

accessing the system, call the help desk at (919) 541-5384 in Research Triangle Park, NC.

Dated: February 13, 1997.

Richard Wilson,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 97-4330 Filed 2-18-97; 1:50 pm]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Chapter I

[CC Docket No. 96-152, FCC 97-35]

Implementation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996: Telemessaging, Electronic Publishing, and Alarm Monitoring Services

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FNPRM), released February 7, 1997, seeks comment on the meaning of certain terms in section 274 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, (Act), which governs Bell Operating Companies' provision of electronic publishing services. The intent of the FNPRM is to compile a record in sufficient detail for us to determine the meaning of those terms in this context.

DATES: Comments are due on or before April 4, 1997 and reply comments are due on or before April 25, 1997. Written comments by the public on the proposed and/or modified information collections are due April 4, 1997 and reply comments must be submitted no later than April 25, 1997. Written comments must be submitted by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) on the proposed and/or modified

information collections on or before April 21, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments and reply comments should be sent to Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D. C. 20554, with a copy to Janice Myles of the Common Carrier Bureau, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544, Washington, D. C. 20554. Parties should also file one copy of any documents filed in this docket with the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D. C. 20037. In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 234, Washington, D. C. 20554, or via the Internet to dconway@fcc.gov, and to Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 725-17th Street, N.W., 10236 NEOB, Washington, D. C. 20503 or via the Internet to fain_t@al.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lisa Sockett, Attorney, Common Carrier Bureau, Policy and Program Planning Division, (202) 418-1580. For additional information concerning the information collections contained in this FNPRM contact Dorothy Conway at 202-418-0217, or via the Internet at dconway@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's FNPRM adopted February 6, 1997 and released February 7, 1997 (FCC 97-35). This FNPRM contains proposed or modified information collections subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA). It has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review under the PRA. The OMB, the general public, and other Federal agencies are invited to comment on the proposed or modified

information collections contained in this proceeding. The full text of this FNPRM is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 1919 M St., Room 239, N.W., Washington, D. C. The complete text also may be obtained through the World Wide Web, at http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/CommonCarrier/Orders/fcc9735.wp, or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M St., N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D. C. 20037.

Paperwork Reduction Act: This FNPRM contains either a proposed or modified information collection. The Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, invites the general public and OMB to comment on the information collections contained in this FNPRM, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Public and agency comments are due at the same time as other comments on this FNPRM; OMB notification of action is due April 21, 1997. Comments should address: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

OMB Approval Number: None.

Title: Section 274(b)(3)(B)—Written Contracts Filed with the Commission and Made Publicly Available (CC Docket No. 96-152, FNPRM).

Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New collection.

Information Collection	No. of respondents (approx.)	Estimated time per response	Total annual burden
Written contracts filed with the Commission	7	¼ hour per contract	3-150 hours per respondent (21-1050 hours total).
Written contracts made publicly available	7	¾ hour per contract	9-450 hours per respondent (63-3150 hours total).

Total Annual Burden: 21-3,150 hours for all respondents.

Respondents: Businesses or other for profit.

Estimated costs per respondent: \$0.

Needs and Uses: The Commission proposes the information collections to implement section 274(b)(3)(B) of the Act. The information may be used by the Commission, unaffiliated electronic publishing providers competing with

electronic publishing providers affiliated with the BOC, and any other member of the public interested in monitoring the BOCs' compliance with the Act.

Synopsis of Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

I. Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

A. Meaning of "Control" and "Financial Interest"

1. We concluded above, in Part III.A, that a BOC engaged in the provision of electronic publishing is subject to section 274 only to the extent that it controls, or has a financial interest in, the content of the information being disseminated over its basic telephone services. The record compiled in this proceeding, however, does not provide sufficient detail for us to determine the meaning of "control" and "financial interest" in this context. By clarifying these terms, we believe we will be in a better position to determine when, and under what circumstances, a BOC's participation in a service constitutes BOC provision of electronic publishing service subject to the requirements of section 274.

i. Meaning of "Control"

2. The term "control" in section 274(i)(4) is defined according to regulations promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission implementing the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As defined thereunder, the term "control" means "the possession, direct or indirect, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person, whether through the ownership of voting securities, by contract, or otherwise." We tentatively conclude that this definition, which defines the term "control" in a corporate context, is inappropriate for determining the meaning of "control" in the present context, *i.e.*, when a BOC has "control" of the content of information transmitted via its basic telephone service. We therefore seek comment on how we should determine whether a BOC has "control" of the content of the information being disseminated under section 274.

