

Comments received within the specified time period will be considered before a final decision is made; comments received after the time specified will be considered only to the extent possible without delaying processing of the application.

A record has been established for this notice under docket number [OPP-30427] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Rm. 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:

opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this notice, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

Written comments filed pursuant to this notice, will be available in the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division at the address provided from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. It is suggested that persons interested in reviewing the application file, telephone this office at (703-305-5805), to ensure that the file is available on the date of intended visit.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Pesticides and pests, Product registration.

Dated: January 6, 1997.

Janet L. Andersen,

*Director, Biopesticides and Pollution Prevention Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 97-1264 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[OPP-50823; FRL-5581-4]

#### Issuance of Experimental Use Permit

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** EPA has granted an experimental use permit to the following applicant. The permit is in accordance with, and subject to, the provisions of 40 CFR part 172, which defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental use purposes.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** By mail: Joanne Miller, Product Manager (13), Office of Pesticide Programs, Registration Division (7505C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person or by telephone: Rm. 237, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, Telephone: 703-305-6224, e-mail: miller.joanne@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA has issued the following experimental use permit: 54555-EUP-6. Extension. SKW Trostberg, AG, c/o Siemer & Associates, Inc., 4672 W. Jennifer, Suite 103, Fresno, CA 93722. This experimental use permit allows the use of 20,978 pounds of the growth regulator hydrogen cyanamide on 4,680 acres of various crops to evaluate its ability to stimulate uniform budbreak. The program is authorized only in the States of California and Georgia. The experimental use permit is effective from October 18, 1996 to March 1, 1998.

Persons wishing to review this experimental use permit are referred to the designated product manager. Inquires concerning this permit should be directed to the person cited above. It is suggested that interested persons call before visiting the EPA office, so that the appropriate file may be made available for inspection purposes from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Experimental use permits.

Dated: January 8, 1997.

Stephen L. Johnson,  
*Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 97-1490 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[PF-690; FRL-5583-3]

#### Interregional Research Project No. 4; Pesticide Tolerance Petition Filing

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**SUMMARY:** This notice announces the filing of an amendment to pesticide petition (PP) 5E4598 proposing to extend the effective date for the time-limited tolerance established for indirect or inadvertent combined residues of the insecticide imidacloprid and its metabolites resulting from crop rotational practices in or on the raw agricultural commodities of the cucurbit vegetables crop group. This notice includes a summary of the amended petition that was prepared by Bayer Corporation (Bayer), the registrant, and submitted by the Interregional Research Project No. 4, the petitioner.

**DATES:** Comments, identified by the docket number [PF-690], must be received on or before February 21, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** By mail, submit written comments to: Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, bring comments to: Rm. 1132, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

Comments and data may also be submitted electronically by sending electronic mail (e-mail) to: opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov or by submitting disks. Electronic comments must be submitted either in ASCII format (avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption) or in WordPerfect in 5.1 file format. All comments and data in electronic form must be identified by the docket number [PF-690]. Electronic comments on this notice may be filed online at many Federal Depository Libraries.

Information submitted as comments concerning this document may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as "Confidential Business Information" (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2. No CBI should be submitted through e-mail. A copy of the comment that does

