[Docket No. TM97–1–97–001]

Chandeleur Pipe Line Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 10, 1996.

Take notice that on September 5, 1996, Chandeleur Pipe Line Company (Chandeleur) submits for refiling the following tariff sheets to become effective October 1, 1996:

Substitute Fourth Revised Sheet No. 5

Chandeleur proposes to adjust its rates to reflect the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's FY 1996 annual charge for natural gas pipeline companies of $0.0020 per MMBTu.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–23613 Filed 9–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. RP96–269–000]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Motion To Place Tariff Sheets Into Effect on Systemwide Basis

September 10, 1996.

Take notice that on September 4, 1996, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee) filed a motion to place the following tariff sheets into effect on a systemwide basis effective September 1, 1996:

First Revised Sheet No. 50
First Revised Sheet No. 51
Second Revised Sheet No. 52
First Revised Sheet No. 52A
Second Revised Sheet No. 53
Second Revised Sheet No. 55
Substitute Original Sheet No. 55A
Substitute Original Sheet No. 55B

East Tennessee states that the foregoing tariff sheets implement the Swing Storage Option (SSO) on a permanent basis. East Tennessee states that the SSO allows East Tennessee's customers to use their firm storage entitlements with Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company to manage the difference between scheduled and actual flows on a daily basis at East Tennessee's deliver points.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.211. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to this proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file and available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–23600 Filed 9–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. TM97–1–2–001]

East Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Revised Filing

September 10, 1996.

Take notice that on September 5, 1996, East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee) tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1 Seventh Revised Sheet No. 4.

East Tennessee states the purpose of the filing is to reflect a $.0003 decrease to its currently effective Annual Charge Adjustment (ACA) surcharge resulting in an ACA surcharge of $.0019 to its commodity rates for the period October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1997. East Tennessee requests an effective date of October 1, 1996.

East Tennessee further states that on August 30, 1996 it submitted for filing its ACA surcharge. In that filing, East Tennessee indicated no change in the currently effective ACA surcharge was required. East Tennessee has subsequently learned it inadvertently used the FERC unit charge factor for fiscal year 1996 of $.00231 instead of the unit charge factor for fiscal year 1997 of $.00203. East Tennessee's proposed ACA surcharge of $.0019 gives effect of the Commission's prior year adjustment of ($2,415) and a Btu conversion factor of 1.03558 applied to the 1997 unit charge factor of $.00203.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–23605 Filed 9–13–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket Nos. RP96–380–000, CP96–139–001, and CP96–217–001]

Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

September 10, 1996.

Take notice that on September 4, 1996, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets to become effective October 4, 1996.

1st Revised Second Revised Sheet No. 189
First Revised Sheet No. 190

FGT states that in an Order issued August 5, 1996 in Docket Nos. CP96–139 and CP96–217, the Commission concluded that language in section 21.D of FGT's current Tariff allows FGT to deviate, by written agreement, from the provisions of sections 21.D.1 and D.2 of its Tariff generally providing that, where construction of facilities is necessary to provide certain firm service: (1) FGT shall construct, own, and operate such facilities, and (2) shipper shall bear all costs of construction. The Commission, however, ordered FGT, inter alia, to show cause why the Commission should not exercise its authority under Section 5 of the NGA and order FGT to revise its Tariff to provide: (1) A standard for the non-discriminatory availability of the construction subsidy, and (2) a procedure in the Tariff to notify FGT's other customers that it has agreed to terms made in writing other than those stipulated in Sections 21.D.1 and D.2 of FGT's Tariff. FGT is filing herein to make such revisions to its Tariff.

FGT also states that the proposed Tariff changes clarify the standards that FGT will use to evaluate, on a not unduly discriminatory basis, whether subsidization of facilities to provide any requested transportation service should be economically justified. In addition,