

environmental impacts for a site-specific Proposed Action to permit, with stipulations, motorized vehicular access over an existing 12 miles of road, to private in-holdings inside the Kalmiopsis Wilderness, Chetco River drainage, of the Illinois Valley Ranger District, Siskiyou National Forest, Curry County, Oregon. The Agency gives notice of the full environmental analysis and decision-making process that will occur on the Proposed Actions, so that interested and affected persons are aware of how they may participate and contribute to the analysis.

DATE: Issues with the Proposed Action must be received in writing by January 17, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Submit written issues with the Proposed Action to Mary Zuschlag, District Ranger, Illinois Valley Ranger District, 26568 Redwood Highway, Cave Junction, Oregon, 97523.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Direct questions about the Proposed Action and EIS to Don McLennan, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, Illinois Valley Ranger District, 26568 Redwood Highway, Cave Junction, Oregon, 97523 or by calling (541) 592-2166.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Wilderness Act of 1964 states that privately owned land completely surrounded by National Forest System lands designated by this Act as wilderness, shall be given such rights as may be necessary to assure adequate access to the landowner and their successors and that where valid occupancies are wholly within a designated wilderness area, the Secretary of Agriculture shall permit ingress and egress by means which have been or are being customarily enjoyed with respect to other such areas similarly situated.

The Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act states that subject to terms and conditions as the Secretary of Agriculture may prescribe, the Secretary shall provide such access to non-federally owned lands within the boundaries of the National Forest System as the Secretary deems adequate to secure to the owner the reasonable use and enjoyment thereof.

In preparing the EIS, the Agency will tier to the Amended Land and Resource Management Plan for the Siskiyou National Forest, consider submitted written issues with Proposed Action, and develop alternatives that respond to the significant issues with the Proposed Action. In addition, the agency will analyze a no-action alternative.

Public participation will be important at several times during the analysis. The first time is during the scoping period

[Reviewer may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environment Policy Act (CFR) at 40 CFR 1501.7]. The Agency will be seeking written issues with the Proposed Action from Federal, State, and local agencies, any affected Indian tribes, the permit applicant, and other individuals who may be interested in or affected by the Proposed Action. This input will be used to develop alternatives. The scoping process includes:

1. Contacting Federal, State, and local agencies, any affected Indian tribes, the permit applicant, and other individuals who may be interested in or affected by the Proposed Action.
2. Identifying potential issues.
3. Selecting significant issues with the Proposed Action, needing in-depth analysis.
4. Eliminating insignificant issues; issues that have been analyzed and documented in a previous EIS, issues that controvert the need for the Proposed Action, or issues that are outside the authority of the Responsible Official to decide.
5. Identifying resources that have a potential for being effected by the Proposed Action.

The Draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and be available for review in May 1997. At that time, EPA will publish a Notice of Availability for the Draft EIS in the Federal Register.

A 45-day comment period for the Draft EIS will be from the date the EPA's Notice of Availability appears in the Federal Register. To assist the Agency, comments on the Draft EIS will need to be written, be as specific as possible, refer to specific pages and chapters of the Draft EIS, and address either the adequacy of the Draft EIS or the merits of the alternatives discussed in the Draft EIS (40 CFR 1503.3).

It is important to give Reviewers notice at this early stage of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, Reviewers of a Draft EIS *must* structure their participation in the environmental review process of the Proposed Action so that it is specific, meaningful, and alerts an agency to the Reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised early-on in the environmental review process, but that are not raised until after completion of the Final EIS, may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d. 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and

Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. versus Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this Proposed Action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments are available to the Agency at a time when the Agency can meaningfully consider and respond to them in the Final EIS.

After the 45-day comment period ends on the Draft EIS, comments will be considered and analyzed by the Agency in preparing the Final EIS. The Final EIS is scheduled to be completed in September 1997. In the Final EIS, the Agency is required to respond to the comments received (40 CFR 1503.4). The Responsible Official will be Mike Lunn, Forest Supervisor, who will consider the Final EIS, applicable laws, regulations, policies, and analysis files in making a decision regarding this Proposed Action. The Responsible Official will document the decision and rationale in the Record of Decision. That decision will be subject to appeal under 36 CFR 215.

