643520229–102–TS–107, for cracks, replacement of the plate if a crack is found, and reworking the plate if no crack is found. The proposed AD also requires, at 1,500 hours TIS, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 70 hours TIS, for reworked plate, P/N 6435–20229–102 or P/N 6435–20229–102–TS–107, and for plate, P/N 6435–20229–104, a borescope inspection for cracks and replacement of the plate if a crack is found. Finally, these part-numbered plates are to be retired upon reaching 2,600 hours TIS.

The FAA estimates that 8 helicopters of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 8 work hours per helicopter to accomplish the inspections and 56 hours to remove and replace the main gearbox assembly, if necessary, and that the average labor rate is $60 per work hour. Required parts would cost $8,000. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $94,720; $3,840 to accomplish the inspections, and $90,880 to replace the plate in the main gearbox assembly in all 8 helicopters, if necessary.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment. For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a “significant regulatory action” under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a “significant rule” under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39
Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment
Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

   Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing Amendment 39–3045 (42 FR 51565, September 29, 1977) and Amendment 39–3064 (42 FR 56600, October 27, 1977), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:


   **Note 1:** This AD applies to each helicopter identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For helicopters that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must use the authority provided in paragraph (d) to request approval from the FAA. This approval may address either no action, if the current configuration eliminates the unsafe condition, or different actions necessary to address the unsafe condition described in this AD. Such a request should include an assessment of the effect of the changed configuration on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD. In no case does the presence of any modification, alteration, or repair remove any helicopter from the applicability of this AD.

   **Compliance:** Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

   To prevent failure of the plate due to fatigue cracking, which could lead to failure of the main gearbox and subsequent loss of control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

   (a) For plate, part number (P/N) 6435–20229–102 and P/N 6435–20229–102–TS–107, at 1,300 hours total time-in-service (TIS), inspect and rework or replace the plate, as appropriate, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraph 2A., steps (1), and (3) through (11), of Erickson Air Crane Co. Service Bulletin No. 64835–7C, dated November 8, 1995.

   (b) For plate, P/N 6435–20229–104, and for any plate, P/N 6435–20229–102, that has been reworked and identified with “TS–107”, at 1,500 hours TIS and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 70 hours TIS, inspect the plate in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions, paragraph 2B., step (1), of Erickson Air-Crane Co. Service Bulletin No. 64835–7C, dated November 8, 1995. If a crack is found, replace the main gearbox assembly with an airworthy assembly.

   (c) Retire the plate upon or before reaching 2,600 hours TIS. This AD revises the airworthiness limitation section of the maintenance manual by establishing a retirement life of 2,600 hours TIS for the main gearbox assembly second stage planetary plate, P/N 6435–20229–102, P/N 6435–20229–102–TS–107, and P/N 6435–20229–104.

   (d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Rotorcraft Certification Office, Rotorcraft Directorate, FAA. Operators shall submit their requests through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Rotorcraft Certification Office.

   **Note 2:** Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Rotorcraft Certification Office.

   (e) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the helicopter to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

   Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on October 4, 1996.

   Eric Bries, Manager, Rotorcraft Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

   [FR Doc. 96–26127 Filed 10–10–96; 8:45 am]
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---

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 352

[Docket No. 78N–0038]

RIN 0910–AA01

Sunscreen Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use; Amendment to the Tentative Final Monograph; Correction

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; correction.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration is correcting a notice of proposed rulemaking that published in the Federal Register of September 16, 1996 (61 FR 48645). The document proposed to amend the tentative final monograph (proposed rule) for over-the-counter (OTC) sunscreen drug products.
The document was published with several errors. This document corrects those errors.

