

demonstrates that the NZKMB is not strictly the exclusive exporter of kiwifruit from New Zealand. Sales of kiwifruit by any grower, reseller or other party, to the Australian market is permissible under New Zealand law. Also, New Zealand resellers of kiwifruit are permitted to export to other markets if they are licensed by the NZKMB. Thus export markets and export pricing are not subject to absolute control and manipulation by the NZKMB. Even if the NZKMB were in a position to manipulate export prices, there is no evidence on the record that the NZKMB acts on behalf of the New Zealand government to control prices in the home market. As a result, we find that petitioners have not presented evidence of "price control" sufficient to satisfy the "particular market situation" standard under the new law.

A finding of sales below cost of production does not, in and of itself, establish that a "particular market situation" exists. It is the Department's longstanding practice to first determine whether the home market is viable and then to determine whether sales are made below cost of production. In this review, we applied the below-cost test, as described in the preliminary results of review, and found that within an extended period of time, substantially more than 80 percent of the home market sales were sold at prices below the COP, which would not permit the recovery of all costs within a reasonable period of time. Since a substantial number of sales were made below cost we relied on constructed value (CV). Since the remaining above-cost sale(s) in this review segment had no corresponding model matches, we also relied on CV where sale(s) were above-cost.

For these reasons, based on the evidence on the record, we find that the New Zealand market does not represent a "particular market situation" within the meaning of 19 U.S.C. 1677b(a)(1)(C)(iii). As a result, we reaffirm our preliminary determination on this issue.

Final Results of Review

As a result of comments received and programming errors corrected, we have revised our preliminary results.

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (Percent)
New Zealand Kiwifruit Marketing Board	2.81

The Customs Service shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between

U.S. price and NV may vary from the percentage stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions concerning the respondent directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for the review firm will be 2.81 percent; and (2) the cash deposit rate for merchandise exported by all other manufacturers and exporters will be the "all others" rate of 98.60 percent established in the less-than-fair-value investigation; in accordance with the Department practice. See *Floral Trade Council v. United States*, 822 F. Supp. 766 (1993), and *Federal Mogul Corporation*, 822 F. Supp. 782 (1993).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review. This notice serves as the final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of the APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: August 22, 1996.
 Robert S. La Russa,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
 [FR Doc. 96-22412 Filed 8-30-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

[A-570-506]

Porcelain on Steel Cookware From the People's Republic of China; Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; Extension of Time Limits for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of extension of time limits for antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limits of the preliminary and final results of this antidumping duty administrative review of Porcelain on Steel Cookware from the People's Republic of China. The review covers the period December 1, 1994, through November 30, 1995.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 3, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Judy Kornfeld, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-3146.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Because it is not practicable to complete this review within the original time limit, the Department is extending the time limits for the completion of the preliminary results until January 21, 1997 and of the final results until 120 days after publication of the preliminary results of this review, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). (See Memorandum to the file from Jeffrey P. Bialos to Robert S. LaRussa.)

These extensions are in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the URAA (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(3)(A)).

Dated: August 28, 1996.
 Jeffrey P. Bialos,
Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.
 [FR Doc. 96-22414 Filed 8-30-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-570-825]

Sebacic Acid From the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.