

tariff issues which were set for a technical conference by Commission order issued September 30, 1996 in Docket No. RP96-366-000. The Settlement provided for certain changes to the operating provisions in FGT's currently effective tariff and modified or withdrew tariff changes originally proposed by FGT's August 30, 1996 filing in Docket No. RP96-366-000. All active parties either supported or did not oppose the Settlement but one party requested that a provision included in the Settlement be incorporated into FGT's Tariff. By order issued January 16, 1996 (January 16 Order) the Commission approved the Settlement and required FGT to incorporate a Settlement provision regarding a recorded phone message into its Tariff. FGT states that instant filing is in compliance with the January 16 Order.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-2116 Filed 1-28-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP91-143-040]

Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership; Notice of Revenue Sharing Report November 1995-October 1996

January 23, 1997.

Take notice that on January 17, 1997, Great Lakes Gas Transmission Limited Partnership (Great Lakes) filed its Interruptible/Overrun (I/O) Revenue Sharing Report with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) in accordance with the Stipulation and Agreement (Settlement) filed on September 24, 1992, and approved by the Commission's February 3, 1993 order issued in Docket No. RP91-143-000, et al.

Great Lakes states that this report reflects application of the revenue sharing mechanism and remittances made to firm shippers for I/O revenue

collected for the November 1, 1995 through October 31, 1996 period, in accordance with Article IV of the Settlement. Great Lakes states that such remittances, totaling \$107,789, were made to Great Lakes' firm shippers on December 18, 1996.

Great Lakes states that copies of the report were sent to its firm customers, parties to this proceeding and the Public Service Commissions of Minnesota, Wisconsin and Michigan.

Great Lakes further states the amounts remitted are based on implementation of the Commission's orders in Docket Nos. RP91-143, RS92-63 and RP95-422, et al. The amounts remitted may be adjusted at a future date in accordance with the provisions of Articles III and V of the Settlement, as certain of the Commission's orders referenced above are under Petitions for Review in the United States Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in *Rochester Gas and Electric Company v. FERC* Nos. 96-1136, et al. Great Lakes states it will adjust the amounts remitted to comply with any further Commission action or judicial review resulting from disposition of the aforementioned court proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed on or before January 30, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-2114 Filed 1-28-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER97-852-000]

Ontario Hydro Interconnected Markets Inc. Notice of Filing

January 23, 1997.

Take notice that on January 3, 1997, Ontario Hydro Interconnected Markets Inc. tendered for filing an amendment to its prior filing in this docket, consisting of Attachment B ("Methodology To Assess Available Transmission Transfer Capability") to the "Ontario Hydro Proposed Transmission Reservation Tariff For Transactions Out Of And

Through The Province of Ontario," that was previously filed in this docket. Ontario Hydro Interconnected Markets Inc. states that the attachment was inadvertently omitted from some of the copies of its filing.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before January 31, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-2160 Filed 1-28-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. EL97-21-000, et al.]

Southern California Edison Company v. San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Enova Energy, Inc. and Ensource Corporation, et al.; Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

January 21, 1997.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Southern California Edison Company v. San Diego Gas & Electric Company, Enova Energy, Inc., Ensource Corporation

[Docket No. EL97-21-000]

Take notice that on January 10, 1997, Southern California Edison Company tendered for filing a complaint against San Diego Gas & Electric Company, (SDG&E) Enova Energy, Inc., (Enova Energy) and Ensource Corporation (Ensource) requesting that the Commission find that the merger of Enova and Pacific Enterprises (owner of Ensource), which involves their public utility subsidiaries—SDG&E, Enova Energy, and Ensource is subject to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act, and direct that the companies submit an application for merger approval to the Commission.

Comment date: February 7, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.