

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The 1996 Act requires that a special import quota for upland cotton be determined and announced immediately if, for any consecutive 10-week period, the Friday through Thursday average price quotation for the lowest-priced U.S. growth, as quoted for Middling 1<sup>3/32</sup> inch cotton, C.I.F. northern Europe (U.S. Northern Europe price), adjusted for the value of any cotton user marketing certificates issued, exceeds the Northern Europe price by more than 1.25 cents per pound. This condition was met during the consecutive 10-week period that ended July 25, 1996. Therefore, a quota referenced as the Secretary of Agriculture's Special Cotton Import Quota Announcement Number 8, effective September 9, 1996, is hereby established.

Because there are only 20 subheadings available for designating upland cotton special import quotas in subchapter III of chapter 99 of the HTS, only 20 such quotas can be in effect at one time. Each subheading corresponds to a Secretary of Agriculture's Special Cotton Import Quota Announcement specifying that a particular amount of upland cotton may be imported during a particular 180-day period. The special import quota described in this notice cannot take effect until HTS subheading 9903.52.08 becomes available upon the expiration of the Secretary of Agriculture's Special Cotton Import Quota Announcement Number 8, effective March 13, 1996, through September 8, 1996. Therefore, the special import quota described in this notice opens on September 9, 1996, the day after the previous special import quota 8 ends.

The quota amount, 44,368,028 kilograms (97,814,838 pounds), is equal to 1 week's consumption of upland cotton by domestic mills at the seasonally-adjusted average rate of the most recent 3 months for which data are available—April 1996 through June 1996. The special import quota identifies a quantity of imports that is not subject to the over-quota tariff rate of a tariff-rate quota. The quota is not divided by staple length or by country of origin. The quota does not affect existing tariff rates or phytosanitary regulations. The quota does not apply to Extra Long Staple cotton.

Authority: Sec. 136, Pub. L. 104-127 and U.S. Note 6(a), Subchapter III, Chapter 99 of the HTS.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on August 23, 1996.

Dan Glickman,  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22892 Filed 9-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-P

## Rural Housing Service

### Farm Service Agency

#### Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection

**AGENCY:** Rural Housing Service and Farm Service Agency, USDA.

**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comments request.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), this notice announces the Rural Housing Service (RHS) and Farm Service Agency's (FSA) intention to request an extension for an information collection currently approved for the agencies account servicing policies for programs formerly administered by the United States Department of Agriculture, Farmers Home Administration. These regulations are published under the authority of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (CONACT), as amended.

**DATES:** Comments on this notice must be received on or before November 8, 1996 to be assured of consideration.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** William D. Cobb, Senior Loan Officer, Loan Servicing and Property Management Division, Farm Service Agency, USDA/FSA/LSPMD/ Stop 0523, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013-2415; Telephone (202) 720-1059.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* Account Servicing Policies.

*OMB Number:* 0575-0075.

*Expiration Date of Approval:* March 31, 1997.

*Type of Request:* Extension of a currently approved information collection.

*Abstract:* The information collected under Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Number 0575-0075, as identified above, is needed to enable RHS and FSA to effectively collect on loans made under programs formerly administered by the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA). Under the provisions of the Department of Agriculture Reorganization Act of 1994, FmHA loan programs were transferred to either Rural Development, which includes RHS, the Rural Business-Cooperative

Service, and the Rural Utilities Service; or the Farm Service Agency. RHS provides supervised credit in the form of Single Family Housing loans and grants, Multi-Family Housing loans and grants, and Community Facility loans and grants. FSA's Farm Credit Program provides supervised credit in the form of loans to family farmers and ranchers to purchase farm land and finance agricultural production. This regulation sets forth the policies and procedures regarding the application of payments on loans made under the RHS and FSA mission areas.

When loans are paid in full, the agencies Finance Office will automatically refund an overpayment of \$10.00 or more. It is not cost efficient for the agencies to process refunds of overpayments of less than \$10.00; therefore, these will be credited to the borrower's account unless a written request for a refund is submitted by the borrower.

Promissory notes evidencing a debt which has been reduced to a judgment are a part of the court record and ordinarily cannot be withdrawn and returned to the debtor, even after satisfaction of the debt. Therefore, no effort will be made to return these notes unless a written request is received from the borrower or their legal representative.

*Estimate of Burden:* Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .25 hours per response.

*Respondents:* Individuals or households, farms, businesses or other for-profit, small businesses or organizations.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 10.

*Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent:* 1.

*Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents:* 3 hours.

