

Assumptions	Concerns
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development and extraction of energy and mineral resources will continue, perhaps at an increased rate, depending on demand. • The area is economically important to surrounding communities and the State of Utah. • There will continue to be a need for various degrees of access to accommodate public needs and demands. • Planning efforts will be consistent with the Governor's Open Space Policy. 	<p>How best can mineral development continue, with the least amount of restrictions while restoring and maintaining fully functioning health ecosystems?</p> <p>How will future management prescriptions enhance or restrict economic development?</p> <p>What kind of public access should be provided to and on the area (Off Highway Vehicle travel, established roads, etc.)?</p> <p>How will Governor's Open Space Policy influence the planning process?</p>

The CRMP, EIS and the RMP amendment will be prepared under 43 CFR part 1610 to meet the requirements of section 202 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act, and section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act. This revision is necessary to update and expand the decisions in the existing land use plan. Decisions generated during this planning process will supersede affected land use planning decisions presented in the 1985 Book Cliffs RMP that affect lands within the CRMP area.

Public participation is being actively sought at this time to ensure the EIS addresses all issues, problems and concerns from those interested in the management of the public lands within the Book Cliffs area, including acquired lands. The development of the CRMP, EIS, and the RMP amendment is a public process and the public is invited and encouraged to assist in the identification of issues and the scope of the EIS and planning amendment. Public meetings will be held to discuss planning issues. The date, time, and location of these scoping meetings are: March 17, 1997, 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., in the John Wesley Powell Museum in Green River, Utah; March 18, 1997, 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m., in the Department of Natural Resources Auditorium, Room 1040-1060, at 1594 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah; and March 26, 1997, 7:00 p.m. to 9:00 p.m. in the Western Park Conference Center 302 East 200 South in Vernal, Utah. These meetings also will be announced in local newspapers and through other local media.

Formal public participation will be requested for review of the preliminary and final CRMP, EIS, and RMP amendment during 1997. Notice of availability of these documents will be published at the appropriate times.

The documents will be prepared by an interdisciplinary team which includes specialists in rangeland, minerals, vegetation, riparian values, cultural resources, recreation, wildlife/fisheries habitats, realty, and special status animal and plant species. Other

disciplines may be represented as necessary.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dean Evans, Resource Advisor, Vernal District Office, 170 South 500 East, Vernal, Utah 84078. Business hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays, telephone (801) 789-1362 or 781-4470, fax (801) 781-4410.

Dated: January 16, 1997.

G. William Lamb,
State Director, Utah.

[FR Doc. 97-1603 Filed 1-22-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-DQ-M

[CO-956-96-1420-00]

Colorado: Filing of Plats of Survey

December 30, 1996.

The plats of survey of the following described land, will be officially filed in the Colorado State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Lakewood, Colorado, effective 10:00 a.m., December 30, 1996. All inquiries should be sent to the Colorado State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80215.

The plat (in four sheets) representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the subdivisional lines, a portion of Homestead Entry Survey Number's 43, 106, 215, and mineral claims in sections 3 and 10, T. 3 S., R. 73 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Group 998, Colorado, was accepted November 4, 1996.

The plat representing the metes-and-bounds survey of Tracts 48 and 49 in unsurveyed T. 2 S., R. 75 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Group 1149, Colorado, was accepted December 11, 1996.

These surveys were required for the administrative purposes of the Forest Service.

The plat representing the entire record of the dependent resurvey of M.S. No. 12572, Don Quixote Lode, section 31, T. 44 N., R. 4 W., New Mexico Principal Meridian, Group 736,

Colorado, was accepted November 27, 1996.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the south boundary and subdivisional lines and the subdivision-of-section survey of section 35, T. 13 S., R. 74 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Group 1045, Colorado, was accepted December 19, 1996.

The plat representing the entire record of the dependent resurvey between sections 25 and 36, T. 46 N., R. 4 W., New Mexico Principal Meridian, Group 1056, Colorado, was accepted November 7, 1996.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of portions of the subdivisional lines and the subdivision of section 9, T. 1 S., R. 80 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Group 1123, Colorado, was accepted December 3, 1996.

