

the Commission's Regulations. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken in this proceeding, but will not serve to make Protestant a party to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-22460 Filed 9-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP96-733-000]**

**Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Application**

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 21, 1996, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation ("Texas Eastern"), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in the above docket an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("Commission") pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization permitting the abandonment of Texas Eastern's Rate Schedule X-8, an emergency exchange of natural gas between Texas Eastern and Arkla (formerly Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company) ("Arkla"), and for authorization to abandon certain pipeline interconnect facilities between Texas Eastern and Arkla ("Interconnection Facilities").

Texas Eastern requests expedited consideration and approval of the authorizations requested herein in order to remove the Interconnection Facilities on or before October 1, 1996, in connection with a runway expansion project in Little Rock, Arkansas which is currently being undertaken by the Little Rock National Airport (formerly Adams Field Municipal Airport).

The FPC issued an order in Docket No. G-1500 on November 29, 1950, authorizing Texas Eastern to operate and maintain the Interconnection Facilities and to exchange gas on an emergency basis with Arkla pursuant to an emergency exchange agreement dated November 20, 1950 ("Exchange Agreement"). The Exchange Agreement is included as Rate Schedule X-8 in Texas Eastern's Ferc Gas Tariff Original Volume No. 2. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, both parties agreed to the exchange of gas and use of the Interconnection Facilities by either party without charge during temporary periods of emergency.

Texas Eastern and Arkla have agreed to abandon the Exchange Agreement as evidenced by the termination agreement dated August 16, 1996, ("Termination Agreement") attached to the application, and provides that the Exchange Agreement will terminate effective as of August 31, 1996.

More specifically, Texas Eastern proposes to abandon by removal the following Interconnection Facilities:

Facilities South of Arkansas River:

(1) Approximately 501 feet of 12-inch diameter pipeline.

(2) Miscellaneous valves, fittings, and appurtenant facilities.

Facilities North of Arkansas River:

(3) Approximately 1,013 feet of 12-inch diameter pipeline.

(4) Approximately 807 feet of 24-inch diameter pipeline.

(5) Miscellaneous valves, fittings, and appurtenant facilities.

Physical abandonment of the Interconnection Facilities will be performed on Texas Eastern's existing right of way. Those facilities located South of the Arkansas River which are proposed to be abandoned are within the work area included in the environmental scope of the airport's expansion.

On August 27, 1996, Texas Eastern filed a supplement to its application withdrawing its request to abandon those Interconnect Facilities located north of the Arkansas River and a revised Exhibit Y to facilitate expeditious consideration of the remaining authorizations requested on or before October 1, 1996.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before September 6, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and Commission's Rules of Practice

and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Texas Eastern to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-22457 Filed 9-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. OR96-17-000]**

**Ultramar Inc., Complainant v. SFPP, L.P., Respondent; Notice of Complaint**

August 28, 1996.

Take notice that on August 21, 1996, pursuant to sections 9, 13(1), and 15(1) of the Interstate Commerce Act of 1887 (49 U.S.C. §§ 9, 13(1), 15(1)), Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.206), and the Commission's Procedural Rules Applicable to Oil Pipeline Procedures (18 CFR 343.1(c)), Ultramar Inc. (Ultramar) tendered for filing a complaint against charges collected by SFPP, L.P. (SFPP) for the pipeline transportation of petroleum products. Ultramar complains against the charge collected for transportation of refined products over SFPP's pipeline in California from Sepulveda Junction to Watson Station (Sepulveda Line).

Ultramar complains that the foregoing charges (1) are not covered by tariffs filed with the Commission, (2) are not justified by the cost of service, (3) discriminate against shippers which use the Sepulveda Line, and (4) result in overcharges in excess of filed tariff rates.

Ultramar respectfully requests that the Commission action upon this Complaint, by (1) examine the charges collected by SFPP for transportation through the Sepulveda Line, (2) order refunds to Ultramar to the extent that the Commission finds that the rates were unlawful, (3) determine and prescribe just, reasonable, and non-discriminatory rates for the Sepulveda Line, and (4) award Ultramar reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a