

date, are inapplicable. Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this rule, the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601-612) does not apply.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, and under the authority of 3 U.S.C. 301; 22 U.S.C. 287c; 49 U.S.C. 40106; 50 U.S.C. 1601-1651; 50 U.S.C. 1701-1706; E.O. 12808, 57 FR 23299, 3 CFR, 1992 Comp., p. 305; E.O. 12810, 57 FR 24347, 3 CFR, 1992 Comp., p. 307; E.O. 12831, 58 FR 5253, 3 CFR, 1993 Comp., p. 576; E.O. 12846, 58 FR 25771, 3 CFR, 1993 Comp., p. 599; and E.O. 12934, 59 FR 54117, 3 CFR, 1994 Comp., p. 930, appendices A and B to chapter V of 31 CFR are amended as set forth below:

1. Appendix A to chapter V of 31 CFR is amended by removing the following entries that are listed in alphabetical order at the end thereof:

ABRAMOVIC, Miroslava  
 ANDJIC, Slobodan  
 AVRAMOVIC, Dragoslav  
 BIGARENA TRADING LTD. of Moscow  
 BIGARENA TRADING LTD. of Limassol  
 CHESA, I.  
 CICALA, Andrea  
 DRAKULIC, Zoran  
 EAST POINT HOLDINGS LIMITED  
 G. L. LEGIN of Limassol  
 G. L. LEGIN of Moscow  
 GVOZDENOVIC, Zaga  
 ING, Dr.  
 INPEA (OVERSEAS) LTD  
 INPEA of Moscow  
 INPEA of Romania  
 IOANNIDES, Pambos  
 J&K LTD.  
 KOSTIC, Bosko  
 MAADI, N.  
 MASLAKOVIC, Dusan  
 MIHIC, Vukasin  
 PAPAPOULOS, Tassos  
 PEROVIC, D.  
 PETROMED LTD. of London  
 PIECAS, Stanko  
 PRELIC, M.  
 RIVAMED SHIPPING LTD. of Cyprus  
 SARENAC, Slobodan  
 SECYCO  
 SEKULAREC, Mirko  
 STELJIC, Marko  
 TASLAW NOMINEES LTD.  
 TASLAW SECRETARIAL LTD.  
 TAT TRADING LTD.  
 TRAFI HOLDINGS LTD. of Nicosia  
 VASIC, Zoran  
 VUCIC, Borka  
 VUJNOVIC, Milorad  
 YU POINT LTD.  
 ZECEVIC, Miodrag,

2. Appendix B to chapter V of 31 CFR is amended by removing the following entries under the following headings where they appear:

*Cyprus*  
 BIGARENA TRADING LTD. of Limassol  
 DRAKULIC, Zoran

EAST POINT HOLDINGS LIMITED  
 G. L. LEGIN of Limassol  
 GVOZDENOVIC, Zaga  
 INPEA (OVERSEAS) LTD  
 IOANNIDES, PAMBOS  
 MASLAKOVIC, Dusan  
 PAPAPOULOS, TASSOS  
 RIVAMED SHIPPING LTD. of Cyprus  
 SECYCO  
 TASLAW NOMINEES LTD.  
 TASLAW SECRETARIAL LTD.  
 TAT TRADING LTD.  
 TRAFI HOLDINGS LTD. of Nicosia  
 VUJNOVIC, Milorad

*England*

J&K LTD.  
 KOSTIC, Bosko  
 PETROMED LTD. of London

*Federal Republic of Yugoslavia (Serbia & Montenegro) (the "FRY (S&M)")*

ANDJIC, Slobodan  
 AVRAMOVIC, Dragoslav  
 MIHIC, Vukasin  
 SARENAC, Slobodan  
 STELJIC, Marko  
 VASIC, Zoran  
 VUCIC, Borka

*France*

ZECEVIC, Miodrag

*Iran*

MAADI, N.  
 PIECAS, Stanko

*Italy*

CICALA, Andrea  
 SEKULAREC, Mirko

*Romania*

CHESA, I.  
 ING, Dr.  
 INPEA of Romania

*Russia*

BIGARENA TRADING LTD. of Moscow  
 G. L. LEGIN of Moscow  
 INPEA of Moscow  
 PEROVIC, D.

*Ukraine*

PRELIC, M.

*Multiple or Unknown Locations*

ABRAMOVIC, Miroslava

Dated: November 14, 1996.

R. Richard Newcomb,

*Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.*

Approved: November 26, 1996.

James E. Johnson,

*Assistant Secretary (Enforcement).*

[FR Doc. 96-30857 Filed 11-29-96; 12:10 pm]

**BILLING CODE 4810-25-F**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 39**

[FRL-5658-6]

**Loan Guarantees for Construction of Treatment Works; Removal of Legally Obsolete Rule**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is today removing from the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 40 CFR Part 39. This outdated rule was intended to implement a provision of law allowing the EPA Administrator to guarantee state and municipal loans for wastewater treatment works. The rule is revoked because it is legally obsolete. Deleting this rule from the CFR will clarify the legal status of this rule for personnel of State and local government agencies. This action is in furtherance of government streamlining and will not adversely impact public health or the environment.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This final rule takes effect on December 4, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Bruce Feldman, Policy, Information and Training Branch (3903F), United States Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Telephone: (202) 260-5268; or E-mail to: feldman.bruce@epamail.epa.gov.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

I. Introduction

On March 4, 1995, the President directed all Federal agencies and departments to conduct a comprehensive review of the regulations they administer and, by June 1, 1995, to identify those rules that are obsolete or unduly burdensome. EPA has conducted a review of its rules, including 40 CFR Part 39 issued under the authority of Section 213 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, as amended. Part 39 is being revoked because the Loan Guarantee provisions of the Act were never funded by congressional appropriations, the program was never implemented, and there is no plan to implement it since the construction grants program is being phased out.