3. For example, we seek comment on whether an ownership interest is required for a BOC to have "control" of the content of the information. If so, we seek comment on the percentage of ownership interest necessary for the BOC to be deemed to be in "control" of the content of the information. Alternatively, we seek comment on whether "control" should be broadly interpreted to include the ability of a BOC, when acting as a gateway provider, to limit the types of information to which its gateway connects. NYNEX suggests that this

ability does not imply the type of "control" over the underlying information being transmitted and, therefore, does not constitute electronic publishing. We seek comment on this interpretation.

ii. Meaning of "Financial Interest"

4. We also seek comment on the meaning of the term "financial interest." We tentatively conclude that a BOC has a "financial interest" in the content of the information when the BOC owns the information or has a direct or indirect equity interest in the information being disseminated via its basic telephone services. We seek comment on this tentative conclusion. We also seek comment on other forms of BOC participation that should be considered indicia of "financial interest." For example, NYNEX maintains that a "financial interest" in the content of the information should not be interpreted to include receipt of compensation by a BOC for managing and presenting the content of unaffiliated entities as part of its gateway services. Alternatively, PacTel contends that a "financial interest" must be a legally protected property interest." We seek comment on these interpretations.

5. In addition, we seek comment on whether we should establish a *de minimis* exception to the financial interest requirement once financial interest has been established. For example, if a BOC has a financial interest in only one percent of the content of the information, should it be required to provide the electronic publishing service through a "separated affiliate" or "electronic publishing joint venture"? If not, should the BOC be required to do so if it has a financial interest of ten percent? We seek comment on the percentage of financial interest in an electronic publishing service, as defined in section 274(h), that makes a BOC subject to the requirements of section 274.

B. Meaning of "Transaction" in Section 274(b)(3) and the Requirements of Section 274(b)(3)(B)

6. Section 274(b)(3) provides that a separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture established pursuant to section 274(a) and the BOC with which it is affiliated shall "carry out transactions (A) in a manner consistent with such independence, (B) pursuant to written contracts or tariffs that are filed with the Commission and made publicly available, and (C) in a manner that is auditable in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards." We note that the clause in section 274(b)(3)(B), "pursuant to written contracts or tariffs that are filed

with the Commission," can be read to require the filing of both contracts and tariffs with the Commission, or only the filing of tariffs. In addition, the phrase "and made publicly available," could refer only to "tariffs" or also to "written contracts." Although the *Accounting Safeguards NPRM* (61 FR 40161 (August 1, 1996)) sought comment on section 274(b)(3), no commenters in that proceeding specifically addressed these issues regarding section 274(b)(3)(B).

7. "*Filed with the Commission.*" We seek comment on whether BOCs should be required under section 274(b)(3)(B) to file both written contracts and tariffs on Commission premises. We note that, pursuant to existing practice, BOCs are already required to file tariffs with the Commission. We also note that section 211 of the Communications Act imposes a general requirement on common carriers to "file with the Commission" copies of "contracts, agreements, or arrangements with other carriers, or with common carriers not subject to the provisions of [the Communications Act]" relating to communications traffic. Our rules implementing this section, however, require only that certain carriers file certain types of contracts with the Commission." As to the remaining contracts within the scope of section 211, carriers are permitted to comply with section 211 by keeping the contracts on their premises such that they are "readily accessible to Commission staff and members of the public upon reasonable request." We invite parties to comment on whether we can and should adopt these procedures to implement the statutory language in section 274(b)(3)(B).

