

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

97-03-04—Boeing: Amendment 39-9910.
Docket 95-NM-106-AD.

Applicability: Model 727 and 737 airplanes; as listed in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727-28A0062, Revision 5, dated May 4, 1995 (for Model 727 series airplanes) and Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-28A1032, Revision 2, dated May 4, 1995 (for Model 737 series airplanes); equipped with forward and/or aft Boeing-designed auxiliary fuel tanks that have been deactivated; certificated in any category.

Note 1: If the forward and/or aft Boeing-designed auxiliary fuel tank(s) on any of the airplanes specified in the applicability provision is currently activated, the requirements of this AD become applicable whenever that auxiliary fuel tank is deactivated.

Note 2: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent the nut of the fuel cap assembly from backing off and the cap from loosening, and subsequently, unwanted fuel transferring to the auxiliary fuel tanks, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 6 months after the effective date of this AD, accomplish paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Part IV of the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727-28A0062, Revision 5, dated May 4, 1995 (for Model 727 series airplanes); or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-28A1032, Revision 2, dated May 4, 1995 (for Model 737 series airplanes); as applicable.

(1) Replace the fuel cap assembly having part number (P/N) AN929A24 with a new fuel cap assembly having P/N AN929L24 on the inlet fitting at the inside top of the auxiliary fuel tank, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin. And

(2) Replace the INOP placards with new placards, in accordance with the applicable service bulletin.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that

provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) The actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 727-28A0062, Revision 5, dated May 4, 1995 (for Model 727 series airplanes); or Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737-28A1032, Revision 2, dated May 4, 1995 (for Model 737 series airplanes); as applicable. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplane Group, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(e) This amendment becomes effective on March 10, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 23, 1997.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97-2223 Filed 1-31-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-235-AD; Amendment 39-9911; AD 97-03-05]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas DC-9 series airplanes, that currently requires repetitive visual inspections to detect corrosion and cracking of the fuselage upper skin and frames in the area of the loop antenna assemblies of the automatic direction finder (ADF), and repair, if necessary. This amendment adds a requirement to perform a visual and an eddy current inspection of the fuselage forward upper

skin under the antennas, followed by the reinstallation of the ADF antennas using an improved procedure. This amendment is prompted by the development of a modification of the ADF antenna installation that constitutes terminating action for the required repetitive visual inspections. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent rapid decompression of the fuselage, significant structural damage, and subsequent reduced structural integrity of the airplane, due to problems associated with corrosion and fatigue cracking in the subject area.

DATES: Effective March 10, 1997.

The incorporation by reference of McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC9-53A282, dated March 20, 1996, listed in the regulations, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51, as of April 15, 1996 (61 FR 15882, April 10, 1996).

The incorporation by reference of McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-284, dated August 20, 1996, listed in the regulations, is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 10, 1997.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Department C1-L51 (2-60). This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Wahib Mina, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-120L, FAA, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California 90712; telephone (310) 627-5324; fax (310) 627-5210.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 96-07-51, amendment 39-9562 (61 FR 15882, April 10, 1996), which is applicable to certain McDonnell Douglas DC-9 series airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on October 23, 1996 (61 FR 54969). That action proposed to continue to require repetitive internal

visual inspections to detect corrosion and cracking of the fuselage forward upper skin and to detect cracking of the fuselage frame in the area of the forward and aft loop antenna assemblies of the automatic direction finder (ADF), as is currently required by AD 97-07-01. However, it also proposed to add a requirement to perform a visual and an eddy current inspection of the fuselage forward upper skin under the antennas, followed by the reinstallation of the ADF antennas using an improved procedure. Accomplishment of these actions would constitute terminating action for the required repetitive inspections.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Support for the Proposal

Five commenters support the proposed AD.

Request To Extend Compliance Time for Certain Airplanes

One commenter supports the intent of the proposal, but requests that the compliance time for accomplishing the terminating action be extended from the proposed 24 months to 48 months for those airplanes on which the antenna has been reinstalled within the past 4 years. The commenter notes that the compliance schedule for the terminating action does not address the condition where the ADF antenna was previously removed and the fuselage skin inspection and repaired, i.e., in accordance with the previously issued AD 96-07-51. The commenter considers that, for the repaired airplanes, a higher level of safety has been achieved than if no such repair had been performed. Considering the number of airplanes that are affected by this AD (approximately 403), allowing an extension of the time for installing the final fix on the previously repaired airplanes also will minimize the operational impact of the AD on operators.

The FAA concurs with the commenter's request. The FAA acknowledges that AD 96-07-51 (as well as this new AD) requires that, if any cracking or corrosion is found and it is within certain limits, the area must be repaired in accordance with either the Structural Repair Manual (SRM) or a manner approved by the FAA. In consideration of the increased structural integrity of the area that is provided by such repairs, the FAA finds that the risk of additional cracking is reduced and accomplishment of the terminating

action may be extended for an additional 2 years (for a total of 4 years) without adversely affecting safety. Paragraph (b) of the final rule has been revised accordingly.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the change previously described. The FAA has determined that this change will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 569 McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. The FAA estimates that 403 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this proposed AD.

The inspections that were previously required by AD 96-07-51 and retained in this new AD take approximately 5 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the currently required actions on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$120,900, or \$300 per airplane, per inspection.

