93.396, 93.837–93.844, 93.846–93.878, 93.892, 93,893, National Institutes of Health, HHS)

Date: February 10, 1997.

LaVerne Y. Stringfield,

Committee Management Officer, NIH.

[FR Doc. 97–3943 Filed 2–14–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4140–01–M

Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration

Privacy Act of 1974: Addition of Routine Uses to an Existing System of Records

AGENCY: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, DHHS.

ACTION: Notification of the addition of two new routine uses to an existing system of records.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirements of the Privacy Act, the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA) is publishing a notice to add two new routine uses to system of records 09–30–0047, entitled ''Patient Records on Chronic Mentally Ill Merchant Seamen Treated at Nursing Homes in Lexington, Kentucky (1942 to the Present, HHS/SAMHSA/Center for Mental Health Services (CMHS)).''

DATES: SAMHSA invites interested persons to submit comments on the proposed new routine uses on or before March 20, 1997.

SAMHSA will adopt these routine uses without further notice 30 days after the date of publication unless comments are received which would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESS: Please address comments to the SAMHSA Privacy Act Officer, Room 13C–20, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857. We will make comments available for public inspection at the above address during normal business hours, 8:30 a.m.–5 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Director, Division of Program Development, Special Populations and Projects, CMHS/SAMHSA, Room 16C–26, Parklawn Building 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857 (301)–443–2940. This is not a toll-free number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: SAMHSA currently maintains the Chronic Mentally Ill Merchant Seamen Treated at Nursing Homes in Lexington, Kentucky Records System to facilitate patient care, to monitor progress, and to ensure quality and continuity of care. These patients have received care and treatment at various Public Health Services facilities across the Nation for over 50 years. They continue to receive care under a contract between SAMHSA and the Commonwealth of Kentucky pursuant to section 10 of the Health Services Amendments of 1985, Public Law 99–117.

The proposed new routine uses (numbers four and five) will permit disclosure of information to: (1) Federal, State, or local organizations which provide medical care and treatment to these patients, and (2) the Department of Veterans Affairs, Social Security Administration, and other Federal or State organizations having special benefit programs.

This system was last published in the Federal Register on December 25, 1994 (59 FR., 67079).

The following routine uses are written in the present, rather than future tense, in order to avoid the unnecessary expenditure of public funds to republish the routine uses after they have become effective.

Dated: January 31, 1997.

Richard Kopanda,

Executive Officer, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration.

09–30–0047

SYSTEM NAME:

Patient Records on Chronic Mentally Ill Merchant Seamen Treated at Nursing Homes in Lexington, Kentucky (1942 to the Present, Department of Health and Human Services, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for Mental Health Services).

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USE:

* * * * *

4. Records may be disclosed to Federal, State, local, or other authorized organizations which provide medical care and treatment to these individuals to facilitate continuity of care by supplying information to medical care facilities/practitioners who provide treatment to individual seamen.

5. Records may be disclosed to the Department of Veterans Affairs, the Social Security Administration, or other Federal or State agencies having special benefit programs for the purpose of obtaining these benefits for these individuals.

[FR Doc. 97–3912 Filed 2–14–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4162–20–M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR–4021–N–05]

NOFA for Public and Indian Housing Economic Development and Supportive Services (EDSS) Grant: Notice of Procedure for Determining Funding in the Event of Tie Scores

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing, HUD.

ACTION: NOFA for Public and Indian Housing Economic Development and Supportive Services (EDSS) Grant: Notice of procedure for determining funding in the event of tie scores.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the NOFA published in the Federal Register on August 14, 1996 (61 FR 42356) to advise of the procedure that HUD will use to determine how public housing agency and Indian housing authority applications will be selected for funding in the event of tie scores.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marcia Y. Martin, Office of Community Relations and Involvement, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street, S.W., Room 4108, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708–4214. Hearing- or speech-impaired persons may contact the Federal Information Relay Service on 1–800–877–8339 or 202–708–9300 for information on the program. (With the exception of the ''800'' number, the numbers listed above are not toll free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 14, 1996 (61 FR 42356), HUD published a notice of funding availability that announced grants to public housing agencies and Indian housing authorities that are in partnership with non-profit or incorporated for-profit agencies to (1) provide economic development opportunities and supportive services to assist residents of public and Indian housing to become economically self-sufficient, particularly families with children where the head of household would benefit from the receipt of supportive services and is working, seeking work, or is preparing for work by participating in job-training or educational programs, and (2) to provide supportive services to assist the elderly and persons with disabilities to live independently or to prevent premature or unnecessary institutionalization.

The August 14, 1996 NOFA was amended by notice published in the Federal Register on September 26, 1996 (61 FR 50501) to extend the application

deadline for all applicants to October 29, 1996. The August 14, 1996 NOFA was amended by notice published in the Federal Register on October 22, 1996 to extend the application deadline to November 12, 1996 for HUD's Puerto Rico office as a result of severe flooding caused by Hurricane Hortense.