II. Obsolete Rule

*Part 39 Loan Guarantees for Construction of Treatment Works*

Part 39 established policies and procedures to ensure that inability to borrow necessary funds from other

sources does not prevent the construction of any wastewater treatment works for which a grant has been, or will be, awarded in compliance with the Act. It provides for the guarantee by the Administrator of full and timely payment of principal and interest on any obligation of the State, municipality, or intermunicipal or interstate agency issued directly and exclusively to the Federal Financing Bank to finance the local share of the costs of any such project.

Inasmuch as this revocation action relates to agency management and in view of the subject matter, notice of proposed rule making and public comment were considered unnecessary.

### III. Rulemaking Analysis

#### *Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Agency has determined that the rule being issued today is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.*, which generally requires an agency to conduct a regulatory flexibility analysis unless it certifies that the rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. By its terms, the RFA applies only to rules subject to notice-and-comment rulemaking requirements under the Administrative Procedure Act (APA) or any other statute. Today's rule is not subject to notice and comment requirements under the APA or any other statute. Even if the Agency were required to perform a regulatory flexibility analysis, today's rule would not have a significant economic impact on small entities for the reasons stated in this preamble.

#### *Executive Order 12866*

Under Executive Order 12866, [58 Federal Register 51,735 (October 4, 1993)] the Agency must determine whether the regulatory action is "significant" and therefore subject to OMB review and the requirements of the Executive Order. The Order defines "significant regulatory action" as one that is likely to result in a rule that may:

(1) Have an annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more or adversely affect in a material way the economy, a sector of the economy, productivity, competition, jobs, the environment, public health or safety, or State, local, or tribal governments or communities;

(2) Create a serious inconsistency or otherwise interfere with an action taken or planned by another agency;

(3) Materially alter the budgetary impact of entitlements, grants, user fees, or loan programs or the rights and obligations of recipients thereof; or raise novel legal or policy issues arising out of legal mandates,

the President's priorities, or the principles set forth in the Executive Order.

It has been determined that this rule is not a "significant regulatory action" under the terms of Executive Order 12866 and is therefore not subject to OMB review.

#### *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

Today's rule contains no Federal mandates (under the regulatory provisions of Title II of the UMRA) for State, local, or tribal governments or the private sector.

#### *Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office*

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in today's Federal Register. This is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2) of the APA as amended.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 39

Environmental protection, Loan programs—environmental protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water pollution control.

Dated: November 27, 1996.

Carol M. Browner,  
*Administrator.*

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 40 CFR Chapter I, under the authority of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 as amended, is amended as follows.

#### **PART 39—[REMOVED]**

1. Part 39 is removed.

[FR Doc. 96-30873 Filed 12-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

#### **40 CFR Part 52**

[CA 181-0024a; FRL-5649-8]

#### **Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan for South Coast Air Quality Management District**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA is taking direct final action to approve South Coast Air Quality Management District (District) Rules 212, 1301, 1302, 1303, 1304, 1306, 1309, 1309.1, 1310, and 1313 for the purpose of meeting requirements of the

Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or Act) with regard to new source review (NSR) in areas that have not attained the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS). This approval action will incorporate these rules into the federally approved State Implementation Plan (SIP) for California. The rules were submitted by the State to satisfy certain Federal requirements for an approvable NSR SIP. Thus, EPA is finalizing the approval of these rules into the California SIP under provisions of the CAA regarding EPA action on SIP submittals, SIPs for national primary and secondary ambient air quality standards and plan requirements for nonattainment areas.

**DATES:** This action is effective on February 3, 1997 unless adverse or critical comments are received by January 3, 1997. If the effective date is delayed, a timely notice will be published in the Federal Register.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of each rule are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours at the following address: New Source Section (A-5-1), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,  
Stationary Source Division, Rule  
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,  
Sacramento, CA 95814.

South Coast Air Quality Management  
District, 21865 E. Copley Drive,  
Diamond Bar, CA 91765-4182.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Gerardo C. Rios, (A-5-1), Air and  
Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental  
Protection Agency, Region IX, 75  
Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA  
94105-3901, Telephone: (415) 744-  
1259.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The air quality planning requirements for nonattainment NSR are set out in part D of title I of the Clean Air Act. EPA has issued a "General Preamble" describing EPA's preliminary views on how EPA intends to review SIPs and SIP revisions submitted under part D, including those State submittals containing nonattainment NSR SIP requirements [see 57 FR 13498 (April 16, 1992) and 57 FR 18070 (April 28, 1992)]. Because EPA is describing its interpretations here only in broad terms, the reader should refer to the General Preamble for a more detailed discussion. EPA has also proposed regulations to implement