

proposed to be effective September 3, 1996.

Comment date: October 15, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. ONEOK Power Marketing Company
[Docket No. ER96-3090-000]

Take notice that on September 25, 1996, ONEOK Power Marketing Company (OPMC) petitioned the Commission for (1) blanket authorization to sell electricity at market-based rates; (2) a disclaimer of jurisdiction over OPMC's power brokering activities; (3) acceptance of OPMC's Rate Schedule FERC No. 1; (4) waiver of certain Commission Regulations; and (5) such other waivers and authorizations as have been granted to other power marketers, all as more fully set forth in OPMC's petition on file with the Commission.

OPMC states that it intends to engage in electric power transactions as a broker and as a marketer. In transactions where OPMC acts as a marketer, it proposes to make such sales on rates, terms and conditions to be mutually agreed to with purchasing parties.

Comment date: October 15, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

7. American Energy Service Corp.
[Docket No. ER96-3091-000]

Take notice that on September 25, 1996, American Energy Service Corp. (AESC), tendered for filing pursuant to Part 35 of the Regulations under the Federal Power Act, 18 CFR Part 35, and Rules 204 and 205, of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.204 and 385.205, a petition for waivers and blanket approvals of the Commission and for an order accepting its Electric Rate Schedule No. 1.

AESC intends to engage in electric power transactions as a marketer and a broker. In transactions where AESC sells electric power it proposes to make such sales on rates, terms, and conditions to be mutually agreed to with the purchasing party. AESC is an affiliate of Indianapolis Power & Light.

Comment date: October 15, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. United American Energy Corp.
[Docket No. ER96-3092-000]

Take notice that on September 25, 1996, United American Energy Corp. (UAE), tendered for filing pursuant to §§ 205 and 207 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a petition for waivers and blanket approvals under various regulations of

the Commission and for an order accepting its FERC Electric Rate Schedule No. 1 to be effective September 25, 1996.

UAE intends to engage in electric power and energy transactions as a marketer and a broker. In transactions where UAE sells electric energy it proposes to make such sales on rates, terms and conditions to be mutually agreed to with the purchasing party.

Comment date: October 15, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25497 Filed 10-3-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Project No. 11475-000]

**Central Vermont Public Service Corp.;
Notice of Availability of Draft
Environmental Assessment**

September 30, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the Office of Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for an original license for the Carver Falls Hydroelectric Project located on the Poultney River in Washington County, New York, and Rutland County, Vermont, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. In the DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the existing, unlicensed project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection or

enhancement measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix "Carver Falls Hydroelectric Project, No. 11475-000" to all comments. For further information, please contact Jim Haimes at (202) 219-2780.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25455 Filed 10-3-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Notice of Amendment of License

September 30, 1996.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Amendment of License.

b. *Project No.:* 2652-004.

c. *Date Filed:* August 1, 1996.

d. *Applicant:* PacifiCorp.

e. *Name of Project:* Bigfork.

f. *Location:* On the Swan River in Flathead County, Montana.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* S.A. deSousa, Director, Hydropower Resources, PacifiCorp, Public Service Building, Suite 610, 920 S.W. Sixth Avenue, Portland, OR 97204, (503) 464-5343; Thomas H. Nelson, 1001 S.W. Fifth Avenue, Suite 1900, Portland, OR 97204-1135, (503) 227-8450.

i. *FERC Contact:* Regina Saizan, (202) 219-2673.

j. *Comment Date:* November 4, 1996.

k. *Description of the Request:* The licensee requests an extension of the termination date of the license from August 31, 2001 to August 31, 2002. The license for the Bigfork Project expires August 31, 2001. Under the Commission's relicensing regulations, the licensee is to commence relicensing activities by August 31, 1996. On June 3, 1996, the licensee filed a request for the Commission to determine jurisdiction. The jurisdiction determination is currently under review under a separate proceeding.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25456 Filed 10-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5473-7]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared September 16, 1996 through September 20, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act

and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 05, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-L61207-AK Rating EC2, Upper Carroll Timber Sale, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Ketchikan Administrative Area, Ketchikan Ranger District, Revillagidedo Island, AK.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns based on potential impacts to water quality and the marine environment from timber harvest and road building activities on Revillagidedo Island, AK.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65263-ID, Targhee National Forest, Implementation, Forest Plan Revisions, Bonneville, Butte, Clark, Fremont, Jefferson, Lemhi, Madison and Teton Counties, ID.

Summary: Our abbreviated review has revealed no EPA concerns with this project.

ERP No. D-BLM-K67036-NV Rating EO2, Mule Canyon Surface Gold Mine Development, Operation and Reclamation and Associate Facilities, Plan of Operation Approval, Battle Mountain District, Lander and Eureka Counties, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objection to the proposed project based on its potential to adversely affect surface and groundwater. We requested additional information in the final EIS regarding the impacts of transporting ore to Twin Creeks Mine for milling; the result of the ecological risk assessment; the selection of options for pit backfilling and waste rock pile design; design parameters for several mining and processing facilities; impacts to wildlife and springs; and mitigation measures.

ERP No. D-CGD-A39137-00 Rating LO, Atlantic Protected Living Marine Resource Initiative, Implementation, Atlantic Ocean, from Maine to Florida.

Summary: EPA lacks objection to the proposed action as described in the draft EIS.

ERP No. D-COE-C36073-NJ Rating EC2, Absecon Island Interim Feasibility Study, Storm Damage Reduction, Brigantic Inlet to Great Egg Harbor Inlet, Atlantic County, NJ.

Summary: EPA raised environmental concerns about impacts to benthic communities and water quality, and potential cumulative impacts associated with this and other erosion/storm

damage protection projects in New Jersey. Additional information is requested in the final EIS to address these issues.

ERP No. D-FHW-H40155-MO Rating EC2, MO-13 and MO-7 Highway/ Freeway Improvements, MO-13 from US 24 in Lexington to Truman Reservoir south of Clinton and MO-7 in the immediate area of Clinton, Funding, Lafayette, Johnson and Henry Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about increased noise levels at adjacent public facilities, inadequate provisions for avoiding or mitigating wetlands impacts, and the absence of an evaluation of cumulative, secondary, and indirect effects on the social and environmental attributes of the project corridor.

ERP No. D-FHW-H40158-MO Rating EC2, U.S. 65 Corridor Construction, Carrollton to Marshall, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and U.S. Coast Guard Permit, Carroll, Lafayette and Saline Counties, MO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding wetland and noise impacts and secondary/cumulative impacts. EPA requested that these issues be more fully addressed in the final EIS.

ERP No. D-FHW-H40159-MO Rating EC2, MO-21 Corridor Transportation Improvement, between Otto to DeSoto, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, Jefferson County, MO.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding wetlands loss and the absence of a regional emissions analysis necessary to assess project air quality impacts in the St. Louis non-attainment area.

ERP No. D-FRC-L05217-WA Rating EO1, Upriver FERC No. 3074 Hydroelectric Project, Amendment of the Existing License, Spokane River, Spokane County, WA.

Summary: EPA raised environmental objections regarding the proposed action's impacts on water quality and associated impacts on fish and other aquatic life in the Spokane River.

ERP No. D-NPS-H65005-NB Rating LO, Niobrara National Scenic River, General Management Plan, Niobrara/ Missouri National Scenic Riverways, Implementation, Brown, Cherry, Keya Paha and Rock Counties, NB.

Summary: EPA expressed no objections to the preferred management alternative, and no objections to any of the three National Scenic River boundary alternatives. EPA suggested that Boundary Alternative #2 would, when coupled with the preferred management alternative, provide the