

Customs Service to change the appropriate cash deposit requirements in accordance with the recalculated rate for corrosion-resistant steel products and to proceed with liquidation of the subject merchandise entered on or after April 6, 1993, and before August 17, 1993. All other entries currently are enjoined from liquidation by a preliminary injunction issued by the Court in *Inland Steel Industries v. United States*, Consol. Court No. 93-09-00567-CVD.

Dated: September 23, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-25109 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

#### [A-122-085]

### **Sugar and Syrups from Canada; Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** On September 17, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the notice of initiation and preliminary results of its changed circumstances administrative review concerning its examination of whether Rogers Sugar Ltd. (Rogers) is the successor-in-interest to the British Columbia Sugar Refining Company, Limited (BC Sugar) for purposes of determining antidumping liability. We have now completed that review and determine that Rogers is the successor company to BC Sugar for antidumping duty law purposes and, as such, receives the antidumping duty cash deposit rate previously assigned to BC Sugar of zero percent ad valorem.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** October 1, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** J. David Dirstine or Richard Rimlinger, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### Background

In a letter dated August 30, 1996, Rogers advised the Department that on June 1, 1995, the former BC Sugar

effected a legal name change to Rogers Sugar Ltd. Rogers stated that the former Executive Vice President of BC Sugar is now the President and Chief Operating Officer of Rogers and, further, that the company's management structure is otherwise unchanged. Rogers also stated that the company's three production facilities are unaffected by this change, as are supplier relationships and the company's customer base. Rogers submitted a copy of the document dated June 5, 1995, which evidences this legal name change and which was filed with the Canadian Government to record the name change under the Canada Business Corporations Act.

On September 17, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (61 FR 48885) the notice of initiation and preliminary results of its antidumping duty changed circumstances review of the antidumping duty order on sugar and syrups from Canada. We have now completed this changed circumstances review in accordance with section 751(b) of the Tariff Act, as amended (the Act).

##### Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of Canadian sugar and syrups produced from sugar cane and sugar beets. The sugar is refined into granulated or powdered sugar, icing, or liquid sugar. Sugar and syrups are currently classifiable under item numbers 1701.11.0025, 1701.11.0045, and 1702.90.3000 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs Service purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

##### Successorship

In a letter dated August 30, 1996, Rogers advised the Department that on June 1, 1995, the former BC Sugar effected a legal name change to Rogers Sugar Ltd. Since October 25, 1983, BC Sugar has been assigned a zero percent antidumping duty cash deposit rate (*See Sugar and Syrups From Canada; Final Results of Administrative Review of Antidumping Duty Order*, 48 FR 49327 (October 25, 1983)). Thus, Rogers requested that the Department make a determination that Rogers Sugar Ltd. receive the same antidumping duty treatment as the former BC Sugar.

Upon examining the factors of: (1) management; (2) production facilities; (3) supplier relationships; and (4) customer base, the Department has determined that the resulting operation of Rogers is the same as that of its predecessor, BC Sugar, and thus the

Department has determined that Rogers is the successor-in-interest to BC Sugar for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. For a complete discussion of the basis for this decision, *see Sugar and Syrups From Canada; Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 61 FR 48885 (September 17, 1996).

##### Comments

Although we gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results, none were submitted.

##### Final Results of Review

We determine that Rogers is successor-in-interest to BC Sugar and, accordingly, Rogers will receive the same antidumping duty treatment as the former BC Sugar, i.e., a zero percent antidumping duty cash deposit rate. We will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to terminate suspension of liquidation on entries from Rogers and to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties, merchandise exported by Rogers on or after June 1, 1995, the date on which the corporate name change was legally effected.

This changed circumstances review and notice are in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(b) and 19 CFR 353.22(f)(4).

Dated: September 25, 1996.

Barbara R. Stafford,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-25113 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

### **Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments**

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a) (3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.