

[FR Doc. 96-24356 Filed 9-20-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

**LIBRARY OF CONGRESS**

**Copyright Office**

**37 CFR Part 201**

[Docket No. 93-2B]

**Digital Audio Recording Devices and Media; Verification of Statements of Account**

**AGENCY:** Copyright Office, Library of Congress.

**ACTION:** Extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Office is extending the comment period in its consideration of interim regulations that provide for the verification of the information contained in digital audio recording technology (DART) Statements of Account filed with the Office.

**DATES:** The extended deadline for comments is October 16, 1996, and for reply comments is November 18, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** If sent by mail, fifteen copies of written comments should be addressed to Marilyn J. Kretsinger, Acting General Counsel, Copyright GC/I&R, P.O. Box 70400, Southwest Station, Washington, D.C. 20024. Telephone: (202) 707-8380. Telefax: (202) 707-8366. If by hand, fifteen copies should be brought to: Office of the General Counsel, Copyright Office, James Madison Memorial Building, Room LM-407, First and Independence Avenue, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20540.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Marilyn J. Kretsinger, Acting General Counsel, Telephone: (202) 707-8380 or Telefax: (202) 707-8366.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 18, 1996, the Copyright Office published interim regulations providing for the verification of the information contained in digital audio recording technology (DART) Statements of Account filed with the Office. 61 FR 30808 (June 18, 1996). To allow interested parties more time to submit comments, the Office is extending the comment period from September 16, 1996, to October 16, 1996, and the deadline for reply comments from October 16, 1996, to November 16, 1996.

Dated: September 18, 1996.

Marilyn J. Kretsinger,  
*Acting General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 96-24357 Filed 9-20-96; 8:45 am]  
 BILLING CODE 1410-30-U

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**40 CFR Part 51**

[FRL-5610-4]

**Minor Amendments to Inspection/Maintenance Program Requirements**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule changes a provision of the federal vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/M) rules relating to motorist compliance enforcement mechanisms for pre-existing programs. The current rule limits the use of pre-existing enforcement mechanisms, other than denial of vehicle registration, to those geographic areas previously subject to the I/M program. This rule change allows states to employ such effective pre-existing enforcement mechanisms as sticker enforcement in any area in the state adopting an I/M program. This amendment is consistent with the relevant requirements of the Clean Air Act. These changes will not result in any change in health and environmental benefits.

**DATES:** This rule will take effect November 22, 1996 unless EPA receives adverse comments on a parallel proposal of this action, published elsewhere in this Federal Register, by October 23, 1996. Should EPA receive such comments, EPA will publish a subsequent document in the Federal Register withdrawing this direct final rule prior to the effective date. Anyone wishing to submit comments on the parallel proposal should do so at this time.

**ADDRESSES:** Materials relevant to this rulemaking are contained in the Public Docket No. A-91-75. The docket is located at the Air Docket, Room M-1500 (6102), Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460. The docket may be inspected between 8:30 a.m. and 12 noon and between 1:30 p.m. until 5:30 p.m. on weekdays. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying docket material. Electronic copies of the preamble and the regulatory text of this rulemaking are available on the Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) Technology Transfer Network Bulletin Board System (TTN BBS) and the Office of Mobile Sources' World Wide Web cite, <http://www.epa.gov/OMSWWW/>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Leila Cook, Office of Mobile Sources, National Vehicle and Fuel Emissions Laboratory, 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 48105. Telephone (313) 741-7820.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

Regulated Entities

Entities potentially regulated by the minor amendment to the I/M rule are those which adopt, approve, or fund I/M programs. Regulated categories and entities include:

Category	Examples of regulated entities
Local government.	Local air quality agencies.
State government.	State air quality agencies responsible for I/M programs.
Federal ..... government	EPA.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide for readers regarding entities likely to be affected by this action. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware that could potentially be regulated by this I/M amendment. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be regulated. To determine whether your organization is regulated by this action, you should carefully examine the applicability criteria of 40 CFR 51.361 of the I/M rule. If you have any questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (the Act), 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the Federal Register on November 5, 1992 (40 CFR part 51, subpart S) rules relating to motor vehicle inspection and maintenance (I/M) programs (hereafter referred to as the I/M rule; see 57 FR 52950). EPA here amends those rules to broaden the geographic area in which pre-existing enforcement mechanisms can be employed.

Section 182(c)(3) of the Act establishes the statutory requirements for enhanced I/M programs. Subsection (c)(3)(C)(iv) requires the use of vehicle registration denial enforcement mechanisms except in certain cases. The statute allows the use of alternative enforcement mechanisms that are demonstrated to be more effective than vehicle registration denial for any program in operation before enactment of the 1990 amendments of the Act.

In the 1992 I/M rules, EPA interpreted this statutory requirement to allow the use of pre-existing alternative enforcement mechanisms only in the same geographic area where the prior program had been implemented using that alternative 40 CFR 51.361. That regulation did not provide for the use of alternative enforcement mechanisms in