

approximately 1.1 miles of new specified road. Additional alternatives may be developed after the significant issues are clarified and management objectives are fully defined. The Responsible Official will be presented with a range of feasible and practical alternatives.

*Permits and licenses* required to implement the proposed action will, or may, include the following: consultation with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for compliance with Section 7 of the Threatened & Endangered Species Act; review from the Colorado Division of Wildlife, and clearance from the Colorado State Historic Preservation Office.

The Forest Service predicts the draft environmental impact statement will be filed during the spring of 1997 and the final environmental impact statement during the summer of 1997.

The Forest Service will seek comments on the draft environmental impact statement for a period of 45 days after its publication in the Federal Register. Comments will then be summarized and responded to in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft environmental impact statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement.

(Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.)

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice at this early stage of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of the draft environmental impact statement must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the DEIS stage but that are not raised until after completion of the Final EIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490

F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when they can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: December 13, 1996.

Ben DeVillar,

*Deputy Forest Supervisor.*

[FR Doc. 96-32342 Filed 12-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-BW-M

### **Klamath Provincial Advisory Committee (PAC)**

**AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Klamath Provincial Advisory Committee will meet on January 30 and January 31, 1996 at the Red Lion Hotel, Sacramento Room, 1830 Hilltop Drive, Redding, California. The meeting will begin at 9:00 a.m. on January 30 and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. The meeting will reconvene at 8:00 a.m. on January 31 and continue until 3:00 p.m. Agenda items to be covered include: (1) example of Province-wide data application to PAC decision making process; (2) a strategy plan for the next two years of PAC Charter with recommendations; (3) a presentation on the proposed Pelican Butte Ski Area; (4) Province Interagency Executive Committee Report; and (5) public comment periods. All PAC meetings are open to the public. Interested citizens are encouraged to attend.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Connie Hendryx, USDA, Klamath National Forest, at 1312 Fairlane Road, Yreka, California 96097; telephone 916-842-6131, (FTS) 700-467-1309.

Dated: December 11, 1996.

Jay H. Perkins,

*Deputy Fire Staff Officer.*

[FR Doc. 96-32344 Filed 12-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

### **Natural Resources Conservation Service**

#### **Upper Tioga River Watershed, Pennsylvania; Notice of Deauthorization of Federal Funding**

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act, Public Law 83-566, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly the Soil Conservation Service) Guidelines (7 CFR Part 622); the Natural Resources Conservation Service (formerly the Soil Conservation Service) gives notice of the deauthorization of Federal funding for the Upper Tioga River Watershed project, Tioga and Bradford Counties, Pennsylvania, effective on November 26, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Janet L. Oertly, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Suite 340, One Credit Union Place, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110-2993, telephone (717) 782-2202.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local clearinghouse review of Federal and federally-assisted programs and projects is applicable.)

Dated: December 17, 1996.

Janet L. Oertly,

*State Conservationist.*

[FR Doc. 96-32308 Filed 12-19-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

### **Rural Utilities Service**

#### **Marshalls Energy Company, Inc.; Finding of No Significant Impact**

**AGENCY:** Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice of finding of no significant impact.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS), pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), and RUS Environmental Policies and Procedures (7 CFR Part 1794), has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to a project proposed by the Marshalls Energy Company, Inc. (MEC), of the Republic of the Marshall Islands. The proposed