

applicant, and compatible with the public interest, and is reasonably necessary or appropriate for such purposes.

The Commission reserves the right to require a further showing that neither public nor private interests will be adversely affected by continued approval of United Power's issuances of securities or assumptions of liability.

Notice is hereby given that the deadline for filing motions to intervene or protests, as set forth above, is January 15, 1997. Copies of the full text of the order are available from the Commission's Public Reference Branch, 888 First Street, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20426.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-8 Filed 1-2-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER97-325-000, et al.]

**The Detroit Edison Company, et al.;
Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation
Filings**

December 26, 1996.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. The Detroit Edison Company

[Docket No. ER97-325-000]

Take notice that on December 18, 1996, The Detroit Edison Company (Detroit Edison), tendered for filing a revised Wholesale Power Sales Tariff (WPS-1), together with a blacklined copy of the revised tariff, showing the revisions made in this filing. Detroit Edison requests an effective date of November 2, 1996, for WPS-1.

Copies of this filing have been served on the Michigan Public Service Commission and on all parties to this proceeding.

Comment date: January 6, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Portland General Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-651-000]

Take notice that on December 13, 1996, Portland General Electric Company (PGE) and Southern California Edison Company (Edison), filed an amendment to the Notices of Cancellation of PGE and Edison's Long-Term Power Sale and Exchange Agreement (PGE Rate Schedule FERC No. 57, and Edison Rate Schedule FERC No. 213) and requested expedited action and a waiver of the 60-day prior notice requirement (205 of the FPA and 18 CFR 35.15) to allow the termination to become effective on December 31, 1996.

PGE and Edison's notice of cancellation was subject to the California Public Utilities Commission (California PUC) approving, by December 31, 1996, a "Termination Agreement Between Portland General Electric and Southern California Edison," dated March 28, 1996 (Termination Agreement). On December 9, 1996, the California PUC issued an Interim Opinion approving the Termination Agreement.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

3. Duke Power Company

[Docket No. ER97-805-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Duke Power Company (Duke), tendered for filing a Market Rate Service Agreement between Duke and Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. Duke requests that the Agreement be made effective as of November 19, 1996.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER97-806-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd) submitted three Service Agreements, variously dated, establishing American Electric Power Service Corp., (AEP), Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO), and Interstate Power Company (ISP), as non-firm customers under the terms of ComEd's Open Access Transmission Tariff (OATT). Also submitted is a Service Agreement, establishing Wisconsin Electric Power Company (WEPCO), as a firm transmission customer under the terms of ComEd's OATT.

ComEd requests an effective date of November 16, 1996 for the service agreements with AEP, NIPSCO, ISP, and November 30, 1996 for the service agreement with WEPCO, and accordingly seeks waiver of the Commission's requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon AEP, NIPSCO, ISP, WEPCO and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-807-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and

American Electric Power Service Corporation under Rate GSS.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. Louisville Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-808-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Louisville Gas and Electric Company, tendered for filing copies of a service agreement between Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Wabash Valley Power Association, Inc. under Rate GSS.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. Union Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-809-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Union Electric Company (UE), tendered for filing a Service Agreement for Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service dated December 6, 1996 between Illinois Power Company (IP) and UE. UE asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to permit UE to provide transmission service to IP pursuant to UE's Open Access Transmission Tariff filed in Docket No. OA96-50.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Union Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-810-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Union Electric Company (UE), tendered for filing a Service Agreement for Non-Firm Point-to-Point Transmission Service dated December 6, 1996 between MidAmerican Energy company (MEC) and UE. UE asserts that the purpose of the Agreement is to permit UE to provide transmission service to MEC pursuant to UE's Open Access Transmission Tariff filed in Docket No. OA96-50.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Commonwealth Edison Company

[Docket No. ER97-811-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd), submitted for filing Service Agreements, establishing Interstate Power Company (ISP), American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEP), and the Village of Winnetka (Winnetka), as customers under the terms of ComEd's Power Sales and Reassignment of Transmission Rights Tariff PSRT-1 (PSRT-1 tariff). ComEd

also filed new Service Agreements with Vitol Gas & Electric LLC (Vitol), Sonat Power Marketing L.P. (Sonat), to reflect a customer name change. The Commission has previously designated the PSRT-1 Tariff as FERC Electric Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 2.

ComEd requests an effective date of January 1, 1997, and accordingly seeks waiver of the Commission's requirements. Copies of this filing were served upon ISP, Vitol, Sonat, AEP, Winnetka, and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Wisconsin Public Service Corporation

[Docket No. ER97-812-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Wisconsin Public Service Corporation (WPSC), tendered for filing an executed Transmission Service Agreement between WPSC and AIG Trading Corporation. The Agreement provides for transmission service under the Open Access Transmission Service Tariff, FERC Original Volume No. 11.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Interstate Power Company

[Docket No. ER97-813-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Interstate Power Company (IPW), tendered for filing a Transmission Service Agreement between IPW and Wisconsin Electric Power Company. Under the Transmission Service Agreement, IPW will provide non-firm point-to-point transmission service to Wisconsin Electric.

Comment date: January 8, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation

[Docket No. ES97-19-000]

Take notice that on December 16, 1996, Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Niagara Mohawk) filed an application, under § 204 of the Federal Power Act, seeking authorization to issue, on or before December 31, 1998, short-term notes and obligations in an aggregate principal amount outstanding at any time not exceeding an amount equal to 10 percent of the aggregate of total consolidated surplus and secured indebtedness of Niagara Mohawk and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and the capital of the Niagara Mohawk plus \$50 million. Such short-term secured notes and other obligations will have a final

maturity date not more than one year after the date of issuance.

Comment date: January 15, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-11 Filed 1-2-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11530-000, Iowa]

Mitchell County Conservation Board; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

December 27, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for initial license for the Mitchell Mill Dam Hydroelectric Project, located on the Cedar River, in Mitchell County, Iowa, and has prepared a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the project.

Copies of the FEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2-A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

For further information, please contact Nancy Beals at (202) 219-2178.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-15 Filed 1-2-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 2550-002]

N.E.W. Hydro, Inc.; Notice of Availability of Environmental Assessment

December 27, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) Regulations, 18 CFR 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for a new license for the Weyauwega Hydroelectric Project, located on the Waupaca River, in the City of Weyauwega, Waupaca County, Wisconsin; and has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) for the project. In the EA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the existing project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the EA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2-A, of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426. For further information, please contact Edward R. Meyer at (202) 208-7998.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-13 Filed 1-2-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 11132-000]

Ridgewood Maine Hydro Partners, L.P.; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Assessment

December 27, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 F.R. 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for an original license for the existing unlicensed Eustis Hydroelectric Project, located in Franklin County, Maine, and has prepared a Final Environmental Assessment (FEA) for the project. In the FEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the existing project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection measures, would not constitute a major federal action