

by either proposing a civil penalty where application of the factors would otherwise result in zero penalty or by escalating the amount of the resulting civil penalty (i.e., base or twice the base civil penalty) to ensure that the proposed civil penalty reflects the significance of the circumstances and conveys the appropriate regulatory message to the licensee. The Commission will be notified if the deviation in the amount of the civil penalty proposed under this discretion from the amount of the civil penalty assessed under the normal process is more than two times the base civil penalty shown in Tables 1A and 1B. Examples when this discretion should be considered include, but are not limited to the following:

* * * * *

B. Mitigation of Enforcement Sanctions

The NRC may exercise discretion and refrain from issuing a civil penalty and/or a Notice of Violation, if the outcome of the normal process described in Section VI.B does not result in a sanction consistent with an appropriate regulatory message. In addition, even if the NRC exercises this discretion, when the licensee failed to make a required report to the NRC, a separate enforcement action will normally be issued for the licensee's failure to make a required report. The approval of the Director, Office of Enforcement, with consultation with the appropriate Deputy Executive Director as warranted, is required for exercising discretion of the type described in Section VII.B.1.b where a willful violation is involved, and of the types described in Sections VII.B.2 through VII.B.6. Commission notification is required for exercising discretion of the type described in: (1) Section VII.B.2 the first time discretion is exercised during that plant shutdown, and (2) Section VII.B.6 where appropriate based on the uniqueness or significance of the issue. Examples when discretion should be considered for departing from the normal approach in Section VI.B include but are not limited to the following:

* * * * *

Dated at Rockville, MD, this 18th day of December, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
John C. Hoyle.

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 96-32755 Filed 12-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Board of Governors; Notice of a Sunshine Act Meeting

The Board of Governors of the United States Postal Service, pursuant to its Bylaws (39 CFR Section 7.5) and the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. Section 552b), hereby gives notice that it intends to hold a meeting at 1:00 p.m. on Monday, January 6, 1997, and at 8:30 a.m. on Tuesday, January 7, 1997, Washington, DC.

The January 6 meeting is closed to the public (see 61 FR 65092, December 10, 1996). The January 7 meeting is open to the public and will be held at U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., in the Benjamin Franklin Room. The Board expects to discuss the matters stated in the agenda which is set forth below. Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the Secretary of the Board, Thomas J. Koerber, at (202) 268-4800.

Agenda

Monday Session

January 6-1:00 p.m. (Closed)

1. Consideration of a Proposed Filing with the Postal Rate Commission for Parcels. (John H. Ward, Vice President, Marketing Systems)
2. Consideration of Classroom Publication Rates. (John H. Ward, Vice President, Market Systems)
3. Consideration of Funding Approval for International Service Centers. (James F. Grubiak, Vice President, International Business; and John F. Kelly, Vice President, New York Metro Area Operations)

Tuesday Session

January 7-8:30 a.m. (Open)

1. Minutes of the Previous Meetings, December 2-3, 1996.
2. Remarks of the Postmaster General/Chief Executive Office. (Marvin Runyon)
3. Consideration of Board Resolution on Capital Funding. (Tirso del Junco, M.D., Chairman of the Board)
4. Consideration of Amendments to BOG Bylaws. (Chairman del Junco)
5. Annual Report on Government in the Sunshine Act Compliance. (Thomas J. Koerber, Secretary of the Board.)
6. Postmaster General's FY 1996 Annual Report. (Larry M. Speakes, Senior Vice President, Corporate & Legislative Affairs)
7. Capital Investments.
 - a. Golden, Colorado, Main Post Office/Delivery Distribution Center. (Rudolph K. Umscheid, Vice President, Facilities)
 - b. Las Vegas, Nevada, Processing and Distribution Center. (Vice President Umscheid)
 - c. Remote Computer Reader Enhanced Handwriting Recognition. (William J. Dowling, Vice President, Engineering)
8. Election of Chairman and Vice Chairman of the Board of Governors.

9. Tentative Agenda for the February 3-4, 1997, meeting in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Thomas J. Koerber, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-33015 Filed 12-23-96; 2:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Proposed Collection; Comment Request

SUMMARY: In accordance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 which provides opportunity for public comment on new or revised data collections, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) will publish periodic summaries of proposed data collections.

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed information collection is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information has practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the RRB's estimate of the burden of the collection of the information; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden related to the collection of information on respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Title and purpose of information collection: Customer Satisfaction Surveys. In accordance with Executive Order 12862, the Railroad Retirement Board (RRB) conducts a number of customer surveys designed to determine the kinds and quality of services our beneficiaries, claimants, employers and members of the public want and expect, as well as their satisfaction with existing RRB services. The information collected is used by RRB management to determine where and to what extent services are satisfactory and where and to what extent services can be improved. The surveys are limited to data collections that solicit strictly voluntary opinions, and do not collect information which is required or regulated.

The RRB currently utilizes OMB public information collection 3220-0188 (RRB Customer Satisfaction Survey), to gather information used in monitoring customer satisfaction. The RRB proposes to replace this information collection by securing approval of a generic clearance for customer survey activities. The generic clearance will enhance the RRB's capability to submit new or revised customer survey instruments needed to timely implement customer monitoring