

annually a statistical report on average rates for the delivery of basic cable service, other cable programming services, and equipment. Pursuant to this requirement, the Commission conducted a survey and, on January 2, 1997, released its *Report on Cable Industry Prices* ("Report"). The Report contains data and information that summarize survey responses from 756 cable franchises concerning cable industry prices for the delivery of basic cable service, other cable programming services, and equipment on three dates: August 31, 1993, July 14, 1994, and January 1, 1995. The Report is intended to examine the effects of the Commission's regulation of the cable industry on cable prices.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Dan Hodes, Cable Services Bureau (202) 418-7041 or Kiran Duwadi, Cable Services Bureau (202) 418-7028.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a synopsis of the Report in MM Docket No. 92-266, FCC 96-499, adopted December 31, 1996, and released January 2, 1997. The complete text of the Report is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20554, and may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service ("ITS, Inc."), (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C. 20037. In addition, the complete text of the Report is available on the Internet at <http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Cable/WWW/csb.html>

**Synopsis of the Report**

1. Pursuant to the statutory requirement, the distributed survey gathered information on the prices charged in two groups of cable franchises: (1) those in which there was effective competition, referred to as the "competitive group," and (2) those in which there was not, referred to as the "noncompetitive group." A significant portion of the noncompetitive group, representing more than two-thirds of the

total number of subscribers served by cable operators in franchises included in the sample, was subject to rate regulation. The remaining one-third subscribed to services from cable operators in franchises which were unregulated. Three of the more significant findings of the Report are summarized below.

2. First, the Report found that prices charged in the noncompetitive group were higher in all three time periods studied than those charged in the competitive group. In addition, the Report found that the price differential between the competitive and noncompetitive groups was significant prior to the implementation of rate regulation under the 1992 Cable Act, and that the differential narrowed substantially after rate regulation was instituted. This finding is consistent with expectations since the intent of rate regulation was to simulate the effects of a competitive marketplace.

3. Specifically, the Report found that prior to the implementation of rate regulation, on August 31, 1993, the average cable rate for services and equipment charged by the competitive group was \$20.51 per month, and the average charged by the noncompetitive group was \$22.23 per month, a differential of 8.4%. After the imposition of rate regulation, the differential narrowed to 2.7% (\$21.04 charged by the competitive group compared with \$21.61 charged by the noncompetitive group) in July 1994, and narrowed further to 2.3% (\$21.25 charged by the competitive group compared with \$21.74 charged by the noncompetitive group) by January 1, 1995. Similarly, a comparison of the regulated portion of the noncompetitive group with the competitive group indicates that the differential in prices charged for equipment, basic, and other programming services narrowed even further to 2.1% in July 1994 and to 1.6% in January 1995.

4. Second, the Report found a large drop in equipment prices between August 1993 and July 1994, the period during which rate regulation took effect.

For example, the monthly rate for remotes for the noncompetitive group dropped from \$1.32 per month in August 1993 to \$0.26 in July 1994. Similarly, over the same period, the average monthly rate for nonaddressable converters dropped from \$1.58 to \$1.27 and for addressable converters, from \$2.46 to \$2.17.

5. Third, the Report found that the average monthly rate per channel charged by cable operators in franchises subject to rate regulation fell from \$0.62 per channel to \$0.53 per channel between August 1993 and July 1994, a drop of 14.5%. This decline reflects both an increase in the average number of channels received as well as a decline in the average monthly rate for programming services. Between July 1994 and January 1995, the per channel rate remained steady at \$0.53 because the underlying average rate per month and the average number of channels offered remained roughly the same. The number of channels received and the average price per channel provide a comparable way of measuring the services received by cable subscribers.

**Ordering Clause**

6. It is *Ordered* that this Report is issued pursuant to authority contained in Section 623(k) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 534(k).

Federal Communications Commission.  
William F. Caton,  
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-4425 Filed 2-21-97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6712-01-P**

**Sunshine Act Meeting**

February 19, 1997.

**Deletion of Agenda Items From February 20th Open Meeting**

The following items have been deleted from the list of agenda items scheduled for consideration at the February 20, 1997, Open Meeting and previously listed in the Commission's Notice of February 13, 1997.

Item No.	Bureau	Subject
3 .....	Wireless telecommunications .....	Title: Amendment of the Commission's Rules Regarding Multiple Address Systems and Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding (PR Docket No. 93-253). Summary: The Commission will consider proposing new service, licensing, and competitive bidding rules for spectrum allocated to Multiple Address Systems in the Fixed Microwave Services.
4 .....	Wireless telecommunications .....	Title: Revised of Part 22 and Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Facilitate Future Development of Paging Systems (WT Docket No. 96-18) and Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding (PP Docket No. 93-253).

