

valve type regulators and appurtenances at the Twin Falls meter station. Northwest stated that these upgrades would enable northwest to accommodate existing firm maximum daily delivery obligations to Intermountain Gas Company (Intermountain) and its affiliate IGI Resources, Inc. (IGI) and to accommodate Intermountain's request for additional delivery capacity and delivery pressure under existing firm service agreements. Northwest also stated that the maximum design capacity of the Twin Falls meter station would increase from approximately 18,400 Dth per day at 365 psig to approximately 31,000 Dth per day at 365 psig or 40,870 Dth per day at 500 psig. Northwest estimated that it would cost \$234,900 to upgrade the Twin Falls meter station.

Northwest now proposes to install three 4-inch control valve type regulators and appurtenances, instead of the four originally proposed, at the Twin Falls meter station. Northwest states that it would be prudent to replace only three of the existing 4-inch regulators for operational flexibility and to accurately regulate the low flow of natural gas through the Twin Falls meter station during the summer months. Northwest states that all other pertinent information, including design capacities of the project, as stated in Northwest's prior notice request originally filed in Docket No. CP96-805-000 remain accurate as previously filed.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the Commission has issued this notice, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the allowed time, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26541 Filed 10-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. GT-97-3-000]

Northwest Pipeline Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 10, 1996.

Take notice that on October 7, 1996, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, the following tariff sheets, to become effective November 7, 1996:

Original Sheet No. 1243-A

First Revised Sheet Nos. 1254, 1255, 1256 and 1257

Second Revised Sheet Nos. 1187, 1188, 1189, 1192, 1194, 1242, 1243, and 1253

Third Revised Sheet Nos. 1190, 1191 and 1192

Fourth Revised Sheet Nos. 1193 and 1224

Sixth Revised Sheet No. 1186

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 1186

Northwest states that the purpose of this filing is to revise Rate Schedule X-82 to reflect certain changes to various agreements related to gas storage at Jackson Prairie.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26545 Filed 10-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM97-1-115-000]

Sumas International Pipeline Inc.; Notice of Tariff Filing

October 10, 1996.

Take notice that on October 7, 1996, Sumas International Pipeline Inc. (SIPI), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2, the following tariff sheet, with a proposed effective date of October 1, 1996:

Sixth Revised Sheet No. 4

SIPI states that the above tariff sheet reflects the new ACA unit surcharge rate of \$.0020 per Mcf which is equivalent to \$.0020 per MMBtu on SIPI's system. As the new ACA rate is a decrease, SIPI has sought a waiver to allow the collection of the new rate effective 1 October 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-26554 Filed 10-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-345-001]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

October 10, 1996.

Take notice that on October 7, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to be effective September 23, 1996:

Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 319

Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 319A

Tennessee states that it is filing the subject tariff sheets in compliance with the September 20, 1996 order of the Commission's in this docket. Tennessee states that the filing reflects the inclusion of the following clarifications to the unscheduled flow provision: (1) that a penalty applies to unscheduled flow at delivery points, equivalent to the penalty at receipt points, as well as a gas purchase obligation; (2) that a penalty applies when gas flows at a receipt or delivery point at which no nomination has been made for the flow or where Tennessee has scheduled no nomination(s) for such flow at all; and (3) that a responsible party is the Balancing Party where the receipt or delivery point is covered by a Balancing Agreement, or the point operator where