not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** By mail: Hoyt L. Jamerson, Registration Division (7505W), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Sixth Floor, Crystal Station #1, 2800 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703) 308-8783, e-mail: jamerson.hoyt@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** EPA has received an amendment to PP 5E4598 from the Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR-4), New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, P.O. Box 231, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903. The amended petition proposes, pursuant to section 408 of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), 21 U.S.C. 346a, to amend 40 CFR 180.472 by extending the effective date to expire on December 31, 1997, for the time-limited tolerance established for the indirect or inadvertent combined residues of the insecticide imidacloprid (1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine) and its metabolites containing the 6-chloropyridinyl moiety, all expressed as 1-[(6-chloro-3-pyridinyl)methyl]-N-nitro-2-imidazolidinimine, resulting from crop rotational practices in or on the raw agricultural commodities in the cucurbit vegetables crop group at 0.2 parts per million (ppm). This tolerance will not support registration for imidacloprid on cucurbit vegetables. EPA will not consider applications for section 3 or section 24(c) registration for use of imidacloprid on cucurbit vegetables based on this time-limited tolerance. The tolerance will allow growers to produce cucurbit vegetables in rotation with crops that are treated in accordance with registered uses of imidacloprid. Imidacloprid registrations prohibit growers from planting crops that lack an imidacloprid tolerance on ground treated with the insecticide within a 12-month period. Crop rotational studies indicate that plant back crops grown in fields treated with imidacloprid may contain measurable amounts of the pesticide residue, if the rotational crop is planted within 12 months of application of the pesticide. In some areas, however, it is a common practice for growers to plant back cucurbit vegetables (melons, squash and cucumbers) in fields that have been used to produce tomatoes and peppers. Imidacloprid is registered and tolerances are established for the

fruiting vegetables crop group (including tomatoes and peppers).

IR-4 has submitted PP 6E4766, which proposes a permanent tolerance for residues of imidacloprid and its metabolites in or on the cucurbit vegetables crop group at 0.5 ppm. Although PP 6E4766 proposes a tolerance in support of registration for use of imidacloprid on cucurbit vegetables, the proposed tolerance, if established, will be adequate to cover indirect or inadvertent residues on cucurbits resulting from registered uses of imidacloprid. EPA's evaluation of PP 6E4766 will not be completed in time to establish a permanent tolerance, prior to the December 31, 1996, expiration date for the time-limited tolerance. Therefore, IR-4 proposes that the time-limited tolerance for imidacloprid be extended to December 31, 1997, to allow EPA additional time to review IR-4's petition for permanent tolerance for residues of imidacloprid on cucurbit vegetables.

As required by section 408(d) of the FFDCA, as recently amended by the Food Quality and Protection Act IR-4 included in the amendment a summary of the petition provided by Bayer and authorization for the summary to be published in the Federal Register in a notice of receipt of the petition. The summary represents the views of Bayer; EPA, as mentioned above, is in the process of evaluating the petition. As required by section 408(d)(3) EPA is including the summary as a part of this notice of filing. EPA may have made minor edits to the summary for the purpose of clarity.

#### I. Petition Summary

##### A. Plant Metabolism and Analytical Method

The nature of the imidacloprid residue in plants and livestock is adequately understood. The residues of concern are combined residues of imidacloprid and its metabolites containing the 6-chloropyridinyl moiety, all calculated as imidacloprid. The analytical method is a common moiety method for imidacloprid and its metabolites containing the 6-chloropyridinyl moiety using a permanganate oxidation, silyl derivatization, and capillary GC-MS selective ion monitoring. There is an additional confirmatory method available. Imidacloprid and its metabolites have been shown to be stable for at least 24 months in frozen storage.

#### B. Toxicological Profile of Imidacloprid

1. *Acute toxicity.* The acute oral LD<sub>50</sub> values for imidacloprid technical ranged from 424 to 475 milligrams (mg)/kilogram (kg) body weight (bwt) in the rat. The acute dermal LD<sub>50</sub> was greater than 5,000 mg/kg in rats. The 4-hour rat inhalation LC<sub>50</sub> was >69 mg/cubic meter (m<sup>3</sup>) air (aerosol). Imidacloprid was not irritating to rabbit skin or eyes. Imidacloprid did not cause skin sensitization in guinea pigs.

2. *Genotoxicity.* Extensive mutagenicity studies conducted to investigate point and gene mutations, DNA damage and chromosomal aberration, both using *in vitro* and *in vivo* test systems show imidacloprid to be non-genotoxic.

3. *Reproductive and developmental toxicity.* A two-generation rat reproduction study gave a no-observed effect level (NOEL) of 100 ppm (8 mg/kg/bwt). Rat and rabbit developmental toxicity studies were negative at doses up to 30 mg/kg/bwt and 24 mg/kg/bwt, respectively.

