

purposes, our written description of the scope of the order is dispositive.

Amended Final Results of Review

Upon correction of the ministerial error, we have determined that the margin remains unchanged from the amended final results published on January 15, 1997. However, as discussed above, importer specific assessment rates will change and we will instruct Customs accordingly.

Manufacturer/exporter	Time period	Margin (percent)
Saha Thai/SAF	3/1/94-2/28/95	7.27

The Customs Service shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between United States price and normal value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of certain circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates for those firms as stated above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 15.67 percent for circular welded carbon steel pipes and tubes, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigations. See *Final Determination and Antidumping Duty Order: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipes and Tubes from Thailand*, (51 FR 8341, March 11, 1986).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant

entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation. This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.28(c).

Dated: February 13, 1997.
Robert S. LaRussa,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
[FR Doc. 97-4632 Filed 2-24-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-580-815 & A-580-816]

Certain Cold-Rolled and Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products From Korea; Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Extension of time limits for antidumping duty administrative reviews of certain cold-rolled and corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the third antidumping duty administrative reviews of the antidumping orders on certain cold-rolled and corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Korea. These reviews cover three manufacturers and exporters of the subject merchandise: Dongbu Steel Co., Ltd., Union Steel Manufacturing Co., Ltd., and Pohang Iron and Steel Co., Ltd. The period of review is August 1, 1995 through July 31, 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 25, 1997.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alain Letort or John R. Kugelman, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III—Office 8,

Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230, telephone (202) 482-4243 or 482-0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department initiated these administrative reviews on September 16, 1996 (61 FR 48882). Because it is not practicable to complete these reviews within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act"), as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act of 1994, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results of the aforementioned reviews to August 1, 1997. See memorandum from Joseph A. Spetrini to Robert S. LaRussa, which is on file in Room B-099 at the Department's headquarters.

This extension of time limits is in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: February 18, 1997.
Joseph A. Spetrini
Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.
[FR Doc. 97-4508 Filed 2-24-97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-570-830]

Coumarin From the People's Republic of China: Amended Order and Final Determination of Antidumping Duty Investigation in Accordance With Decision Upon Remand

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Amendment to final determination of antidumping duty investigation in accordance with decision upon remand.

SUMMARY: On May 9, 1996, the Court of International Trade (CIT) remanded to the Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration (the Department), one issue arising from the antidumping determination titled *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Coumarin From the People's Republic of China* (59 FR 66895, December 28, 1994).

Pursuant to the remand order, the Department filed its *Remand Determination: Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. v. United States*, Court No. 95-03-00275, on September 23, 1996. Upon finding errors in the Remand Determination, the Department filed its *Amended Remand Determination: Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. v. United States* on October 3, 1996 (the "Amended Remand Results"). In