

## Appendix G—DoD Freedom of Information Act Program Components

- a. Office of the Secretary of Defense/Joint Staff/Unified Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, and the DoD Field Activities
  - b. Department of the Army
  - c. Department of the Navy
  - d. Department of the Air Force
  - e. Defense Information Systems Agency
  - f. Defense Contract Audit Agency
  - g. Defense Intelligence Agency
  - h. Defense Investigative Service
  - i. Defense Logistics Agency
  - j. National Imagery and Mapping Agency
  - k. Defense Special Weapons Agency
  - l. National Security Agency
  - m. Office of the Inspector General, Department of Defense
  - n. Defense Finance and Accounting Service
  - o. National Reconnaissance Office
- Dated: February 6, 1997.

L.M. Bynum,

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 97-3412 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### 43 CFR Part 426

[RIN 1006-AA38]

#### Acres Limitation Rules and Regulations

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of public meeting and extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** On December 18, 1996, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) published in 61 FR 66827, Dec. 18, 1996, an advance notice of proposed rulemaking requesting public comment on possible revisions to existing rules and regulations regarding the acres limitation provisions of the Reclamation Reform Act of 1982 (RRA). During a recently completed RRA rulemaking activity, 61 FR 66754, Dec. 18, 1996, the Department of the Interior (Department) received a number of comments regarding the compliance of certain large trusts with the acres limitation provisions of the RRA. Comments expressed a variety of viewpoints, including the assertion that some trusts with landholdings (owned and leased land) in excess of 960 acres may circumvent the requirements of Federal reclamation law. To help facilitate the examination of the application of the acres limitation provisions to trusts with large landholdings, Reclamation will host a meeting at which interested parties will convene in a round table

arrangement to explore this issue. The public is invited to attend this session. This meeting is not intended as a formal hearing for the submission of comments. Rather, it is intended as an informal discussion so that those in attendance will be better prepared to submit comments on the advance notice of proposed rulemaking. To further facilitate the submittal of comments, the comment period has been extended to April 17, 1997.

**DATES:** The public meeting will be held on March 14, 1997, at 10:00 a.m. The comment period on the advance notice of proposed rulemaking is extended to April 17, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** The public meeting will be held at The Best Western Expo Inn, 1413 Howe Avenue, Sacramento, CA. Written comments are to be mailed to the Commissioner's Office, Bureau of Reclamation, 1849 C Street N.W., Washington, DC 20240.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Steven Richardson, Bureau of Reclamation, Mail Code W-1500, 1849 C Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20240, telephone (202) 208-4291.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In the December 18, 1996, Federal Register notice, Reclamation requested comments and suggestions on:

- Whether to limit nonfull-cost water deliveries to large trusts with landholdings in excess of 960 acres (or other applicable acres thresholds under the RRA);
- The criteria used to determine whether landholdings in excess of 960 acres, operated under a trust arrangement, should be eligible to receive nonfull-cost water deliveries;
- Whether nonfull-cost water deliveries to such landholdings are consistent with the principles of Federal reclamation law and sound public policy and, if not, how to implement a limit on such deliveries;
- What procedures might ensure fairness in transition to new rules that would limit large trusts to 960 acres for nonfull-cost water, and what safeguards would be necessary to avoid such trusts from adopting some other, as yet unregulated form, to escape acres limitations; and
- The extent of the Department's statutory authority to address these issues, including, the extent of the Department's legal authority to regulate: (a) future trusts, (b) trusts established from 1982 to the present, and (c) trusts established prior to 1982.

Dated: February 11, 1997.

J. Austin Burke,

*Director, Program Analysis Office.*

[FR Doc. 97-3962 Filed 2-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### 47 CFR Part 95

[GEN Docket No. 91-2, DA 97-308]

#### Interactive Video and Data Services

**AGENCY:** Federal Communications Commission.

**ACTION:** Request for comments on waiver request.

**SUMMARY:** This action seeks additional comment on waiver of the three year construction benchmark that IVDS lottery license winners must meet by March 29, 1997. It is necessary for the Commission to receive comment on the waiver request in order to determine if the waiver should be granted. The effect of the action will be to seek comment on the requested rule waiver.

**DATES:** Comments are due February 25, 1997; reply comments are due March 3, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments and reply comments should be sent or delivered to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222, Washington, D.C. 20554, Att'n Private Wireless Division, Wireless Telecommunications Bureau.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William T. Cross of the Wireless Telecommunications Bureau at (202) 418-0680.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This is a summary of the Commission's Public Notice released February 10, 1997. The full text of this action is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center, Room 239, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The complete text may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

#### Summary of Public Notice

1. In the Report and Order in GEN Docket No. 91-2, the Commission concluded that a major concern of potential users of this service was that an applicant who obtained a license through the lottery process may not actually build the IVDS system. The IVDS rules require, therefore, that licensees must construct a sufficient