Item No.	Bureau	Subject
5 .....	Wireless telecommunications .....	<p>Summary: The Commission will consider action concerning geographic area licensing of common carrier paging and 929 MHz private carrier paging, and competitive bidding procedures for auctioning geographic area paging licenses.</p> <p>Title: Amendment of Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Provide for the Use of the 220–222 MHz Band by the Private Land Mobile Radio Service (PR Docket No. 89–552, RM–8506); Implementation of Sections 3(n) and 332 of the Communications Act—Regulatory Treatment of Mobile Services (GN Docket No. 93–252) and Implementation of Section 309(j) of the Communications Act—Competitive Bidding, 220–222 MHz (PP Docket No. 93–253).</p> <p>Summary: The Commission will consider action concerning the future operation and licensing of the 220–222 MHz band.</p>

Federal Communications Commission.  
William F. Caton,  
*Acting Secretary.*  
[FR Doc. 97–4574 Filed 2–20–97; 10:43 am]  
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

## FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

[FEMA–1158–DR]

### Minnesota; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency  
Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of Minnesota (FEMA–1158–DR), dated January 16, 1997, and related determinations.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 5, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Magda Ruiz, Response and Recovery  
Directorate, Federal Emergency  
Management Agency, Washington, DC  
20472, (202) 646–3260.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Notice is hereby given that the incident period for this disaster is closed effective February 3, 1997.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance.)

Lacy E. Suiter,

*Executive Associate Director, Response and  
Recovery Directorate.*

[FR Doc. 97–4460 Filed 2–21–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–P

[FEMA–1159–DR]

### Washington; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency  
Management Agency (FEMA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of Washington, (FEMA–1159–DR), dated

January 17, 1997, and related determinations.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** February 6, 1997.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Magda Ruiz, Response and Recovery  
Directorate, Federal Emergency  
Management Agency, Washington, DC  
20472, (202) 646–3260.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The notice of a major disaster for the State of Washington, is hereby amended to include the following areas among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of January 17, 1997:

The counties of Asotin, Jefferson, Pend Oreille and Whatcom for Individual Assistance (already designated for Public Assistance and Hazard Mitigation). (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance.)

Lacy E. Suiter,

*Executive Associate Director, Response and  
Recovery Directorate.*

[FR Doc. 97–4461 Filed 2–21–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–P

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of

Governors. Comments must be received not later than March 10, 1997.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis (Karen L. Grandstrand, Vice President) 250 Marquette Avenue, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55480-2171:

1. *The Winton Jones Revocable Trust of 1997, Carl Jones, Christopher Jones, and Richard McMahon, as trustees*, Wayzata, Minnesota; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Anchor Bancorp, Inc., Wayzata, Minnesota, and thereby indirectly acquire Anchor Bank, N.A., Wayzata, Minnesota; Anchor Bank, West St. Paul, N.A., West St. Paul, Minnesota; The Bank of Saint Paul, St. Paul, Minnesota; Heritage National Bank, North St. Paul, Minnesota; and The First National Bank of Farmington, Farmington, Minnesota.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (John E. Yorke, Senior Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198-0001:

1. *Robert S. Appel*, Englewood, Colorado, and William P. Johnson, Boulder, Colorado, Co-trustees of FirstBank Holding Company of Colorado Employee Stock Ownership Plan, Lakewood, Colorado, and its subsidiary, FirstBank Holding Company of Colorado, Lakewood, Colorado; to vote as trustee, 26.8 percent of the voting shares of FirstBank of Arvada, N.A., Arvada, Colorado, and thereby indirectly acquire FirstBank of Aurora, N.A., Aurora, Colorado; FirstBank of Avon, Avon, Colorado; FirstBank of Boulder, N.A., Boulder, Colorado; FirstBank of Breckenridge, N.A., Breckenridge, Colorado; FirstBank of Douglas County, N.A., Castle Rock, Colorado; FirstBank of Colorado Springs, Colorado Springs, Colorado; FirstBank of Cherry Creek, N.A., Denver, Colorado; FirstBank of Denver, N.A., Denver, Colorado; FirstBank of Longmont, Longmont, Colorado; FirstBank of Northern Colorado, Fort Collins, Colorado; FirstBank of Greeley, Greeley, Colorado; FirstBank of Tech Center, N.A., Englewood, Colorado; FirstBank of Colorado, N.A., Lakewood, Colorado; FirstBank of South Jeffco,