This notice amends the August 14, 1996 NOFA to advise of the procedure that HUD will use to determine how public housing agency and Indian housing authority applications will be selected for funding in the event of tie scores. The procedure for breaking tie scores was inadvertently omitted from the August 14, 1996 NOFA.

Accordingly, the NOFA for Public and Indian Housing Economic Development and Supportive Services (EDSS) Grants, published at 61 FR 42356 on August 14, 1996, is amended as follows:

On page 42360, column two, the first paragraph is revised to read as follows:

All PHA and the remaining IHA applications will be placed in an overall nationwide ranking order and funded until all funds are exhausted. In the event of tie scores, at the lowest ranking eligible for funding, HUD will award the funds by providing a proportioned amount to each applicant sharing the tied score. The proportioned amount will be based on the amount of funding requested by each tied applicant relative to the total amount requested by all tied applicants. This ratio will then be applied against the amount of remaining funds available at this point in the competition. Should a grantee decide not to accept the proportioned amount, those funds will be reallocated for use in the FY 1997 EDSS funding round.

Dated: February 12, 1997.

Kevin E. Marchman,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Public and Indian Housing.

[FR Doc. 97–3971 Filed 2–14–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210–33–P

[Docket No. FR–4209–N–01]

Mortgagee Review Board Administrative Actions

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with Section 202(c) of the National Housing Act, notice is hereby given of the cause and description of administrative actions taken by HUD's Mortgagee Review Board against HUD-approved mortgagees.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Morris E. Carter, Director, Office of Lender Activities and Program Compliance, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708–1515. (This is not a toll-free number). A Telecommunications Device for Hearing and Speech-Impaired Individuals (TTY) is available at 1–800–877–8339 (Federal Information Relay Service).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 202(c)(5) of the National Housing Act (added by Section 142 of the Department of Housing and Urban Development Reform Act of 1989 Pub. L. 101–235), approved December 15, 1989, requires that HUD ''publish a description of and the cause for administrative action against a HUD-approved mortgagee'' by the Department's Mortgagee Review Board. In compliance with the requirements of Section 202(c)(5), notice is hereby given of administrative actions that have been taken by the Mortgagee Review Board from October 1, 1996 through December 31, 1996.

1. BancPlus Mortgage, San Antonio, Texas

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with ten improperly originated FHA-insured mortgages.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD–FHA requirements that include: using alleged false information to originate HUD–FHA insured mortgages; failing to properly document the credit background and evaluate the credit risk of borrowers; permitting mortgagors to handcarry verification of employment forms; requiring mortgagors to sign blank documents; and failing to timely remit Up-Front Mortgage Insurance Premiums (UFMIPs) to HUD–FHA.

2. Grand Capital Mortgage and Investment Company, Inc., Los Angeles, California

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with seven improperly originated FHA insured mortgages; payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of $9,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD–FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that cited violations of HUD–FHA requirements that include: failure to comply with HUD–FHA reporting requirements under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA); failure to implement and maintain an adequate Quality Control Plan; sharing office space and commingling employees with another company; utilizing, and paying ''kickbacks'' to an unapproved entity for mortgage origination; failure to obtain documents required to accurately evaluate borrowers' credit risk; failure to verify the source and adequacy of mortgagors' closing funds; improper calculation of borrowers' effective income; closing HUD–FHA insured mortgages that exceed the regulatory maximum loan amount; deleting a co-mortgagor in a streamline refinance; exceeding HUD–FHA ratio guidelines without documenting significant compensating factors; and preparing inaccurate Settlement Statements.

3. Diamond Coast Financial, Inc., Hesperia, California

Action: Probation and a proposed Civil Money Penalty in the amount of $32,000.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD–FHA requirements that include: Failure to remit to HUD–FHA at least 184 Up-Front Mortgage Insurance Premiums (UFMIPs); misrepresentation to HUD–FHA in obtaining approval of independent realtors and brokers as branch offices; using non-employees to originate HUD–FHA insured mortgages; using, and paying fees to, a mortgage company not approved by HUD–FHA to originate HUD–FHA insured mortgages; improperly paying closing costs for a mortgagor and failing to honor the mortgagor's request to rescind the transaction; and using misleading advertising in connection with the Title I program.

4. Trust One Mortgage Corporation, Irvine, California

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with eight improperly originated property improvement loans under the HUD–FHA Title I property improvement loan program; payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of $2,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD–FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that cited violations of HUD–FHA Title I program requirements that include: permitting non-employees to originate loans; failure to document a borrower's source of funds for the initial payment, and permitting the payment to be made from loan proceeds; failure to disburse loan proceeds at closing; and use of misleading advertising.

5. Barrons Mortgage Corporation, Brea, California

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include: