approximately eight weeks after the meeting at the office of the Departmental Consulting Archeologist, 800 North Capitol St. NW, Suite 210, Washington, DC.

Dated: December 10, 1996,

Francis P. McManamon,

Departmental Consulting Archeologist, Manager, Archeology and Ethnography Program.

[FR Doc. 96–31740 Filed 12–12–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–F

Notice of Inventory Completion for Native American Human Remains in the Possession of the National Park Service, Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, Crow Agency, MT

AGENCY: National Park Service,

ACTION: Notice.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with provisions of the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA), 25 U.S.C. 3003 (d), of the completion of an inventory of human remains in the possession of the National Park Service, Little Bighorn Battlefield National Monument, Crow Agency, MT.

A detailed assessment of the human remains was made by National Park Service professional staff in consultation with representatives of the Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation of Wyoming, Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of Montana, Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, Crow Tribe of Montana, Northern Cheyenne Tribe of Montana, Oglala Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, Rosebud Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, Santee Sioux Tribe of Nebraska, Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe of Sioux Dakota, Three Affiliated Tribes (Arikara, Hidatsa, and Mandan), and Upper Sioux Indian Community of Minnesota. Representatives of the Blackfeet Tribe of Montana were invited to consultation meetings but did not attend.

In the 1890s, human remains representing a minimum of one individual were recovered from an unknown location in the area of Little Bighorn Battlefield by Howard Means, a surveyor. No individuals were identified. No associated funerary objects are present. Mr. Means' great-grandnephew and wife returned the remains, consisting of a skull and 2 femora, to the park in February 1996.

Results of non-destructive analysis of the remains suggest affiliation with the Sonota complex, a Middle Woodland group that occupied the western reaches of present-day North and South Dakota, including the Missouri River Basin, from approximately 90 AD to 600 AD. In general, the remains appear to be affiliated with Woodland groups as well as late prehistoric groups from the Northwestern Plains such as the Blackfeet, Crow, and Hidatsa. Historically, this area was inhabited by the Blackfeet, Crow, and Hidatsa, as well as the Arikara, Cheyenne, Mandan, and Sioux. Physical anthropological evidence suggests that the remains are most likely affiliated with the Crow or Hidatsa. Oral evidence from all tribes attending consultation meetings, and from the Blackfeet as well, supports this conclusion.

Based on the above-mentioned information, officials of the National Park Service have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(1), the human remains listed above represent the physical remains of a minimum of one individual of Native American ancestry. Officials of the National Park Service have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and the Crow Tribe and the Hidatsa of the Three Affiliated Tribes.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation of Wyoming, Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of Montana, Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, Crow Tribe of Montana, Northern Cheyenne Tribe of Montana, Oglala Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, Rosebud Sioux Tribe of South Dakota, Santee Sioux Tribe of Nebraska, Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe of Sioux Dakota, Three Affiliated Tribes (Arikara, Hidatsa, and Mandan), and Upper Sioux Indian Community of Minnesota, and the Blackfeet Tribe of Montana. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains should contact Gerard A. Baker, Superintendent, Little Bighorn National Monument, P.O. Box 39, Crow Agency, MT 59022; telephone: (406) 638–2621, before January 13, 1997. Repatriation of the human remains to the Crow Tribe and Three Affiliated Tribes will begin after that if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: December 9, 1996,

Veletta Canouts,

Acting, Departmental Consulting Archeologist,

Deputy Manager, Archeology & Ethnography Program.

[FR Doc. 96–31741 Filed 12–12–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–F

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

December 9, 1996.

The Department of Labor (DOL) has submitted the following public information collection request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 0104–13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). A copy of this individual ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor Acting Departmental Clearance Officer, Theresa M. O'Malley ((202) 219–5096×166). Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TTY/TDD) may call (202) 219–4720 between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

Comments should be sent to Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employment and Training Administration, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316), within 30 days from the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

The OMB is particularly interested in comments which:

* Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
* Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
* Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
* Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Agency: Employment and Training Administration.

Title: Governor's Requests for Advances from the Federal Unemployment Account or Requests for Voluntary repayment of Such Advances.

OMB Number: 1205–0199.

Frequency: One-time.

Affected Public: State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: Loan Requests=44; Request for voluntary Repayments=157.

Estimated Time Per Respondent: 1 hour each.

Total Burden Hours: 201.

Total Annualized capital/startup costs: 0.

Total annual costs (operating/maintaining systems or purchasing services): 0.

Description: Title XII Section 1201 of the Social Security Act provides that the Governor of any State may at any time request that funds be transferred from the account of that State to the Federal unemployment account in repayment of part or all of the balance of advances made to that State under Section 1201.