The terminating action that is required by this new AD will take approximately 16 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the new requirements of this AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$386,880, or \$960 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a

"significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39-9562 (61 FR 15882, April 10, 1996), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), amendment 39-9911, to read as follows:

97-03-05 McDonnell Douglas: Amendment 39-9911. Docket 96-NM-235-AD. Supersedes AD 96-07-51, Amendment 39-9562.

Applicability: Model DC-9 series airplanes having fuselage numbers 001 through 631 inclusive, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d)(1) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent rapid decompression of the fuselage, significant structural damage, and subsequent reduced structural integrity of the airplane, due to problems associated with

corrosion and fatigue cracking, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 15 days after April 15, 1996 (the effective date of AD 96-07-51, amendment 39-9562): Perform an internal visual inspection to detect corrosion and cracking of the fuselage forward upper skin and to detect cracking of the fuselage frame in the area of the forward and aft loop antenna assemblies of the automatic direction finder (ADF), in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC9-53A282, dated March 20, 1996.

(1) If no corrosion or cracking is detected: Repeat the visual inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD thereafter at intervals not to exceed 6 months.

(2) If any corrosion or cracking is detected that is within the limits specified in Chapter 53-04, Figure 29, of the DC-9 Structural Repair Manual (SRM): Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with Chapter 53-04, Figure 29, of the SRM. Thereafter, repeat the visual inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD at intervals not to exceed 6 months.

(3) If any corrosion or cracking is detected in the fuselage forward upper skin, or if any cracking is detected in the fuselage frame, and that corrosion or cracking is outside the limits specified in Chapter 53-04, Figure 29, of the SRM: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate.

(b) At the applicable time specified in paragraph (b)(1) of (b)(2) of this AD, remove the ADF antennas and perform both a visual inspection and a high frequency eddy current inspection to detect corrosion and cracking of the fuselage forward upper skin under the antennas, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-284, dated August 20, 1996.

(1) For airplanes on which the ADF antenna has not been previously removed and the fuselage skin has not been previously inspected for evidence of corrosion, within the last 4 years prior to the effective date of this AD: Accomplish the inspections within 2 years after the effective date of this AD.

(2) For airplanes on which the ADF antenna has been previously removed and the fuselage skin has been previously inspected for evidence of corrosion and/or repaired within the last 4 years prior to the effective date of this AD: Accomplish the inspections within 4 years after the effective date of this AD.

(c) As a result of the inspections required by paragraph (b) of this AD, accomplish the applicable action specified in paragraph (c)(1), (c)(2), or (c)(3) of this AD. Accomplishment of the actions specified in paragraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) constitutes terminating action for the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD.

(1) If no cracking or corrosion is detected: Prior to further flight, reinstall the ADF antennas using the improved installation procedure in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-284, dated August 20, 1996. Thereafter, no further action is required by this AD.

(2) If any cracking or corrosion is detected that is within the limits specified in Chapter

53-04 of the DC-9 Structural Repair Manual (SRM): Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with Chapter 53-04 of the DC-9 SRM, and reinstall the ADF antennas using the improved installation procedure in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-284, dated August 20, 1996. Thereafter, no further action is required by this AD.

(3) If any cracking or corrosion is detected that is outside the limits specified in Chapter 53-04 of the SRM: Prior to further flight, repair in accordance with a method approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airport Directorate.

(d)(1) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles ACO.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Los Angeles ACO.

(2) Alternative methods of compliance, approved in accordance with AD 96-07-71, amendment 39-9562, are approved as alternative methods of compliance with this AD.

(e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(f) The actions shall be done in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Alert Service Bulletin DC9-53A282, dated March 20, 1996; and McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin DC9-53-284, dated August 20, 1996. The incorporation by reference of the former service bulletin was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51, as of April 15, 1996 (61 FR 15882, April 10, 1996). The incorporation by reference of the latter service bulletin was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Technical Publications Business Administration, Department C1-L51 (2-60). Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3960 Paramount Boulevard, Lakewood, California; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(g) This amendment becomes effective on March 10, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 23, 1997.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97-2222 Filed 1-31-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-CE-33-AD; Amendment 39-9909; AD 97-03-03]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Pilatus Britten-Norman Ltd. BN-2, BN-2A, and BN-2B Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) that applies to certain Pilatus Britten-Norman BN-2, BN-2A, and BN-2B series airplanes that do not have generator terminal diodes installed with Modification NB/M/1571. This action requires removing the terminal diodes that have a 70 amp direct current (DC) Generation System, which is referred to as Modification NB/M/1148, and installing Modification NB/M/1571, which consists of new terminal diodes with a higher amp rating. Reports from operators that one or both diodes were failing prompted this action. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent loss of electrical power to the navigation, communications, and light systems, which could impair the pilot's ability to maintain control of the airplane.

DATES: Effective March 23, 1997.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of March 23, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Service information that applies to this AD may be obtained from Pilatus Britten-Norman, Ltd., Bembridge, Isle of Wight, United Kingdom, PO35 5PR. This information may also be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket 96-CE-33-AD, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., Suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Tom Rodriguez, Program Manager, Brussels Aircraft Certification Division, FAA, Europe, Africa and the Middle