The plat representing the dependent resurvey of a portion of the north and east boundaries, a portion of the boundary between Jefferson and Clear Creek Counties, M.S. No. 9730, a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision survey of section 1, T. 4 S., R. 72 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Group 1136, Colorado, was accepted December 12, 1996.

The supplemental plat creating new lots 1 through 6 in section 32 and new lots 1 through 6 in section 33 of T. 1 N., R. 80 W., Sixth Principal Meridian, Colorado, was accepted December 5, 1996.

These surveys were required for the administrative purposes of this Bureau. Darryl A. Wilson,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor for Colorado.

[FR Doc. 97-1584 Filed 1-22-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-JB-P

National Park Service

Notice of Availability of the Final Development Concept Plan/ Environmental Impact Statement for South Side Denali, Alaska

AGENCIES: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability of the Final Development Concept Plan/

Environmental Impact Statement for South Side Denali, Alaska.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service announces the availability of a Final Development Concept Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (DCP/EIS) for South Side Denali, Alaska. The document describes and analyzes the environmental impacts of a proposed action and two other action alternatives for providing opportunities for high quality, resource-based destination experiences on South Side Denali National Park and Preserve in Alaska, as well as information, orientation, and recreation services and facilities convenient to park visitors. A no action alternative also is evaluated.

DATES: A Record of Decision will be made no sooner than 30 days after the date of the Federal Register Notice issued by the Environmental Protection Agency accepting and announcing the availability of the final DCP/EIS. A Record of Decision is anticipated by late February 1997.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the Final South Side Denali DCP/EIS are available on request from: Superintendent, Denali National Park and Preserve, Post Office Box 9, Denali Park, Alaska 99755.

Public reading copies of the final DCP/EIS will be available in the following locations:

Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, Room 3424, Washington, DC 20240, telephone: (202) 208-6843.

Alaska System Support Office, National Park Service, 2525 Gambell Street, Room 404, Anchorage, Alaska 99503-2892, telephone: (907) 257-2650.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy Swanton, Park Planner, Denali National Park and Preserve. Telephone: (907) 257-2651 FAX: (907) 257-2485.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub. L. 91-190, as amended), the National Park Service, as lead federal agency, in cooperation with the State of Alaska, Matanuska-Susitna Borough, and Denali Borough, has prepared a final DCP/EIS for proposed visitor facilities and services on the South Side of Denali National Park and Preserve in Alaska.

The final DCP/EIS represents a cooperative planning effort that builds on previous planning for the region, including a draft DCP/EIS issued in 1993 and a revised draft DCP/EIS issued in March 1996, recommendations for the south side made by the Denali Task Force and adopted by the National Park System Advisory Board in December

1994, and public comment. The south side refers to an area that includes Denali National Park and Preserve land, Denali State Park land, and other lands to the south of the national park and preserve boundaries.

A proposed action, two other action alternatives, and a no action alternative are described and evaluated in the final DCP/EIS. The final DCP/EIS also sets the stage for establishing working partnerships for more detailed decision-making, funding, and phasing of appropriate visitor facilities and services on the south side.

Purpose, Vision, and Goals

The purpose of the final DCP/EIS is to identify and evaluate options for the south side of Denali that serve the following vision:

- Provide opportunities for high quality, resource-based, destination experiences and provide information, orientation, and recreation services and facilities convenient to park visitors.
- Develop facilities and access in a location and manner that minimizes impacts on resources, local lifestyles, and communities.

- Establish working partnerships for funding and phasing development as outlined in the concept plan.

In addition, a number of more specific goals are identified:

- Provide access to and a location for interpretation of the special qualities found in Denali National Park and Preserve and Denali State Park, including access to the spectacular alpine landscape on the south side of the Alaska Range.
- Offer a range of experiences and opportunities to meet the diverse needs of the traveling public, including information and orientation to the region; new or improved recreation facilities; enhanced state and national park interpretation; and shelter in bad weather.
- Ensure that, viewed as a whole, facilities and services benefit all visitors, including Alaska residents, independent travelers, and package tour travelers.
- Design and develop facilities and access improvements to support public use and understanding of the south side and its outstanding resources.
- Establish a research program and identify management needs to guide facility and road development.
- Facilitate orderly economic development in the region consistent with resource protection.
- Minimize and mitigate adverse effects on fish and wildlife resources, habitat, cultural resources, local rural quality of life, and existing public land

and resource uses, including subsistence uses.

