

Both complainants and respondents filed petitions for review of the final ID, and complainants and the Commission investigative attorney filed responses to the petitions. On December 19, 1996, complainants filed a motion for leave to file a reply to the investigative attorney's response. There is no provision in the Commission's rules for such a reply. See 19 C.F.R. 210.43(c). Moreover, complainants' reply fails to raise any arguments that could not have been raised before the ALJ or in their petition for review. Accordingly, the Commission has determined to deny complainants' motion for leave to file a reply.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including the ID, the Commission has determined to review (1) the finding of no infringement with respect to the KBT model L200 tractor; and (2) the decision to limit infringement analysis to 25 models of accused tractors rather than all models of KBT tractors as to which there is evidence of importation and sale in the United States. The Commission has determined not to review the ID in all other respects. On review, the Commission will consider the following issues:

(1) whether the fact that gray market KBT L200 tractors are imported and sold bearing Japanese-language labels constitutes a "material difference" from the authorized KTC L200 model tractors sufficient to establish a likelihood of confusion;

(2) whether evidence on the record in this investigation demonstrates that specific KBT models other than the 25 identified on SX-1 have been imported and sold in the United States; and, if so,

(3) whether evidence on the record in this investigation demonstrates that any specific KBT model identified in number (2) above was imported and sold in the United States bearing Japanese-language labels or is otherwise materially different than the closest corresponding KTC model with respect to any of the differences found to be "material" in the ID.

In connection with final disposition of this investigation, the Commission may issue (1) an order that could result in the exclusion of the subject articles from entry into the United States, and/or (2) cease and desist orders that could result in respondents being required to cease and desist from engaging in unfair acts in the importation and sale of such articles. Accordingly, the Commission is interested in receiving written submissions that address the form of remedy, if any, that should be ordered. If a party seeks exclusion of an article from entry into the United States for

purposes other than entry for consumption, the party should so indicate and provide information establishing that activities involving other types of entry either are adversely affecting it or are likely to do so. For background, see the Commission Opinion in *In the Matter of Certain Devices for Connecting Computers via Telephone Lines*, Inv. No. 337-TA-360.

If the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider include the effect that an exclusion order and/or cease and desist orders would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions

The parties to the investigation are requested to file written submissions on the issues under review. The submissions should be concise and thoroughly referenced to the record in this investigation, including references to specific exhibits and testimony. Additionally, the parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested persons are encouraged to file written submissions on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Such submissions should address the November 22, 1996, recommended determination by the ALJ on remedy and bonding. Complainants and the Commission investigative attorney are also requested to submit proposed remedial orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed remedial orders must be filed no later than the close of business on January 23, 1997. Reply submissions must be filed no later than the close of business on January 30, 1997. No further

submissions will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why the Commission should grant such treatment. See 19 C.F.R. 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment is granted by the Commission will be treated accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and sections 210.45-.51 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 C.F.R. 210.45-.51).

Copies of the public version of the ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. Hearing impaired persons are advised that information on the matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at 202-205-1810.

Issued: January 9, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-969 Filed 1-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Investigation No. 731-TA-740 (Final)]

Sodium Azide From Japan

AGENCY: United States International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Suspension of investigation.

SUMMARY: On January 7, 1997, the Department of Commerce published notice of the suspension of its antidumping investigation on sodium azide from Japan (62 FR 973). The basis for the suspension is an agreement between the Department of Commerce and producers/exporters which account

for substantially all imports of this product from Japan, wherein each signatory producer/exporter agreed either to revise its prices to eliminate completely sales of this merchandise to the United States at less than fair value or to cease exports of this merchandise to the United States. Accordingly, the United States International Trade Commission gives notice of the suspension of its antidumping investigation involving imports from Japan of sodium azide, provided for in subheading 2850.00.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 7, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Ruggles (202-205-3187), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (<http://www.usitc.gov> or <ftp://ftp.usitc.gov>).

Authority: This investigation is being suspended under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.40 of the Commission's rules (19 CFR 207.40).

Issued: January 9, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-970 Filed 1-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an

agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.

2. The title of the information collection: Billing Instructions for NRC Cost Type Contracts.

3. The form number if applicable: N/A.

4. How often the collection is required: Monthly.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: NRC Contractors.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: 4308.

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 106.

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 2,000 hours (Billing Instructions—1384 + 616 License Fee Recovery Cost Summary).

9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies: N/A.

10. Abstract: The NRC Division of Contracts in administering its contracts provides Billing Instructions for its contractors to follow in preparation of invoices. These instructions stipulate the level of detail in which supporting cost data must be submitted for NRC review. The review of this information ensures that all payments made by NRC for valid and reasonable costs in accordance with the contract terms and conditions.

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access the submittal via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advanced Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703-321-3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1-800-397-4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by February 14, 1997. Edward Michlovich, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0109), NEOB-10202,

Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of January, 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 97-981 Filed 1-14-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 030-32908, License No. 29-28784-01, EAs 96-152 and 96-301]

Shashi K. Agarwal, M.D., Orange, New Jersey; Settlement Order Terminating License and Prohibiting Involvement in Licensed Activities

I

Shashi K. Agarwal, M.D. (Dr. Agarwal or licensee) is the holder of Byproduct Materials License No. 29-28784-01 (license) issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR Parts 30 and 35. The license authorizes the possession and use of any byproduct material identified in 10 CFR 35.200 for any imaging and localization procedure approved in 10 CFR 35.200. The license was issued on November 27, 1992, and is due to expire on December 31, 1997.

II

On September 12, 1996, an Order Suspending License (Effective Immediately) and Demand for Information (Order and Demand) was issued to the licensee based on the licensee's: (1) Failure to comply with numerous NRC requirements, as identified during an NRC inspection conducted at the licensee's facility April 18 and 30, 1996; (2) providing apparent inaccurate information to the NRC; and (3) failure to cooperate with the NRC or appear for a predecisional enforcement conference. The Order and Demand required that the licensee provide responses in writing by October 2, 1996, and contained instructions for providing the responses. To date, the licensee has not provided the required written responses.

III

On October 7, 1996, Dr. Agarwal, through his attorney, contacted the NRC and indicated that he desired to terminate his license and resolve all matters pending between himself and the NRC. As the parties desire to resolve all matters pending between them, the