8. "*Made Publicly Available.*" We tentatively conclude that section 274(b)(3)(B) requires that both written contracts and tariffs be made "publicly available." As noted above, BOCs are already required to make their tariffs and certain written contracts with other carriers publicly available by filing them with the Commission and make others contracts accessible upon reasonable request. We find that interpreting this section to require all contracts, as well as tariffs, to be made "publicly available," is necessary to ensure that BOCs are complying with the nondiscrimination and accounting safeguards of the Act and to enable competitors to detect discrimination and potential improper cost allocations by the BOCs. We seek comment on this tentative conclusion.

9. Assuming that section 274(b)(3)(B) does not require BOCs to file all their written contracts with separated affiliates or electronic publishing joint ventures on Commission premises, we

seek comment on the means by which a BOC and its separated affiliate or electronic publishing joint venture must make their contracts "publicly available" pursuant to section 274(b)(3)(B). In interpreting a similar requirement in section 272(b)(5), which requires that BOCs and their section 272 affiliates reduce their transactions to writing and make them available for public inspection, we found that a BOC must make information "available for public inspection" pursuant to that section by making it available at its corporate headquarters and not the RBOC corporate headquarters or the corporate headquarters of the BOC's holding company. We stated that this information must include a certification statement identical to the certification statement currently required to be included with all Automated Reporting and Management Information System ("ARMIS") reports. We also concluded that detailed written descriptions of transactions between BOCs and their section 272 affiliates must be made available to the public on the Internet within ten days of the transaction. We therefore seek comment on whether, for written contracts within section 274(b)(3)(B) that we decide need not be filed on Commission premises, we should interpret the "publicly available" requirement of this section in the same manner as we interpreted the "available for public inspection" requirement in section 272(b)(5). Commenters disagreeing with this approach should explain why, and propose alternative approaches.

10. *Meaning of "Transaction."* We also seek comment on what constitutes a "transaction" for purposes of section 274(b)(3). We note that, for purposes of section 272(b)(5), we concluded that only once the BOC and its affiliate have agreed upon the terms and conditions for telephone exchange and exchange access does the agreement constitute a "transaction." We also found that an agreement between a BOC and its affiliate for the provision of unbundled elements and facilities pursuant to explicit terms and conditions also constitutes a "transaction." We seek comment here on whether we should adopt similar conclusions in the context of section 274(b)(3). We note, however, that section 274(d) requires BOCs to provide "network access and interconnections for basic telephone service to electronic publishers at just and reasonable rates that are tariffed (so long as rates for such services are subject to rate regulation)." We therefore tentatively conclude that, although section 274(b)(3)(B) provides that

transactions must be carried out pursuant to "written contracts or tariffs," the specific transactions described in section 274(d) may only be carried out pursuant to tariff (so long as such services are subject to rate regulation). We seek comment on this tentative conclusion.

C. Procedural Matters

i. Ex Parte Presentations

This *FNPRM* is a non-restricted notice-and-comment rulemaking proceeding. *Ex parte* presentations are permitted, in accordance with the Commission's rules, provided that they are disclosed as required.

ii. Regulatory Flexibility Certification

12. Section 603 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, (RFA) as amended, requires an initial regulatory flexibility analysis in notice and comment rulemaking proceedings, unless we certify that "the rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities." A "small entity" is an entity that is independently owned and operated; is not dominant in its field of operation; and meets any additional criteria established by the Small Business Administration (SBA). SBA regulations define small telecommunications entities in SIC code 4813 (Telephone Companies Except Radio Telephone) as entities with fewer than 1,500 employees. This proceeding pertains to the BOCs which, because they are dominant in their field of operation and have more than 1,500 employees, do not qualify as small entities under the RFA. We also note that none of the BOCs is a small entity because each BOC is an affiliate of a Regional Holding Company (RHC), and all of the BOCs or their RHCs have more than 1,500 employees. We therefore certify, pursuant to section 605(b) of the RFA, that the tentative conclusions, if adopted, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Secretary shall send a copy of this *FNPRM*, including this certification and statement, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration. A copy of this certification will also be published in the Federal Register.

