

outlay estimates shown in the President's Budget for controllable programs; (c) Promulgates Departmental spending policies, especially in the event of Continuing Resolutions and possible suspension of operations due to the failure of the Congress to enact appropriations on time and works with agency budget officers and the Office of Budget in formulating agency funding plans; (d) Maintains a system of Department-wide budget execution, including the management and control of the apportionment of funds in accordance with the requirements of the Anti-Deficiency Act and OMB regulations; and requests and monitors the receipt of Treasury warrants; (e) Serves as principal staff advisor to the Office of Finance on all matters involving budget execution; (f) Liaises with the Office of Management and Budget, the Treasury Department, the Congressional Budget Office, and other agencies on matters involving budget execution; (g) Maintains the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance and develops State tables of projected obligations for selected programs; (f)

Responsible for the development and maintenance of a system of financial information which involves the collection, organization, and maintenance of financial data in electronic form as well as the development of reporting mechanisms for making the financial information useful and available for decision making.

Dated: August 2, 1996.
 John J. Callahan,
Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget.
 [FR Doc. 96-22933 Filed 9-6-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4150-04-M

Administration for Children and Families

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

Title: Refugee State-of-Origin Report.
OMB No.: 0970-0043.
Description: The information collection of the ORR-11 (Refugee State-of-Origin Report) is designed to satisfy

the statutory requirements of the Immigration and Nationality Act. Section 412(a)(3) of the Act requires ORR to compile and maintain data on the secondary migration of refugees within the United States after arrival.

In order to meet this legislative requirement, ORR requires each State to submit an annual count of the number of refugees who were initially resettled in another State. The State does this by counting the number of refugees with social security numbers indicating residence in another State at the time of arrival in the U.S. (The first three digits of the social security number indicate the State of residence of the applicant.)

Data submitted by the States are compiled and analyzed by the ORR statistician, who then prepares a summary report which is included in ORR's annual Report to Congress. The primary use of the data is to quantify and analyze refugee secondary migration among the 50 States. ORR uses these data to adjust its refugee arrival totals in order to calculate the ORR social services formula allocation.

Respondents: State governments.

ANNUAL BURDEN ESTIMATES

Instrument	Number of respondents	Number of responses per respondent	Average burden hours per response	Total burden hours
State-of-origin report	50	1	.434	217

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 217.

Additional Information: Copies of the proposed collection may be obtained by writing to The Administration for Children and Families, Office of Information Services, Division of Information Resource Management Services, 370 L'Enfant Promenade, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20447, Attn: ACF Reports Clearance Officer.

OMB Comment: OMB is required to make a decision concerning the collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this document in the Federal Register. Therefore, a comment is best assured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication. Written comments and recommendation for the proposed information collection should be sent directly to the following: Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project, 725 17th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20503, Attn: Ms. Wendy Taylor.

Dated: September 3, 1996.
 Bob Sargis,
Acting Reports Clearance Officer.
 [FR Doc. 96-22853 Filed 9-6-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4184-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. FR-4065-N-04]

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development; Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) and Program Guidelines for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI) Program; Amendment and Extension of Application Due Date

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development, HUD.
ACTION: Amendment and Extension of Application Due Date for Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the Economic Development Initiative Grant Program.

SUMMARY: On July 16, 1996, the Department published a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) in the Federal Register announcing the availability of approximately \$50,000,000 in Fiscal Year (FY) 1996 funding for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI) program. This notice amends that NOFA to establish set-asides of up to \$30 million in EDI grant funds to fund proposals for Homeownership Zones, and of up to \$20 million for proposals for Community and Individual Investment Corporations (CIICs) and all other eligible economic development projects. In order to provide applicants due notice of this amendment, this notice also extends the application due date. **DATES:** Applications are due in HUD Headquarters at the address stated below under **ADDRESSES** by October 9, 1996. HUD will not accept applications that are submitted to HUD via facsimile (FAX) transmission. Applications that are mailed prior to October 9, 1996, and received within ten (10) days after that date will be deemed to have been received by that date if postmarked by the United States Postal Service by no

later than October 8, 1996. Overnight delivery items received within ten (10) days after October 9, 1996 will be deemed to have been received by that date upon submission of documentary evidence that they were placed in transit with the overnight delivery service by no later than October 8, 1996.

ADDRESSES: On or prior to October 9, 1996, completed applications will be accepted at the following address: Processing and Control Unit, Room 7255, Office of Community Planning and Development, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410, Attention: EDI Grant. At close of business on the deadline date, completed applications will also be received in the south lobby of the Department of Housing and Urban Development at the above address (inquire at the security guard desk). However, any application received by the Office of Community Planning and Development in Headquarters, Washington, DC, by October 9, 1996 will be accepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Webster, Director, Financial Management Division, Office of Block Grant Assistance, Room 7178, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708-1871.