4. *Subchronic toxicity.* Ninety-day feeding studies were conducted in rats and dogs. The NOEL's for these tests were 14 mg/kg bwt/day (150 ppm) and 5 mg/kg bwt/day (200 ppm) for the rat and dog studies, respectively.

5. *Chronic toxicity/oncogenicity.* A 2-year rat feeding/carcinogenicity study was negative for carcinogenic effects under the conditions of the study and had a NOEL of 100 ppm (5.7 mg/kg/bwt in male and 7.6 mg/kg/bwt female) for noncarcinogenic effects that included decreased body weight gain in females at 300 ppm and increased thyroid lesions in males at 300 ppm and females at 900 ppm. A 1-year dog feeding study indicated a NOEL of 1,250 ppm (41 mg/kg/bwt). A 2-year mouse carcinogenicity study that was negative for carcinogenic effects under conditions of the study and that had a NOEL of 1,000 ppm (208 mg/kg/day).

Imidacloprid has been classified under "Group E" (no evidence of carcinogenicity) by EPA's OPP/HED's Reference Dose (RfD) Committee. There is no cancer risk associated with exposure to this chemical.

6. *Endocrine effects.* The toxicology database for imidacloprid is current and complete. Studies in this database include evaluation of the potential effects on reproduction and development, and an evaluation of the pathology of the endocrine organs following short- or long-term exposure. These studies revealed no primary endocrine effects due to imidacloprid.

7. *Mode of action.* Imidacloprid exhibits a mode of action different from

traditional organophosphate, carbamate, or pyrethroid insecticides. Imidacloprid acts by binding to the nicotinic receptor sites at the postsynaptic membrane of the insect nerve. Due to this novel mode of action, imidacloprid has not shown any cross resistance to registered alternative insecticides.

### C. Aggregate Exposure

Imidacloprid is a broad-spectrum insecticide with systemic and contact toxicity characteristics with both food and non-food uses. Imidacloprid is currently registered for use on various food crops, tobacco, turf, ornamentals, buildings for termite control, and cats and dogs for flea control. Those potential exposures are addressed below:

1. *Dietary.* The EPA has determined that the reference dose (RfD) based on the 2-year rat feeding/carcinogenic study with a NOEL of 5.7 mg/kg/bwt and 100-fold uncertainty factor, is calculated to be 0.057 mg/kg/bwt. As published in the Federal Register of December 13, 1995 (60 FR 64006) and June 12, 1996 (61 FR 2674) (petition to establish tolerances on leafy green vegetables (PP 5F4522/R2237)), the theoretical maximum residue contribution (TMRC) from published uses is 0.008358 mg/kg/bwt/day utilizing 14.7 percent of the RfD for the general population. For the most highly exposed subgroup in the population, non-nursing infants (<1 year old), the TMRC for the published tolerances is 0.01547 mg/kg/day. This is equal to 27.1 percent of the RfD. Therefore, Bayer believes that dietary exposure from the existing uses (including this time-limited tolerance) will not exceed the reference dose for any subpopulation (including infants and children).

2. *Water.* Although the various imidacloprid labels contain a statement that this chemical demonstrates the properties associated with chemicals detected in groundwater, Bayer is not aware of imidacloprid being detected in any wells, ponds, lakes, streams, etc. from its use in the United States. In studies conducted in 1995, imidacloprid was not detected in 17 wells on potato farms in Quebec, Canada. In addition, groundwater monitoring studies are currently underway in California and Michigan. Therefore, Bayer believes that contributions to the dietary burden from residues of imidacloprid in water would be inconsequential.