iii. Initial Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 Analysis

13. This *FNPRM* contains either a proposed or modified information collection. As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burdens, we invite the general public and the OMB to take this opportunity to comment on

the information collections contained in this *FNPRM*, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13. Public and agency comments are due at the same time as other comments on this *FNPRM*; OMB comments are due 60 days from the date of publication of this *FNPRM* in the Federal Register. Comments should address: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

iv. Comment Filing Procedures

14. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in Sections 1.415 and 1.419 of the Commission's rules, 47 CFR 1.415, 1.419, interested parties may file comments on or before April 4, 1997, and reply comments on or before April 25, 1997. To file formally in this proceeding, you must file an original and six copies of all comments, reply comments, and supporting comments. If you want each Commissioner to receive a personal copy of your comments, you must file an original and eleven copies. Comments and reply comments should be sent to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D.C., 20554, with a copy to Janice Myles of the Common Carrier Bureau, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544, Washington, D.C., 20554. Parties should also file one copy of any documents filed in this docket with the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Services, Inc., 2100 M Street, N.W., Suite 140, Washington, D.C., 20037. Comments and reply comments will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 239, Washington, D.C., 20554.

15. Comments and reply comments must include a short and concise summary of the substantive arguments raised in the pleading. Comments and reply comments must also comply with Section 1.49 and all other applicable sections of the Commission's Rules. See 47 CFR 1.49. However, we require here that a summary be included with all comments and reply comments, regardless of length. This summary may be paginated separately from the rest of

the pleading (e.g., as "i, ii"). We also direct all interested parties to include the name of the filing party and the date of the filing on each page of their comments and reply comments. All parties are encouraged to utilize a table of contents, regardless of the length of their submission. Parties may not file more than a total of ten (10) pages of *ex parte* submissions, excluding cover letters. This 10 page limit does not include: (1) written *ex parte* filings made solely to disclose an oral *ex parte* contact; (2) written material submitted at the time of an oral presentation to Commission staff that provides a brief outline of the presentation; or (3) written materials filed in response to direct requests from Commission staff. *Ex parte* filings in excess of this limit will not be considered as part of the record in this proceeding.

16. Parties are also asked to submit comments and reply comments on diskette. Such diskette submissions would be in addition to, and not a substitute for, the formal filing requirements addressed above. Parties submitting diskettes should submit

them to Janice Myles of the Common Carrier Bureau, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 544, Washington, D.C., 20554. Such a submission should be on a 3.5 inch diskette formatted in an IBM compatible form using MS DOS 5.0 and WordPerfect 5.1 software. The diskette should be submitted in "read only" mode. The diskette should be clearly labelled with the party's name, proceeding, type of pleading (comment or reply comments) and date of submission. The diskette should be accompanied by a cover letter.

17. Written comments by the public on the proposed and/or modified information collections are due April 4, 1997, and reply comments must be submitted not later than April 25, 1997. Written comments must be submitted by the OMB on the proposed and/or modified information collections on or before 60 days after date of publication in the Federal Register. In addition to filing comments with the Secretary, a copy of any comments on the information collections contained herein should be submitted to Dorothy Conway, Federal Communications

Commission, Room 234, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20554, or via the Internet to dconway@fcc.gov, and to Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB, 725—17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20503 or via the Internet to fain_t@al.eop.gov.

II. Ordering Clauses

18. It is ordered that pursuant to sections 1, 2, 4, 201, 202, 274 and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 154, 201, 202, 274, and 303(r), the further notice of proposed rulemaking is adopted.

19. It is further ordered that the Secretary shall send a copy of the further notice of proposed rulemaking, including the regulatory flexibility certification, to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration, in accordance with paragraph 603(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-4027 Filed 2-19-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-P