. The FAA has no way of determining how many repetitive inspections each owner/operator may incur over the life of the airplane.

In addition, AD 96-12-03 currently requires the same inspections as the proposed AD for all 500 of the affected airplanes. The only difference is that newly manufactured airplanes would be exempt from the actions because they have modified aft lower fuselage wing attach fittings incorporated at manufacture. Therefore, the cost impact of the proposed AD for operators of all affected airplanes is the same as AD 96-12-03.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part

39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13, is amended by removing Airworthiness Directive (AD) 96-12-03, Amendment 39-9645, and by adding a new AD to read as follows:

Aviat Aircraft, Inc.: Docket No. 96-CE-23-AD. Revises AD 96-12-03, Amendment 39-9645.

Applicability: The following airplane models and serial numbers, certificated in any category, that are equipped with aft lower fuselage wing attach fittings incorporating part number (P/N) 76090, 2-2107-1, or 1-210-102, and where these aft lower fuselage wing attach fittings on both wings have not been modified in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Aviat Service Bulletin (SB) No. 25, dated April 3, 1996, Revised November 12, 1996; or Aviat SB No. 25, dated April 3, 1996:

—Models S-1S, S-1T, S-2, S-2A, and S-2S airplanes, all serial numbers.

—Model S-2B airplanes, serial numbers 5000 through 5348.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (e) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required initially within the next 50 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished (compliance with AD 96-12-03), and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 50 hours TIS.

To prevent possible in-flight separation of the wing from the airplane caused by a cracked aft lower fuselage wing attach fitting, accomplish the following:

(a) Inspect the aft lower fuselage wing attach fitting on both wings for cracks in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Aviat SB No. 25, dated April 3, 1996, Revised November 12, 1996; or Aviat SB No. 25, dated April 3, 1996.

(b) If any cracked aft lower fuselage wing attach fitting is found during any inspection required by this AD, prior to further flight, modify the cracked aft lower fuselage wing attach fitting in accordance with the

ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Aviat SB No. 25, dated April 3, 1996, Revised November 12, 1996; or Aviat SB No. 25, dated April 3, 1996. Repetitive inspections are no longer necessary on an aft lower fuselage wing attachment fitting that was found cracked and has the referenced modification incorporated.

(c) Modifying the aft lower fuselage wing attach fitting on both wings in accordance with the ACCOMPLISHMENT INSTRUCTIONS section of Aviat SB No. 25, dated April 3, 1996, Revised November 12, 1996; or Aviat SB No. 25, dated April 3, 1996, is considered terminating action for the repetitive inspection requirement of this AD.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the initial or repetitive compliance times that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Denver Aircraft Certification Office, 26805 E. 68th Avenue, Room 214, Denver, Colorado 80249. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Denver ACO. Alternative methods of compliance approved in accordance with AD 96-12-03 are considered approved for this AD.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Denver ACO.

(f) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the service bulletin referred to herein upon request to Aviat Aircraft, Inc., P.O. Box 1240 (postal service delivery), 672 South Washington Street (express mail), Afton, Wyoming 83110; or may examine this service bulletin at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

(g) This amendment revises AD 96-12-03, Amendment 39-9645. Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 24, 1997.

Michael Gallagher,
Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97-5470 Filed 3-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 97-NM-17-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation Model G-159 (G-I) Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the superseding of an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Gulfstream Model G-159 (G-I) airplanes, that currently requires repetitive inspections to detect cracks and loose rivets in the forward brackets for the main landing gear (MLG) uplock beam assembly, and replacement of the brackets, if necessary. This action would require the installation of redesigned brackets that preclude the potential for cracking and loose rivets; when accomplished, this installation would constitute terminating action for the currently required inspections. This proposal is prompted by the development of an installation that will positively address the identified unsafe condition. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent failure of the bracket for the MLG uplock beam assembly due to cracking and loose rivets; such failure could result in the inability to retract the MLG.

DATES: Comments must be received by April 14, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-17-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation, Technical Operations Department, P.O. Box 2206, M/S D-10, Savannah, Georgia 31402-2206. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christina Marsh, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ACE-117A, FAA, Small Airplane Directorate, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, Campus Building, 1701 Columbia Avenue, Suite 2-160, College Park, Georgia 30337-2748; telephone (404) 305-7362; fax (404) 305-7348.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date

for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 97-NM-17-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 97-NM-17-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

In 1966, the FAA issued AD 66-10-03, amendment 39-222 (31 FR 5660, April 12, 1966), applicable to certain Gulfstream Model G-159 airplanes, to require repetitive dye penetrant and visual inspections to detect cracks and loose rivets in the forward brackets of the main landing gear (MLG) uplock beam assembly, and replacement of the brackets, if necessary.

That action was prompted by reports of cracks and loose rivets found in brackets having part number (P/N) 159W10150-51/52. These conditions were attributed to elongated rivet holes.

