

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to the NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate unsolicited proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information, financial data such as salaries, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 USC 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: November 1, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-28527 Filed 11-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Information, Robotics and Intelligent Systems; Notice Of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting.

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Information Robotics & Intelligent Systems (1200).

Date and Time: November 21-22, 1996, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Place: Holiday Inn, 4610 North Fairfax Drive, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Maria Zemankova, Deputy Division Director, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1929.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate Knowledge Models and Cognitive Systems Program proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: October 31, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-28524 Filed 11-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Polar Programs; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science

Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name and Committee Code: Special Emphasis Panel in Polar Programs (#1209).
Date and Time: November 25 & 26, 1996: 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

Place: Room 730 & 770, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Odile de la Beaujardiere, Program Director, Arctic Natural Sciences, Office of Polar Programs, Room 740, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone: (703) 306-1029.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate Arctic Natural Sciences Submarine proposals as part of the selection process for awards.

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: November 1, 1996.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-28526 Filed 11-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Documents Containing Reporting or Recordkeeping Requirements; Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

1. Type of submission, new, revised, or extension: Revision.

2. The title of the information collection: Final amendments to 10 CFR 35.75, "Criteria for the Release of Individuals Administered Radioactive Material."

3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.

4. How often is the collection required: On occasion; when the release of a patient is based on other than standard assumptions or requires interruption or discontinuation of

breast-feeding to meet the 5-millisievert (0.5-rem) dose limit.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Medical licensees administering radiopharmaceuticals and permanent implants and releasing patients under the provisions of 10 CFR 35.75.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: Approximately 90,350 responses per year (includes 89,000 reports, i.e., written instructions, and 1,350 recordkeepers).

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: Approximately 1,350 NRC and Agreement State licensees.

8. An estimate of the number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 17,126 hours (includes NRC and Agreement State licensees).

9. The average annual burden per respondent: 13 hours.

10. An indication of whether Section 3504(h), Public Law 96-511 applies: Applicable.

11. Abstract: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) is amending the criteria for release of individuals administered radioactive material under 10 CFR Part 35. The amendment requires the licensee to provide the patient with written instructions on how to maintain doses to other individuals as low as is reasonably achievable if the dose to an individual exposed to the patient is likely to exceed 0.1 rem. In those cases where the released individual may be a breast-feeding woman, the instructions must also include guidance on the interruption or discontinuation of breast-feeding and information on the consequences of failure to follow the guidance. The amendment also requires the licensee to maintain a record of the basis for the release if the release is authorized using other than standard assumptions or that instructions were provided to a breast-feeding woman if the dose to the child from continued breast feeding could result in a total effective dose equivalent exceeding 0.5 rem. These requirements are necessary to ensure adequate protection of the public health and safety and that doses to other individuals are maintained as low as reasonably achievable.

Submit, by December 6, 1996, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?