- Establish methods, responsibilities, and necessary steps to control unwanted secondary impacts of tourism and to minimize conflicts between different visitor groups.

While the final DCP/EIS evaluates the impacts of the proposed action and a range of alternatives, including a no-action alternative, it also sets the stage for establishing working partnerships for more detailed decision-making, funding, and phasing of appropriate visitor facilities and services on the south side. The final DCP/EIS emphasizes the importance of coordinated implementation and describes the commitments being made by the planning partners, individually and collectively. Most implementation tasks would occur under any of the action alternatives, although a few are associated with the proposed action only.

Proposed Action and Alternatives

General Policies and Actions

Several general policies and actions would be implemented under each action alternative. (An asterisk * indicates those actions that also would apply under the no-action alternative.) The policies would call for locating commercial facilities primarily on private lands; protecting the wild character of the south side; minimizing impacts on existing uses; adhering to the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, sections 1306 and 1307; and phasing development.

The following actions would be taken:

- Developing up to two additional roadside exhibits along the George Parks Highway
- Identifying and establishing watchable wildlife areas
- Developing self-guiding interpretive brochures
- Managing state rights-of-way to maintain safety and protect scenic values, including selective brushing along the George Parks Highway
- Reviewing and revising the Matanuska-Susitna Borough's Special Land Use District in Denali State Park to improve implementation and enforcement*
- Completing borough corridor management plans for the Petersville Road and portions of the George Parks Highway*
- Working together, as appropriate, to manage recreational activities and other uses of public lands on the south side* (In the no-action alternative, such efforts would continue, but would be less comprehensive and lower priority.)

- Supporting the maintenance of mining activities and working with the mining industry and individual claim holders to address mining issues in the project area*

- Considering state scenic byway designation for portions of the George Parks Highway, including the section in Denali State Park*

- Conducting research on the natural and cultural resources and human uses in the area in advance of development, as appropriate, on the south side* (In the no-action alternative, general information gathering would continue, but not at the pace, depth, or level of funding that would be anticipated if the site-specific developments described for the action alternatives were to be implemented, especially those along the Petersville Road.)

- Formally establishing a Denali South Side Plan Implementation Partnership to continue the cooperative partnership approach in implementing the development concept plan.

Proposed Action (Regional Strategy)

To provide a broad range of visitor opportunities, major facilities would be in the Tokositna area at the end of an upgraded and extended Petersville Road and at a location near Byers Lake along the George Parks Highway. A visitor center (up to 5,000 square feet) would be built in the Tokositna area of Denali State Park. The Petersville Road would be upgraded and extended from the Forks Roadhouse (about mile 19) to access this new facility. Up to 50 primitive recreational vehicle (RV) or tent campsites, a picnic area, up to four public use cabins, and some short hiking/interpretive trails (some leading into Denali National Park and Preserve) would also be developed in the Tokositna area. In cooperation and, where desirable, a partnership between the National Park Service, local communities, Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act Native corporations, and the state of Alaska would develop visitor facilities and services in the central development zone of Denali State Park, at Talkeetna, and at Broad Pass when the need and opportunity to do so are established. Consultation and coordination with local communities to define need and determine appropriate courses of action would be essential. For the central development zone, developments would entail constructing a visitor center (up to 3,000 square feet). In addition, the Byers Lake campground would be expanded by up to 25 sites or a new campground of up to 50 sites would be built elsewhere in the central development zone. Up to five primitive fly-in only campsites would be

constructed at Chelatna Lake, as would up to two public use cabins and a hiking/interpretive trail and trailhead sign. The Dunkle Hills road could provide new public access opportunities in the Dunkle Hills/Broad Pass area, including access into Denali National Park and Preserve, pending resolution of land status/access issues.