3. *Non-occupational— a. residential turf.* Bayer has conducted an exposure study to address the potential exposures of adults and children from contact with imidacloprid treated turf. The population considered to have the

greatest potential exposure from contact with pesticide treated turf soon after pesticides are applied are young children. Margins of safety (MOS) of 7,587 to 41,546 for 10-year-old children and 6,859 to 45,249 for 5-year-old children were estimated by comparing dermal exposure doses to the imidacloprid no-observable effect level of 1,000 mg/kg/day established in a 15-day dermal toxicity study in rabbits. The estimated safe residue levels of imidacloprid on treated turf for 10-year-old children ranged from 5.6 to 38.2 micrograms ( $\mu\text{g}$ )/square centimeter ( $\text{cm}^2$ ) and for 5-year-old children from 5.1 to 33.5  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ . This compares with the average imidacloprid transferable residue level of 0.080  $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$  present immediately after the sprays have dried. These data indicate that children can safely contact imidacloprid-treated turf as soon after application as the spray has dried.

b. *Termiticide.* Imidacloprid is registered as a termiticide. Due to the nature of the treatment for termites, exposure would be limited to that from inhalation and was evaluated by EPA's Occupational and Residential Exposure Branch and Bayer. Data indicate that the Margins of Safety for the worst case exposures for adults and infants occupying a treated building who are exposed continuously (24 hours/day) are  $8.0 \times 10^7$  and  $2.4 \times 10^8$ , respectively - and exposure can thus be considered negligible.

c. *Tobacco smoke.* Studies have been conducted to determine residues in tobacco and the resulting smoke following treatment. Residues of imidacloprid in cured tobacco following treatment were a maximum of 31 ppm (7 ppm in fresh leaves). When this tobacco was burned in a pyrolysis study only 2 percent of the initial residue was recovered in the resulting smoke (main stream plus side stream). This would result in an inhalation exposure to imidacloprid from smoking of approximately 0.0005 mg per cigarette. Using the measured subacute rat inhalation NOEL of 5.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, it is apparent that exposure to imidacloprid from smoking (direct and/or indirect exposure) would not be significant.

d. *Pet treatment.* Human exposure from the use of imidacloprid to treat dogs and cats for fleas has been addressed by EPA's Occupational and Residential Exposure Branch who have concluded that due to the fact that imidacloprid is not an inhalation or dermal toxicant and that while dermal absorption data are not available, imidacloprid is not considered to present a hazard via the dermal route.

4. *Cumulative effects.* No other chemicals having the same mechanism of toxicity are currently registered, therefore, Bayer believes that there is no risk from cumulative effects from other substances with a common mechanism of toxicity.

### D. Safety Determinations

1. *U.S. population in general.* Using the conservative exposure assumptions described above and based on the completeness and reliability of the toxicity data, Bayer concludes that total aggregate exposure to imidacloprid from all current uses including those currently proposed will utilize little more than 15 percent of the RfD for the U.S. population. EPA generally has no concerns for exposures below 100 percent of the RfD, because the RfD represents the level at or below which daily aggregate exposure over a lifetime will not pose appreciable risks to human health. Thus, Bayer concludes that there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from aggregate exposure to imidacloprid residues.

2. *Infants and children.* In assessing the potential for additional sensitivity of infants and children to residues of imidacloprid, the data from developmental studies in both rat and rabbit and a two-generation reproduction study in the rat have been considered. The developmental toxicity studies evaluate potential adverse effects on the developing animal resulting from pesticide exposure of the mother during prenatal development. The reproduction study evaluates effects from exposure to the pesticide on the reproductive capability of mating animals through two generations, as well as any observed systemic toxicity.

FFDCA Section 408 provides that EPA may apply an additional safety factor for infants and children in the case of threshold effects to account for pre- and post-natal effects and the completeness of the toxicity database. Based on current toxicological data requirements, the toxicology database for imidacloprid relative to pre- and post-natal effects is complete. Further for imidacloprid, the NOEL of 5.7 mg/kg/bwt from the 2-year rat feeding/carcinogenic study, which was used to calculate the RfD (discussed above), is already lower than the NOELs from the developmental studies in rats and rabbits by a factor of 4.2 to 17.5 times. Since a 100-fold uncertainty factor is already used to calculate the RfD, Bayer surmises that an additional uncertainty factor is not warranted and that the RfD at 0.057 mg/kg/bwt/day is appropriate for assessing aggregate risk to infants and children.