Dated: November 7, 1996.

J. Michael Lunn,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 96-29526 Filed 11-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

DOC has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104-13.

Agency: National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA).

Title: Public Telecommunications Facilities Program Grant Monitoring.

Agency Form Number: None.

OMB Control Number: 0660-0001.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.

Burden: 8,870 hours.

Number of Respondents: Approximately 150 grantees per year with 1,300 responses.

Avg. Hours Per Response: Varies between one and forty hours depending on the requirement.

Needs and Uses: NTIA, in administering the Public Telecommunications Facilities Program (PTFP), awards grants to approximately 150 grantees per year. In order to make sure that grantees are using the funds in

accordance with authorizing statute, regulations, grant terms and conditions, etc., certain information has to be provided by grantees. The information is used to track the progress of the grant.

Affected Public: State or local governments; non-profit institutions.

Frequency: On occasion, quarterly, annually.

Respondent's Obligation: Required to obtain or retain a benefit.

OMB Desk Officer: Tim Fain, (202) 395-3785.

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing Linda Engelmeier, Acting DOC Forms Clearance Officer (202) 482-3272, Department of Commerce, Room 5327, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Tim Fain, OMB Desk Officer, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: November 12, 1996

Linda Engelmeier,

Acting Departmental Forms Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-29519 Filed 11-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-60-P

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 81-96]

Foreign-Trade Zone 168—Dallas-Fort Worth, Texas; Application for Subzone B&F Systems, Inc. (Distribution of Consumer Products; Assembly of Knives) Dallas, TX

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Dallas/Fort Worth Maquila Trade Development Corporation, grantee of FTZ 168, requesting subzone status for the distribution and processing facility of B&F Systems, Inc. (B&F), located in Dallas, Texas. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on November 6, 1996.

The facility (100,000 sq. ft. on 4 acres; 70 employees) is located at 3920 S. Walton Walker Blvd. in Dallas. It is used to store, test, and distribute a wide range of consumer products, including automotive accessories, briefcases, cameras, cutlery, dinnerware, giftware, jewelry, kitchenware and sporting goods, some of which are sourced from

abroad. It is also used for the final assembly of certain products, such as knives. The products are distributed throughout the U.S. and abroad.

The application also requests authority on behalf of B&F to assemble sports knives and collectors knives under zone procedures, using knife blades sourced from abroad (duty rate range 2.6%-5.4%). The application indicates that the company would admit the foreign knife blades into the subzone in privileged foreign status.

Zone procedures would exempt B&F Systems from Customs duty payments on the foreign products that are reexported. On its domestic sales, it would be able to defer Customs duty payments on foreign-sourced items. The application indicates that zone savings would help improve the international competitiveness of the distribution/processing facility.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ staff has been appointed examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is January 21, 1997. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to February 3, 1997).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, P.O. Box 420069, 2050 N. Stemmons Fwy., Ste 170, Dallas, Texas 75207.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: November 8, 1996.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-29581 Filed 11-18-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration

[A-588-817]

Electroluminescent High Information Content Flat Panel Displays and Display Glass Therefor From Japan; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On October 18, 1993, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (58 FR 53709) the notice of initiation of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on electroluminescent (EL) high information content flat panel displays (FPDs) and display glass therefor from Japan for the period September 1, 1992 through August 31, 1993, pursuant to a request from Planar Systems, Inc. (Planar), an interested party. On August 25, 1994, the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of revocation of the antidumping duty order on EL FPDs from Japan, which also stated that the Department would take no further action with respect to any administrative review of the order (59 FR 43809). On July 31, 1996, the Department published a notice in the Federal Register that rescinded the revocation of the order and reinstated the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on EL FPDs from Japan for the period September 1, 1992 to August 31, 1993. This review has now been terminated as a result of the withdrawal of request for review by Planar Systems, Inc., the interested party that requested the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 19, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Chip Hayes or Richard Rimlinger, at the Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

We received a timely request for administrative review of the antidumping duty order on EL FPDs from Japan from Planar pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a) concerning subject merchandise produced and/or exported by Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (Sharp). On