

Foreign-Trade Zones Board**[Order No. 842]****Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 202; Los Angeles, CA, Area**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Los Angeles, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 202, for authority to expand its general-purpose zone to include five new sites in the Los Angeles, California, area, was filed by the Board on October 30, 1995 (FTZ Docket 66-95, 60 FR 56566, 11/9/95); and

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in Federal Register and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 202 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of August 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration; Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22682 Filed 9-04-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[Order No. 843]**Expansion of Foreign-Trade Zone 70; Detroit, MI**

Pursuant to its authority under the Foreign-Trade Zones Act of June 18, 1934, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) adopts the following Order:

Whereas, an application from the Greater Detroit Foreign Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 70, for authority to expand its general-purpose zone to include an additional site in Detroit, Michigan, was filed by the Board on February 5, 1996 (FTZ Docket 8-96, 61 FR 6623, 2/21/96); and

Whereas, notice inviting public comment was given in Federal Register and the application has been processed pursuant to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations; and

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that the proposal is in the public interest;

Now, therefore, the Board hereby orders:

The application to expand FTZ 70 is approved, subject to the Act and the Board's regulations, including Section 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 26th day of August 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration; Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-22683 Filed 9-4-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration**[A-351-806]****Silicon Metal from Brazil; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administration Review**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On March 20, 1995, the Department of Commerce published the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil. The review period is July 1, 1992, through June 30, 1993. The review covers four manufacturers/exporters. The review indicates the existence of margins for two firms.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Based on our analysis of the comments received, we have changed our results from those presented in our preliminary results as described below in the comments section of this notice.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Baker or John Kugelman, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5253.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

On March 20, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (60 FR 14731) the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil (July 31, 1991, 56 FR 36135).

Applicable Statute and Regulations

The Department has now completed that administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise covered by this review is silicon metal from Brazil containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Also covered by this review is silicon metal from Brazil containing between 89.00 and 96.00 percent silicon by weight but which contains a higher aluminum content than the silicon metal containing at least 96.00 percent but less than 99.99 percent silicon by weight. Silicon metal is currently provided for under subheadings 2804.69.10 and 2804.50 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) as a chemical product, but is commonly referred to as a metal. Semiconductor grade silicon (silicon metal containing by weight not less than 99.99 percent silicon and provided for in subheading 2804.61.00 of the HTS) is not subject to the order. HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive as to the scope of the product coverage.

The period of review (POR) is July 1, 1992, through June 30, 1993. This review involves four manufacturers/exporters of Brazilian silicon metal; Companhia Brasileira Carbureto de Calcio (CBCC), Companhia Ferroligas Minas Gerais—Minasligas (Minasligas), Eletroila, S.A. (currently known as Eletrosilex Belo Horizonte (Eletrosilex)), and Rima Electrometalurgia S.A. (RIMA).

Compsumption Tax

In light of the Federal Circuit's decision in *Federal Mogul v. United States*, CAFC No. 94-1097, the