Development would occur under a logical and cost-effective phasing scenario developed by a Denali south side plan implementation partnership, in consultation with the public.

Alternative A (Large-Scale Visitor Facility along the George Parks Highway)

All facilities would be located in Denali State Park along the George Parks Highway. No facilities would be constructed in the Tokositna area, in the Dunkle Hills, or near Chelatna Lake. The Petersville Road would not be upgraded or extended beyond mile 19 under this alternative. One visitor center (up to 13,000 square feet) would be built in either the northern, central, or southern development zone of Denali State Park. The Byers Lake campground would be expanded by up to 25 sites or a new campground of up to 50 sites would be built elsewhere in the central development zone. Short hiking/interpretive trails would be developed around the visitor center. No public use cabins would be constructed.

Alternative B (Small-Scale Visitor Facility along the George Parks Highway)

Under alternative B, all facilities would be located in Denali State Park along the George Parks Highway. No facilities would be constructed in the Tokositna area, in the Dunkle Hills, or near Chelatna Lake. The Petersville Road would not be upgraded or extended beyond mile 19 under this alternative. One small visitor center (up to 1,500 square feet) would be built in either the northern, central, or southern development zone of Denali State Park. A small campground (up to 25 sites) would be constructed in the central development zone along the George Parks Highway. Short hiking/interpretive trails would be developed near the visitor center. No public use cabins would be constructed.

Alternative C (No Action)

Management activity and the current low level of backcountry visitation would continue. Under alternative C, all facilities would be located in Denali State Park along the George Parks Highway. No facilities would be constructed in the Tokositna area, in the

Dunkle Hills, or near Chelatna Lake. The Petersville Road would not be upgraded or extended beyond mile 19 under this alternative. A 320-square-foot visitor contact station would be built by the state near the Alaska Veterans Memorial in the central development zone of Denali State Park. A short trail to the Chulitna River would be developed by the state in the southern development zone of the state park. The Matanuska-Susitna Borough would likely construct a snowmachine user parking area and associated sanitary facilities near the Forks Roadhouse along the Petersville Road. An existing privately built (trespass) cabin near Chelatna Lake would be converted to public use. In addition, four public use cabins may be developed by the state on the east side of the Chulitna River in Denali State Park. Development of campgrounds or other visitor facilities on the south side would not be anticipated by the state, the National Park Service, or the boroughs.

Changes Made Between the Revised Draft and Final DCP/EIS

In response to public comments and cooperative planning partner discussions, several changes were made between publication of the revised draft DCP/EIS (March 1996) and completion of the final DCP/EIS. The major changes are summarized as follows.

Purpose and Need

This section was updated to more explicitly describe the partners' vision for south side development and recreational opportunities and to state the need for visitor facilities and services more clearly.

Direction for the Plan

This section was modified to clearly state the vision, goals, and objectives that guide the plan.

Elements Common to All Action Alternatives

Additional detail is provided clarifying the general policies and actions that would be implemented under each action alternative and the no-action alternative. For example, additional text is included to emphasize partner support of continued mining in the study area.

Implementation of the Development Concept Plan

This section was revised to provide clarification and additional information about plan implementation, including collective and individual partner commitments to ensure continued partnership, continued and

strengthened public consultation and involvement, coordination on related plans, and appropriate measures to minimize or avoid adverse impacts.

Two key commitments added are as follows:

- Ensure that additional or revised land management plans and controls are in effect before major development occurs.
- Assess the progress of plan implementation after three years in light of funding availability, results of wildlife research, and progress on identified mitigation strategies, and adjust priorities or management emphasis as needed.

Alternatives, Including the Proposed Action

The proposed action was revised based on public input and cooperative planning partner discussions. Language was added to clarify the objectives for development in the Tokositna area and along the George Parks Highway. The size of the Tokositna visitor center was reduced from a maximum of 13,000 square feet to a maximum of 5,000 square feet, with associated changes in visitor center functions and reductions in visitor and administrative space, parking, and employee housing. The capacity of the picnic facility in the vicinity of the Tokositna visitor center was increased from 25 to 50 people and now includes uncovered as well as covered areas for tables.