Copies of the information collection can be obtained from Barbara Williams, Regulations and Paperwork Management Division, at (202) 720-9734.

#### Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the agencies, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumption used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the

collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology. Comments may be sent to Barbara Williams, Regulations and Paperwork Management Division, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, Stop 0743, Washington, DC 20250-0743. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: August 28, 1996.

Bruce R. Weber,

*Acting Administrator, Farm Service Agency.*

Maureen Kennedy,

*Administrator, Rural Housing Service.*

[FR Doc. 96-22884 Filed 9-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-07-M

### Natural Resources Conservation Service

#### McKinney-Buzzard Creek Watershed, OK; Notice of Intent To Deauthorize Federal Funding

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention Act, Public Law 83-566, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR 622), the Natural Resources Conservation Service gives notice of the intent to deauthorize Federal funding for the McKinney-Buzzard Creek Watershed project (McCurtain County, Oklahoma).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ronnie L. Clark, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 100 USDA, Suite 203, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074-2655. Telephone: (405) 742-1204.

McKinney Buzzard Creek Watershed, Oklahoma

Notice of Intent To Deauthorize Federal Funding

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A determination had been made by Ronnie L. Clark that the proposed works of improvement for the McKinney-Buzzard Creek project will not be installed. The sponsoring local organizations have concurred in this determination and agree that Federal funding should be deauthorized for the project. Information regarding this determination may be obtained from Ronnie L. Clark, State Conservationist, at the above address and telephone number.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposed deauthorization will be taken until 60 days after the date of this publication in the Federal Register.

Dated: August 26, 1996.

Ronnie L. Clark,

*State Conservationist.*

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-95 regarding State and local clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

[FR Doc. 96-22858 Filed 9-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

#### Starkweather Watershed, ND; Notice of Deauthorization of Federal Funding

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the Watershed Protection Act, Public Law 83-566, and the Natural Resources Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR 622), the Natural Resources Conservation Service gives notice of the deauthorization of Federal funding for the Starkweather Watershed project, Cavalier and Ramsey Counties, North Dakota, effective on August 30, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Scott Hoag, Jr., State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 220 E. Rosser Avenue, P.O. Box 1458, Bismarck, North Dakota, 58502-1458. Telephone number: 701-250-4441.

Dated: August 30, 1996.

Ronald D. Sando,

*Acting State Conservationist.*

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

[FR Doc. 96-22857 Filed 9-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

### ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD

#### Sunshine Act Meeting

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., September 17, 1996.

**PLACE:** Board of Education, District Board Room H-160, 450 North Grand, Los Angeles, CA 90051.

**STATUS:** Public Hearing.

**MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:** Testimony on Assassination Records.

A list of witnesses will be available from the Review Board by September 12, 1996. Due to time constraints, the

Board will not be able to accept unscheduled testimony. The record of this hearing will be kept open until October 11, 1996, for those who wish to submit written comments.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:** Thomas Samoluk, Associate Director for Communications, 600 E Street, NW, Second Floor, Washington, DC 20530. Telephone: (202) 724-0088; Fax: (202) 724-0457.

David G. Marwell,

*Executive Director.*

[FR Doc. 96-23089 Filed 9-5-96; 2:48 pm]

BILLING CODE 6118-01-P

### DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### Submission For OMB Review; Comment Request

The Department of Commerce (DOC) has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

*Agency:* Bureau of the Census.

*Title:* 1996 Community Census - Integrated Coverage Measurement (ICM) Activities (CAPI Person Interview, CAPI Person QA Interview, Outmover Tracing, and Dual System Estimation Follow up).

*Form Number(s):* CAPI Person Interview, CAPI Person QA Interview, DT-1301, DT-1301A, DT-1340, DT-1309(L), DT-31.

*Agency Approval Number:* None.

*Type of Request:* New collection.

*Burden:* 4,903 hours.

*Number of Respondents:* 12,000 housing units and 120 persons.

*Avg Hours Per Response:* 18 and 1/2 minutes.

*Needs and Uses:* The Census Bureau requests OMB approval of the activities and instruments associated with conducting the interviewing and follow up phases of ICM research in the 1996 Community Census. Prompted by the need to improve statistical methodology for estimating population coverage during the decennial census, the Bureau of the Census developed the ICM approach. In ICM, census blocks are separately enumerated to obtain an independent roster. The independent roster is then compared to the census results to measure coverage of housing units and of persons in missed housing units and coverage of persons in housing units included in the census. The ICM approach was first tested in the 1995 Census Test. ICM Research in the 1996 Community Census will expand