The requirements of that AD are intended to prevent such cracking and loose rivets, which could lead to the failure of the bracket. Failure of the bracket of the MLG uplock beam assembly could result in the inability to retract the MLG.

Actions Since Issuance of Previous Rule

As part of its on-going program to address issues relevant to the continued operational safety of the aging transport fleet, the FAA, along with Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation and several U.S. and non-U.S. operators of the affected airplanes, agreed to undertake the task of identifying and implementing procedures to ensure the continuing

structural airworthiness of aging commuter-class airplanes. This group reviewed selected customer bulletins and aircraft service changes, applicable to Gulfstream Model G-159 (G-I) airplanes, to be recommended for mandatory rulemaking action to ensure the continued operational safety of these airplanes.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

The group reviewed and recommended Part II of Grumman Gulfstream Service Change No. 179, dated March 15, 1966, for mandatory regulatory action. (Part I of that service change describes procedures for repetitive inspections to detect cracks and loose rivets in the forward brackets of the MLG uplock beam assembly. Those procedures were mandated by AD 66-10-03.) Part II of the service change describes procedures for replacing the uplock beam support brackets (angles) with brackets of an improved design and having P/N 159W10150-71 and -72. Installation of these improved brackets eliminates the need for the repetitive inspections.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other products of this same type design, the proposed AD would supersede AD 66-10-03. It would continue to require repetitive dye penetrant and visual inspections to detect cracks and loose rivets in the forward brackets of the main landing gear (MLG) uplock beam assembly, and replacement of the brackets, if necessary. This new action also would require that the currently-installed brackets be replaced with the improved brackets. Once this replacement is accomplished, the previously required inspections may be terminated. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service change described previously.

FAA's Determination for the Need to Mandate the Replacement

The FAA has determined that long term continued operational safety will be better assured by design changes to remove the source of the problem, rather than by repetitive inspections. Long term inspections may not be providing the degree of safety assurance necessary for the transport airplane fleet. This, coupled with a better understanding of the human factors associated with numerous continual inspections, has led the FAA to consider placing less emphasis on inspections and more

emphasis on design improvements. The proposed replacement requirement is in consonance with these considerations.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 146 Gulfstream Model G-159 airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 72 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD.

The inspections that are currently required by AD 66-10-03 take approximately 2 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the currently required actions on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$8,640, or \$120 per airplane, per inspection.

The terminating replacement that is proposed in this AD action would take approximately 12 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts would cost approximately \$425 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed requirements of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$82,440, or \$1,145 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the current or proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the

location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39-222 (31 FR 5660, April 12, 1966), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

Gulfstream Aerospace Corporation (formerly Grumman): Docket 97-NM-17-AD.
Supersedes AD 66-10-03, Amendment 39-222.

Applicability: Model G-159 (G-I) airplanes; serial numbers (S/N) 1 through 12 inclusive, 14 through 83 inclusive, and 114; on which main landing gear uplock beam support brackets (angles) having part numbers (P/N) 159W10150-71 and -72 are not installed; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d)(1) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent failure of the brackets for the main landing gear (MLG) uplock beam assembly due to cracking and loose rivets, which could result in the inability to retract the MLG, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 50 hours time-in-service after April 12, 1966 (the effective date of AD 66-10-03, amendment 39-222), and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 hours time-in-service, accomplish the actions specified in paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD in accordance with Grumman Gulfstream Service Change No. 179, dated March 15, 1966:

(1) Conduct a dye penetrant inspection, in conjunction with at least a 10X magnifying glass, to detect cracks in the MLG uplock beam forward brackets, P/N's 159W10150-51 and -52; and

(2) Conduct a visual inspection of the attachments of each bracket to the firewall bulkhead and to the main gear uplock beam for loose rivets caused by elongated rivet holes.

(b) If any crack or loose rivet is found during any inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, prior to further flight, accomplish either paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Grumman Gulfstream Service Change No. 179, dated March 15, 1966:

Note 2: Grumman Gulfstream Service Change No. 179A, dated March 20, 1966, contains additional procedural information relevant to the inspection and replacement requirements of this AD.

(1) Replace the bracket with a new or serviceable bracket having P/N 159W10150-51 or -52, as applicable. After this replacement, continue to inspect in accordance with paragraph (a) of this AD. Or

(2) Replace the bracket with a bracket having P/N 159W10150-71 or -72, as applicable. This replacement constitutes terminating action for the inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD for the replaced bracket.

(c) Within 1,000 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, replace the brackets for the main landing gear (MLG) uplock beam assembly with brackets having P/N 159W10150-71 and -72, in accordance with Part II of Grumman Gulfstream Service Change No. 179, dated March 15, 1966. Such replacement constitutes terminating action for the inspections required by this AD.

(d)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Small Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Atlanta ACO.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved previously in accordance with AD 66-10-03, amendment 39-222, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with this AD.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Atlanta ACO.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on February 27, 1997.

Darrell M. Pederson,
Acting Manager, Transport Airplane
Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.
[FR Doc. 97-5467 Filed 3-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U