The proposed action also now concentrates on an upgrade and extension of the Petersville Road only from the Forks Roadhouse (mile 19) to the Tokositna site, because the road is generally usable for recreation development in its current state to mile 19, and it is assumed that the first 19 miles would be maintained and upgraded by the state regardless of actions proposed by this DCP/EIS. The road would also now include appropriately sited bicycle and pedestrian enhancements (not included in the revised draft DCP/EIS).

Statements have been added noting that the visitor centers and public use cabins would be designed and built for year-round use (though, initially, only a portion of the Tokositna visitor center would be open to the public in the winter).

The need for phasing and funding strategies are reemphasized, but most details regarding phasing of proposed developments were removed from the text; these would be determined during subsequent implementation planning activities.

The no-action alternative (alternative C) was revised slightly in that the proposed Matanuska-Susitna Borough

development of a snowmachine user facility near the Forks Roadhouse on the Petersville Road has been corrected to show only a parking area and sanitary facilities. The trail to the Chulitna River is described in more detail and the location changed from the central development zone to the southern development zone of Denali State Park. Construction of four public use cabins on the east side of the Chulitna River in Denali State Park also has been added to the list of actions.

The mitigating measure related to regulating motorized activities on the Curry-Kesugi Ridge and in the Troublesome Creek drainage of Denali State Park was deleted.

Affected Environment

This section was revised and updated to reflect new information received since the revised draft DCP/EIS was published and to better describe some resource conditions to address questions raised through public comments on the revised draft DCP/EIS.

Environmental Consequences

The impact sections for each of the development alternatives were revised to reflect changes made to the proposed action and no-action alternatives. Additionally, the impact analyses for all alternatives assume land use controls would be in place prior to major development; however, where it makes a difference in the analysis, a description of the impacts is provided given the situation that these controls are not implemented. Visitation predictions under all alternatives except alternative B have been reduced and relevant impact sections rewritten accordingly. Completion of visitor center facilities would not occur prior to year 2000 as assumed in the revised draft; this is now assumed to take place no sooner than 2002 in the final DCP/EIS.

The responsible official for the Record of Decision on the proposed actions is the National Park Service field director in Alaska.

Dated: January 14, 1997.
Judith Gottlieb,
Acting Field Director, Alaska Field Office.
[FR Doc. 97-1636 Filed 1-22-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 731-TA-757-759 (Preliminary)]

Collated Roofing Nails From China, Korea, and Taiwan

Determinations

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject investigations, the U.S. International Trade Commission determines, pursuant to section 733(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1673b(a)), that there is a reasonable indication that an industry in the United States is materially injured by reason of imports from China, Korea, and Taiwan of collated roofing nails,² provided for in subheading 7317.00.55 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States, that are alleged to be sold in the United States at less than fair value (LTFV).

Commencement of Final Phase Investigations

Pursuant to section 207.18 of the Commission's rules, as amended in 61 FR 37818 (July 22, 1996), the Commission also gives notice of the commencement of the final phase of its investigations. The Commission will issue a final phase notice of scheduling which will be published in the Federal Register as provided in section 207.21 of the Commission's rules upon notice from the Department of Commerce (Commerce) of affirmative preliminary determinations in the investigations under section 733(b) of the Act, or, if the preliminary determinations are negative, upon notice of affirmative final determinations in those investigations under section 735(a) of the Act. Parties that filed entries of appearance in the preliminary phase of the investigations need not enter a separate appearance for the final phase of the investigations. Industrial users, and, if the merchandise under investigation is sold at the retail level, representative consumer organizations have the right to appear as parties in Commission antidumping investigations. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons,

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

² Collated roofing nails are nails made of steel, having a length of 13/16 inch to 1-13/16 inches (or 20.64 to 46.04 millimeters), a head diameter of 0.330 inch to 0.415 inch (or 8.38 to 10.54 millimeters), and a shank diameter of 0.100 inch to 0.125 inch (or 2.54 to 3.18 millimeters), whether or not galvanized, that are collated with two wires.