

Dated: December 26, 1996.

Elizabeth L. Homer,

Acting Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97-571 Filed 1-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

Approval of Petition for Reassumption of Exclusive Jurisdiction by the Forest County Potawatomi Community of Crandon, Wisconsin, Over Indian Child Custody Proceedings Involving Indian Children Who Are Enrolled or Eligible for Enrollment With the Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin and Who Reside or Are Domiciled Within the Exterior Boundaries of the Forest County Potawatomi Communities, in the State of Wisconsin, in the Counties of Forest, Oconto, and Marinette

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Forest County Potawatomi Community of Crandon, Wisconsin, has filed a petition with the Department of the Interior to reassume exclusive jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings involving Indian children who are enrolled or eligible for enrollment with the Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin and who reside or are domiciled within the exterior boundaries of the Forest County Potawatomi Communities, in the State of Wisconsin, in the Counties of Forest, Oconto, and Marinette.

The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs has reviewed the petition and determined that tribal exercise of jurisdiction is feasible and that the tribe has a suitable plan for exercising such jurisdiction. This notice constitutes the official approval of the the Forest County Potawatomi Community's petition by the Department of the Interior.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin, reassumes exclusive jurisdiction by March 11, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The principal author of this document is Betty Tippeconnie, Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Office of Tribal Services, 1849 C St., N.W., Mail Stop 4603 MIB, Washington, D.C., 20240. (202) 208-2721.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The authority for the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs to publish this notice is contained in 25 CFR 13.14 and 209 DM 8. Section 108 of the Indian Child Welfare Act of 1978, Pub. L. 95-608, 92

Stat. 3074, 25 U.S.C. 1918, authorizes Indian tribes that occupy a reservation as defined in 25 U.S.C. 1903(10) over which a state asserts jurisdiction over Indian child custody proceedings, pursuant to Federal statute, to reassume jurisdiction over such proceedings.

To reassume such jurisdiction, a tribe must first file a petition in the manner prescribed in 25 CFR Part 13. Notice of receipt of this petition was published in the Federal Register, Vol. 60, No. 211, page 55588, on November 1, 1995. The petition is then reviewed by the Department of the Interior using criteria set out in 25 CFR 13.12. If the Department finds that the tribe has submitted a suitable plan and that tribal exercise of jurisdiction is feasible, the petition is approved by publication in the Federal Register.

The geographic areas subject to the reassumption of exclusive jurisdiction by the Forest County Potawatomi Community, Crandon, Wisconsin, are within the exterior boundaries of the Forest County Potawatomi Communities, in the State of Wisconsin, in the Counties of Forest, Oconto, and Marinette.

Dated: December 23, 1996.

Ada E. Deer,

Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97-572 Filed 1-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

Minerals Management Service

Outer Continental Shelf, Alaska Region, Cook Inlet Oil and Gas Lease Sale 149

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service.

ACTION: Availability of Environmental Assessment (EA) and finding of no significant impact (FONSI) for a revision to the oil and gas lease sale proposal for Cook Inlet, sale 149.

SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) has prepared an EA for a revision to the Cook Inlet, Sale 149 proposal. The revised proposal reduces the area being considered for leasing. Based on the conclusions of the EA, the MMS has prepared a FONSI. A 45-day comment period on the revised proposal and EA will commence upon publication of this Notice in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: A copy of the EA and FONSI is available to the public upon request from the Regional Director, Minerals Management Service, Alaska Region, 949 East 36th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99508-4302, Attention: Public Information. Copies can be requested by telephone, (907)

271-6070, or 1-800-764-2627. A copy can also be requested by fax at (907) 271-6805. Ask for the "Cook Inlet EA." Address comments to the Regional Supervisor, Leasing and Environment at the address or fax number stated above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) made available on January 30, 1996 (61 FR 3052) analyzed the Cook Inlet Sale 149 proposal as offering approximately 2 million acres. The revised proposal for Sale 149 would offer for lease approximately 430,000 acres north of Anchor Point in Cook Inlet. Based on the conclusions presented in the EA, a FONSI was prepared and a determination was made that a supplemental EIS is not needed.

Dated: January 7, 1997.

Carolita U. Kallaur,

Deputy Director, Minerals Management Service.

[FR Doc. 97-603 Filed 1-9-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Justice Programs

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Evaluation of the Comprehensive Community-Wide Approach to Gang Prevention, Intervention, and Suppression Program—"Aggregate Data forms: Police and School."

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted until March 11, 1997.

We request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evacuate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and