Theresa M. O'Malley,

Acting Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 96–31690 Filed 12–12–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

Bureau of International Labor Affairs; U.S. National Administrative Office; North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation; Notice of Determination Regarding Review of Submission #9602

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The U.S. National Administrative Office (NAO) gives notice that on December 10, 1996, Submission #9602 was accepted for review insofar as it pertains to the issues of freedom of association and the right to organize, including the failure to insure that labor tribunals are impartial and independent, the failure to ensure that labor tribunal proceedings are fair, equitable and transparent, and the failure to effectively enforce labor law. The allegations of the submission that relate to the issue of minimum employment standards, including overtime pay, were not accepted for review. The submission was filed with the NAO on October 11, 1996 by the Communications Workers of America (CWA), the Union of Telephone Workers of Mexico (STRM), and the Federation of Unions of Goods and Services Companies (FESEBS) and concerns the operations of an employer in Cananea, State of Sonora, Mexico.

Article 16(3) of the North American Agreement on Labor Cooperation (NAALC) provides for the review of labor law matters in Canada and Mexico by the NAO. The objective of the review of the submission will be to gather information to assist the NAO to better understand and publicly report on the Government of Mexico's compliance with the objectives set forth in Articles 3 and 5 of the NAALC.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 10, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Irasema T. Garza, Secretary, U.S. National Administrative Office, Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room C–4327, Washington, D.C. 20210. Telephone: (202) 501–6653 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 11, 1996 the CWA, STRM, and FESEBS filed a submission with the NAO concerning allegations involving the operations of an employer in Cananea, State of Sonora, Mexico. The allegations of the submission relate to freedom of association and the right to organize and minimum employment standards.

Article 16(3) of the NAALC provides for the review of labor law matters in Canada and Mexico by the NAO. ''Labor law'' is defined in Article 49 of the NAALC to include freedom of association and the right to organize and minimum employment standards.

The procedural guidelines for the NAO, published in the Federal Register on April 7, 1994, 59 FR 16660, specify that, in general, the Secretary of the NAO shall accept a submission for review if it raises issues relevant to labor law matters in Canada or Mexico and if a review would further the objective of the NAALC. However, the guidelines permit the NAO to decline to review a submission if, inter alia, the submission is not sufficiently specific to determine the nature of the request and permit an appropriate review.

Submission #9602 relates to labor law matters in Mexico. A review would appear to further the objectives of the NAALC, as set out in Article 1, which include improving working conditions and living standards in each Party's territory; promoting, to the maximum extent possible, the labor principles set out in Annex 1 of the NAALC, among them freedom of association and the right to organize and minimum employment standards; promoting compliance with, and effective enforcement by each Party of, its labor law; and fostering transparency in the administration of labor law. Regarding minimum employment standards, however, it appears to the NAO that the submission is not sufficiently specific to determine the nature of the request or to permit appropriate review; therefore, review of that issue would not be appropriate.

Accordingly, the submission has been accepted for review with respect to the issues of freedom of association and the right to organize but not the issue of minimum employment standards. The NAO's decision is not intended to indicate any determination as to the validity or accuracy of the allegations contained in the submission.

The objective of the review will be to gather information to assist the NAO to better understand and publicly report on the Government of Mexico's compliance with the obligations agreed to under Articles 3 and 5 of the NAALC. The review will focus on compliance with, and effective enforcement of, labor laws that guarantee the right of association and the right to organize freely and prohibit the dismissal of workers because of efforts to exercise those rights. The review also will focus on the impartiality and independence of tribunals that conduct or review labor proceedings; and the fairness, equitability and transparency of labor tribunal proceedings. The review will be completed, and a public report issued, within 120 days, or 180 days if circumstances require an extension of time, as set out in the procedural guidelines of the NAO.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on December 10, 1996.

Irasema T. Garza,

Secretary, U.S. National Administrative Office.

[FR Doc. 96–31689 Filed 12–12–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–28–M

Employment and Training Administration

[TA–W–32,608 and NAFTA–01149]

Crown Pacific Limited Partnership, Redmond, OR; Notice of Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration

By letter of October 8, 1996, the Lumber and Sawmill Workers, Local 1017, requested administrative reconsideration of the Department of Labor's Notices of Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance (TA–W–32,608) and NAFTA-Transitional Adjustment Assistance (NAFTA–01149) for workers of the subject firm. The denial notice for TA–W–32,608 was signed on September 17, 1996, and published in the Federal Register on October 1, 1996 (61 FR 51303). The denial notice for NAFTA–01149 was signed on September 13, 1996, and published in the Federal Register on October 1, 1996 (61 FR 51304).