With respect to proposals for Homeownership Zones contact: Gordon McKay, Director, Office of Affordable Housing Programs, Office of Community Planning and Development, Room 7164, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410; telephone (202) 708-2685. (These are not toll-free numbers.)

Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access these numbers via TTY by calling the Federal Information Relay Service at (800) 877-8339.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 16, 1996 (61 FR 37132), the Department published a Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) in the Federal Register announcing the availability of approximately \$50,000,000 in Fiscal Year (FY) 1996 funding for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI) program. The FY 1996 EDI NOFA solicited a wide range of proposals for eligible economic development projects and activities under the EDI grant program. The NOFA particularly emphasized those proposals that would undertake large-scale projects to create Homeownership Zones—proposals designed to reclaim hard-pressed

neighborhoods by creating homeownership opportunities for hardworking low- and moderate-income families, and serving as a catalyst for private investment, business creation, and neighborhood revitalization.

The NOFA also solicited proposals for Community and Individual Investment Corporations (CIICs)—a particular type of community development bank that provides residents with opportunities for equity participation—and proposals for more traditional economic development projects, such as site specific economic development projects and grants for economic development revolving loan funds.

The July 16, 1996, NOFA was structured so that the Department would rate all applications based upon the quality of an applicant's response to seven selection criteria. Upon the rating of the applications, the Department would give all proposals for Homeownership Zones and CIICs 10 bonus points. The Department would then rank all proposals regardless of whether the proposal was for a Homeownership Zone, a CIIC, or other economic development project.

Thus, under the procedures outlined in the July 16, 1996 NOFA, it is possible that the rating and ranking of applications could result in only one type of proposal being funded, i.e., all funded projects could potentially be either Homeownership Zone projects, CIIC projects, or the traditional economic development projects. Such an outcome would not reflect the Department's intention to fund a range of different proposals.

Accordingly, the Department is amending the July 16, 1996 EDI NOFA to establish set-asides of up to \$30 million to fund proposals for Homeownership Zones, and of up to \$20 million to fund proposals for Community and Individual Investment Corporations and all other proposals for economic development projects.

Authority. Title I, Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, (42 U.S.C. 5301-5320); 24 CFR part 570.

Accordingly, FR Doc. 96-18012, the NOFA and Program Guidelines for the Economic Development Initiative (EDI), published in the Federal Register on July 16, 1996 (61 FR 37132), is amended as follows:

1. On page 37139, in column 3, section II.(D) under the heading "Selection Process" is amended to read as follows:

II. The Application Process

* * * * *

(D) *Selection Process*—Once all proposals are scored under the selection

criteria above, applications for Homeownership Zones and CIICs will each have 10 additional points added to their total score. Applications will then be selected for funding in two groups as follows:

(1) All applications for Homeownership Zones will be separately ranked in order of points assigned, with the applications receiving more points ranking above those receiving fewer points. Homeownership Zone applications will be funded in rank order until the total aggregate amount of applications funded is equal to \$30 million (subject to the Department's discretion described in section II.(D)(3), below);

(2) All applications for Community and Individual Investment Corporations and all other EDI grant applications for economic development projects and programs will be placed in a second group of applications and will be ranked in order of points assigned, with the applications receiving more points ranking above those receiving fewer points. These applications will be funded in rank order until the total aggregate amount of applications funded is equal to \$20 million (subject to the Department's discretion described in section II.(D)(3), below);

(3) HUD, in its sole discretion, may choose to award EDI assistance to a lower rated approvable application over a higher rated application in the same group in order to increase the level of geographic diversity of grants approved under this NOFA. The parameters of any such diversity factors used in the selection process will be described in writing by the panel and/or selecting official, and consistently applied in the final selections. However, no application will be funded out of rank order for geographic diversity purposes that does not have a selection score of at least 80 points.

(4) As discussed in paragraph I.(F) above, HUD reserves the right to determine a minimum and a maximum amount of any EDI award or Section 108 commitment per applicant, application, or project, and to modify requests accordingly. In addition, if HUD determines that an application rated, ranked, and fundable could be funded at a lesser EDI grant amount than requested consistent with feasibility of the funded project or activities and the purposes of the Act, HUD reserves the right to reduce the amount of the EDI award and/or increase the Section 108 loan guarantee commitment, if necessary, in accordance with such determination.

HUD may decide not to award the full amount of EDI grant funds available

under this NOFA and may make any remaining amounts available under a future NOFA.

To review and rate applications, HUD may establish panels including persons not currently employed by HUD to obtain certain expertise and outside points of view, including views from other Federal agencies. HUD reserves the right to use two separate panels to review and rate applications in the two groups, and to announce the awards under the two groups at different times.