DATES: Written comments by October 16, 1996; written comments on the agency's economic impact determination by October 16, 1996. The agency is requesting comments within a 30-day period, instead of the normal 90 days, so that the marketing status of OTC avobenzone-containing sunscreen drug products can be determined in an expeditious manner. FDA is proposing that any final rule based on this proposal become effective 12 months after its date of publication in the Federal Register.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 12420 Parklawn Dr., rm. 1–23, Rockville, MD 20857. Desk copies of these written comments to Debra L. Bowen, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–560), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William E. Gilbertson, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD–105), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857–301, 827–2304.

In FR Doc. 96–23547, appearing on page 48645 in the Federal Register of Monday, September 16, 1996, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 48646, in the third column, lines 3 through 7 are removed.
2. On page 48651, in Table 2, the ingredient “Ocylsalicylate” is corrected to read “Octyl salicylate”.

PART 352—SUNSCREEN DRUG PRODUCTS FOR OVER-THE-COUNTER HUMAN USE

3. The authority citation for 21 CFR part 352 continues to read as follows:


§ 352.20 Permitted combinations of active ingredients.

(a) * * *

(i) Aminobenzoic acid 5 to 15 percent.

(ii) Cinoxate 1 to 3 percent.

(b) * * *

(iii) Cinoxate 1 to 3 percent.

Dated: October 8, 1996.

William K. Hubbard,
Associate Commissioner for Policy Coordination.

[FR Doc. 96–26211 Filed 10–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–01–F

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Parts 42, 92, 215, 219, 221, 236, 290, 511, 570, 574, 576, 582, 583, 585, 882, 885, 886, 889, 890, 906, 941, 950, 968, 970, and 983

[Docket No. FR–4122–P–01]

RIN 2501–AC31

Office of the Secretary

Displacement, Relocation Assistance, and Real Property Acquisition for HUD and HUD-Assisted Programs; Streamlining Rule

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, HUD.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice seeks public comment on a rulemaking HUD is considering. In an effort to comply with the President’s regulatory reform initiatives, HUD is considering streamlining its regulations for displacement, relocation assistance, and real property acquisition by consolidating into one part similar provisions throughout title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), and by eliminating provisions that repeat statutory language or are otherwise unnecessary. Because of the scope of this effort and the potential difficulties in preparing one set of regulations that would be adapted for all HUD programs, HUD is seeking comments from users of the program regulations to determine whether a consolidated set of relocation regulations would be preferable and feasible.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by December 10, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this advance notice of proposed rulemaking to the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Room 10276, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410–0500.

Communications should refer to the above docket number and title. Facsimile (FAX) comments are not acceptable. A copy of each communication submitted will be available for public inspection and copying between 7:30 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. weekdays at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janice Petty, Relocation Specialist, Relocation and Real Estate Division, Room 7168, telephone number (202) 708–1367 (this is not a toll-free number). For legal questions, contact: David Polatek, Attorney-Advisor, Community Development Division, Room 8158, telephone number (202) 708–2027 (this is not a toll-free number). For hearing- and speech-impaired persons, the telephone numbers may be accessed via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 777–8839. The address for both of these persons is: Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 4, 1995, President Clinton issued a memorandum to all Federal departments and agencies regarding regulatory reinvention. In response to this memorandum, HUD conducted a page-by-page review of its regulations to determine which can be eliminated, consolidated, or otherwise improved. HUD is considering whether the regulations for displacement, relocation, and real property acquisition can be improved and streamlined by consolidating similar requirements throughout individual program regulations in title 24 of the CFR. The major part of these regulations would then refer to part 42 for relocation-related requirements, which would continue to reference the Department of Transportation’s government-wide rule at 49 CFR part 24, as well as include HUD-specific requirements. Through a final rule published on October 3, 1996 (61 FR 51756), HUD moved into part 42 relocation requirements implementing section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5304(d)(4)) (Section 104(d)), which requires a residential antisaluation and relocation assistance plan (RARP) by State and local governments receiving funds under the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Urban Development Action Grant (UDAG), and HOME Investment Partnerships (HOME) programs.

Several provisions in HUD’s regulations throughout title 24 of the