Using the conservative exposure assumptions described above, EPA has concluded that the TMRC from use of imidacloprid from published uses is 0.008358 mg/kg/bwt/day utilizing 14.7 percent of the RfD for the general population. For the most highly exposed subgroup in the population, non-nursing infants (<1 year old), the TMRC for the published tolerances is 0.01547 mg/kg/day. This is equal to 27.1 percent of the RfD. Therefore, Bayer concludes that dietary exposure from the existing uses including the currently proposed tolerances will not exceed the reference dose for any subpopulation (including infants and children).

#### E. Other Considerations

There is no reasonable expectation that secondary residues will occur in milk and eggs, or meat, fat, and meat byproducts of livestock or poultry; there are no livestock feed items associated with the cucurbit vegetables.

#### F. International Tolerances

No CODEX Maximum Residue Levels (MRL's) have been established for residues of Imidacloprid on any crops at this time.

#### II. Public Record

EPA invites interested persons to submit comments on this notice of filing. Comments must bear a notification indicating the document number [PF-690].

A record has been established for this notice of filing under docket number [PF-690] (including comments and data submitted electronically as described below). A public version of this record, including printed, paper versions of electronic comments, which does not include any information claimed as CBI, is available for inspection from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays. The public record is located in Room 1132 of the Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

Electronic comments can be sent directly to EPA at:  
opp-docket@epamail.epa.gov

Electronic comments must be submitted as an ASCII file avoiding the use of special characters and any form of encryption.

The official record for this notice of filing, as well as the public version, as described above will be kept in paper form. Accordingly, EPA will transfer all comments received electronically into

printed, paper form as they are received and will place the paper copies in the official record which will also include all comments submitted directly in writing. The official record is the paper record maintained at the address in "ADDRESSES" at the beginning of this document.

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: January 10, 1997.

Peter Caulkins,

*Acting Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.*

[FR Doc. 97-1491 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

[FRL-5679-6]

#### Notice of Proposed Administrative Settlement Under Section 122(h) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, Regarding the C&J Disposal Superfund Site, Town of Eaton, Madison County, NY

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed administrative settlement and opportunity for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with Section 122(i) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9622(i), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") Region II announces a proposed administrative settlement pursuant to Section 122(h) of CERCLA, relating to the C&J Disposal Superfund Site ("Site") in the Town of Eaton, Madison County, New York. This Site formerly was on the National Priorities List ("NPL") established pursuant to Section 105(a) of CERCLA. The Site was deleted from the NPL in 1994 following completion of a CERCLA cleanup of the Site. This notice is being published to inform the public of the proposed settlement and of the opportunity to comment.

The settlement, memorialized in an Administrative Cost Recovery Agreement (the "Agreement"), is being entered into by EPA and the Occidental Chemical Corporation ("Occidental"). Under the Agreement, Occidental will pay \$700,000 to the Hazardous Substance Superfund in settlement of

EPA's July 31, 1995 demand for past costs, plus interest, incurred by EPA with respect to the Site between May 23, 1991 and January 31, 1995. EPA, in turn, covenants not to sue Occidental for those past costs under Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9607(a).

**DATES:** EPA will accept written comments relating to the proposed settlement on or before February 21, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to the individual listed below and should refer to: "C&J Disposal Superfund Site, U.S. EPA Index No. II CERCLA-96-0213". For a copy of the settlement document, contact the individual listed below.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Douglas L. Fischer, Assistant Regional Counsel, New York/Caribbean Superfund Branch, Office of Regional Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 17th Floor, 290 Broadway, New York, New York 10007-1866. Telephone: (212) 637-3180.

Dated: December 24, 1996.

William J. Muszynski,

*Acting Regional Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 97-1492 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

##### Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (36 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarders, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573.

CNC Shipping International Inc.

7774 N.W. 71 Street

Miami, FL 33166

Officers:

Nilda Correa, President

Frank Cigarroa, Vice President

Aladdin Freight International

1000 Aladdin Avenue

San Leandro, CA 94577

Kristine Highsmith

Sole Proprietor

Dated: January 15, 1997.

Joseph C. Polking,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-1430 Filed 1-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M