* * * * *

Dated: August 30, 1996.

Howard Glaser,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Community Planning and Development.

[FR Doc. 96-22894 Filed 9-4-96; 4:03 pm]

BILLING CODE 4210-29-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Notice of Decision and Availability of Two Record of Decision Documents on the Issuance of Permits for Incidental Take of Threatened and Endangered Species

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

Record of Decision for the Proposed Issuance of a Section 10(a)(1)(B) Permit for the Incidental Take of Stephens' Kangaroo Rat, Riverside County, California; and Record of Decision for the Proposed Issuance of a Permit to Allow Incidental Take of Threatened and Endangered Species to Plum Creek Timber Company, L.P., for Lands in the I-90 Corridor of King and Kittitas Counties, Washington.

SUMMARY: This notice advises the public that a decision on the applications for permits by the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency and Plum Creek Timber Company, L.P. (Plum Creek), pursuant to section 10(a)(1)(B) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, have been made and that the Records of Decision are available.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency: Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Carlsbad Field Office, 2730 Loker Avenue West, Carlsbad, California 92008, telephone (619) 431-9440, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. weekdays, and for Plum Creek: Supervisor, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Western Washington Office, 3704 Griffin Lane SE, Suite 102, Olympia, Washington 98501-2192, telephone (360-753-9440).

Individuals wishing copies of the Records of Decision should contact the respective U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Office.

Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency Decision

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's decision is to adopt the Preferred Alternative and issue a permit authorizing incidental take of Stephens' kangaroo rats to the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency based on the Long-Term Habitat Conservation Plan in western Riverside County, as described in the final Environmental Impact Statement/Report. This decision is based on a thorough review of the alternatives and their environmental consequences. By adopting the preferred alternative with its assurances that the mitigation program and enforcement measures be implemented, all practicable means to avoid or minimize harm have been adopted.

Rationale for Decision

Implementation of the Long-Term Habitat Conservation Plan has been selected as the Preferred Alternative based on consideration of a number of environmental and social factors. These factors include: (1) proposed mitigation and minimization measures in the Long-Term Habitat Conservation Plan that would benefit Stephens' kangaroo rats on a regional scale in the core habitat area for the species by establishing seven Core Reserves; (2) the incidental take would occur within western Riverside County, where a viable population of Stephens' kangaroo rats cannot be maintained over the long-term; and (3) the proposed permit would allow incidental take of Stephens' kangaroo rats in areas outside the Core Reserves providing the opportunity for more orderly development and minimizing impacts to the social environment within western Riverside County.

Plum Creek Decision

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's decision is to adopt the Preferred Habitat Conservation Plan Alternative, issue a permit authorizing incidental take of listed species and enter into an unlisted species agreement as described in the final Environmental Impact Statement. This decision is based on a thorough review of the alternatives and their environmental consequences. By adopting the preferred alternative with its assurances that the mitigation program and enforcement measures be implemented, all practicable means to avoid or minimize harm have been adopted.

Rationale for Decision

The Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan Alternative, as described in the applicant's Habitat Conservation Plan and analyzed in the final Environmental Impact Statement, provides the most comprehensive package of conservation prescriptions and activities of all of the Alternatives. None of the other alternatives provide as integrated and comprehensive a package of habitat conservation as the Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan Alternative. The Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan Alternative specifically addresses four listed species, two listed species for which incidental take coverage is not currently sought, riparian habitat management which captures the majority of species that might inhabit the plan area, including anadromous salmonids which are the subject of Federal Tribal Trust responsibility. Furthermore, the Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan Alternative provides management goals for 16 Lifeforms and associated species, as well as special habitat management such as caves, talus slopes, wetlands and snags. Only the Proposed Habitat Conservation Plan Alternative addresses talus, caves, wetlands, riparian management, Old Growth and spotted owl nesting, roosting, and foraging habitat, murrelets, owls, grizzly bears, gray wolves, snags, roads and accelerated watershed analysis. No other alternative addresses all of these resource concerns, together, in an integrated way.

Dated: August 28, 1996.

Thomas Dwyer,

Acting Regional Director, Region 1, Portland, Oregon.

[FR Doc. 96-22921 Filed 9-06-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-P

Bureau of Indian Affairs

Indian Gaming; Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior

ACTION: Notice of approved amendment to Tribal-State compact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to 25 U.S.C. § 2710, of the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100-497), the Secretary of the Interior shall publish, in the Federal Register, notice of approved amendments to Tribal-State Compacts for the purpose of engaging in Class III (casino) gaming on Indian reservations. The Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs, Department of the Interior, through her delegated authority, has approved Amendment III to the Gaming Compact Between the Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation and the