

the old drawbridge are no longer necessary and the Coast Guard is removing 33 CFR 117.261(h).

#### Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has not been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation under paragraph 10e of the regulatory policy and procedures of DOT is unnecessary. We conclude this because the drawbridge has been replaced with a new bridge.

#### Small Entities

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*), the Coast Guard must consider whether this rule will have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities may include small businesses and not for profit organizations that are independently owned and operated and are not dominant in their field and governmental jurisdictions with populations of less than 50,000. The Coast Guard certifies under 5 U.S.C. 605(b) that this final rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, because the drawbridge has been replaced with a new bridge and is no longer necessary.

#### Collection of Information

This rule contains no collection-of-information requirement under the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### Federalism

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

#### Environment

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this rule and has determined pursuant to section 2.B.2. of Commandant Instruction M16475.1b (as revised by 59 FR 38654, July 29, 1994), that this rule is categorically excluded from further

environmental documentation. Pursuant to this instruction, specifically section 2.B.2e.(32)(e), a Categorical Exclusion checklist and determination has been prepared and are available for inspection and copying.

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

#### Final Regulations

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends Part 117 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, as follows:

#### **PART 117—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05–1(g); section 117.255 also issued under the authority of Pub. L. 102–587, 106 stat. 5039.

#### **§ 117.261 [Amended]**

2. Section 117.261(h) is removed and reserved.

Dated: December 19, 1996.

J.W. Lockwood,

*Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander,  
Seventh Coast Guard District.*

[FR Doc. 97–1575 Filed 1–22–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

#### **Coast Guard**

#### **33 CFR Part 157**

[CGD 91–045]

RIN 2115–AE01

#### **Operational Measures To Reduce Oil Spills From Existing Tank Vessels Without Double Hulls**

**AGENCY:** Coast Guard, DOT.

**ACTION:** Final rule; extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Coast Guard is extending the comment period on the under-keel clearance provisions contained in the operational measures final rulemaking to allow an additional 30 days for public comment.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before February 26, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be mailed to the Executive Secretary, Marine Safety Council (G–LRA/3406) [CGD 91–045], U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, DC 20593–0001, or may be delivered to room 3406 at the same address between 9:30 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.; Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The telephone number is (202) 267–1477.

The Executive Secretary maintains the public docket for this rulemaking. Comments will become part of this docket and will be available for inspection or copying at room 3406, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, between 9:30 a.m. and 2:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

#### **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

LCDR Suzanne Englebert, Project Manager, Project Development Division, at (202) 267–1492.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

#### **Background and Purpose**

On November 27, 1996, the Coast Guard published a partial suspension of regulation with request for comments (61 FR 60189) delaying implementation of certain under-keel clearance requirements and opening a 60 day comment period limited to the provisions of 33 CFR 157.455(a). Since publication of the partial suspension notice, the Coast Guard received a request from a regulated entity for additional information on the under-keel clearance provisions. The information requested has been added to the docket. In light of this addition, the Coast Guard is extending the comment period to allow an additional 30 days to comment on the under-keel clearance provisions.

Dated: January 17, 1997.

G.F. Wright,

*Acting Director of Standards, Marine Safety and Environmental Protection.*

[FR Doc. 97–1637 Filed 1–22–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–14–M

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

#### **40 CFR Part 76**

[FRL–5678–1]

RIN 2060–AF48

#### **Acid Rain Program; Nitrogen Oxides Emissions Reduction Program**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule; correction.

**SUMMARY:** On December 19, 1996, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated emission limitations for the second phase of the Nitrogen Oxides Reduction Program under Title IV of the Clean Air Act. These emission limitations will reduce the serious adverse effects of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions on human health, visibility, ecosystems, and materials. This action corrects the effective date and other inadvertent