

N, long. 111°49'30" W; to lat. 32°50'50" N, long. 111°53'02" W; to lat. 32°55'20" N, long. 111°56'02" W, thence to the point of beginning.

\* \* \* \* \*

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on September 17, 1996.

James H. Snow,

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,  
Western-Pacific Region.*

[FR Doc. 96-26097 Filed 10-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### 22 CFR Part 171

[Public Notice 2451]

#### Privacy Act of 1974; Implementation

**AGENCY:** Department of State.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of State proposes to amend its Privacy Act regulations exempting portions of a newly created record system from certain provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552a). Certain portions of the Garnishment of Wages Records (STATE-61) are exempted from 5 U.S.C. secs. 552a (c)(3), (d), (e)(1), (e)(4)(G), (H), and (I), and (f).

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before November 19, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Written comments may be mailed or delivered to Jacquelyn Lilly, Acting Chief; Privacy, Plans and Appeals Division; Office of Freedom of Information, Privacy, and Classification Review; Room 1239; Department of State; 2201 C Street, NW; Washington, DC 20520-1239.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jacquelyn Lilly, 202-647-6620.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A notice of a proposal to create a new system of records (Public Notice 2450) is published elsewhere in this Federal Register. This system principally supports the Office of the Legal Adviser for Legislation and General Management's role in advising and servicing the Department and related foreign affairs agencies in complying with court- or agency-ordered garnishment of wages under 42 U.S.C. 659 and 5 U.S.C. 5520a. The Garnishment of Wages Records contain information relating to the garnishment of employees' wages including, but not limited to, communications between: The Office of the Legal Adviser and the Bureau of Finance and Management Policy; the Office of the Legal Adviser and the employee; the Office of the

Legal Adviser and courts or agencies; the Office of the Legal Adviser and a party named in or affected by the garnishment action to facilitate processing such orders for garnishment. These records may also be used by federal, state and local courts; state and local tax collection and child enforcement offices; the Internal Revenue Service; private collection agencies, law firms and other individuals authorized to receive garnished wages or benefits by court or agency order whenever the information is necessary for a garnishment proceeding.

Due to the nature of the documentation collected in the course of processing the ordered garnishments described above, it may be properly compiled for law enforcement purposes and, accordingly, it may be necessary in some instances to withhold certain information from the public to assure the effective completion of judicial or administrative processes.

List of Subjects in 22 CFR Part 171

Privacy.

The proposed amendment in Title 22, Part 171 covering certain records in STATE-61 is as follows:

#### PART 171—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 171 continues to read as follows:

Authority: The Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552; the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a; The Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551, et seq.; The Ethics in Government Act; 5 U.S.C. App. 201; Executive Order 12958, 60 FR 19825; and Executive Order 12600, 52 FR 23781.

#### § 171.32 [Amended]

2. In § 171.32, paragraph (j)(2) will be amended by adding "Garnishment of Wages Records. STATE-61", after "Records of the Inspector General and Automated Individual Cross Reference System. STATE-53".

Ralph Frank,

*Acting Assistant Secretary for the Bureau of Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-25831 Filed 10-9-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-24-M

## ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT AGENCY

### 22 CFR Part 605

#### National Security Information Regulations

**AGENCY:** Arms Control and Disarmament Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency (ACDA) proposes to update, revise, and restate in their entirety its National Security Information regulations. In addition to containing internal policies and procedures, these regulations set forth in § 605.8 what members of the public must do to request mandatory declassification review and to appeal denials of requests for declassification. ACDA invites comments from interested groups and individual members of the public on the proposed regulations.

**DATES:** To be considered, comments must be delivered by mail or in person to the address, or faxed to the telephone number, listed below by 5 p.m. on Friday, November 15, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be directed to the Office of the General Counsel, United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, Room 5635, 320 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20451; FAX (202) 647-0024. Comments will be available for inspection between 8:15 a.m. and 5 p.m. at the same address.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Frederick Smith, Jr., United States Arms Control and Disarmament Agency, Room 5635, 320 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20451, telephone (202) 647-3596.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Flexibility Act Certification

It is hereby certified that the proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required.

Executive Order 12866 Determination

ACDA has determined that the proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action within the meaning of section 3(f) of that Executive Order.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

The proposed rule is not subject to the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act because it does not contain any information collection requirements within the meaning of that Act.

Unfunded Mandates Act Determination

ACDA has determined that the proposed rule will not result in expenditures by state, local, and tribal governments, or by the private sector, of more than \$100 million in any one year. Accordingly, a budgetary impact statement is not required under section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995, 2 U.S.C. 1532.