or least burdensome alternative if the Administrator publishes with the final rule an explanation why that alternative was not adopted.

Before EPA establishes any regulatory requirements that may significantly or uniquely affect small governments, including tribal governments, it must have developed under section 203 of the UMRA a small government agency plan. The plan must provide for notifying potentially affected small governments, enabling officials of small governments to have meaningful and timely input in the development of EPA regulatory proposals with significant Federal intergovernmental mandates, and informing, educating, and advising small governments on compliance with the regulatory requirements.

As noted above, this rule is limited to antidegradation designations within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. EPA has determined that this rule contains no regulatory requirements that might significantly or uniquely affect small governments. EPA has also determined that this rule does not contain a Federal mandate that may result in expenditures of $100 million or more for State, local, and tribal governments, in the aggregate, or the private sector in any one year. Thus, today's rule is not subject to the requirements of sections 202 and 205 of the UMRA.

J. Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposed action requires no information collection activities subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act, and therefore no Information Collection Request (ICR) will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 131

Environmental protection, Water pollution control, Water quality standards.

Dated: August 22, 1996.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, part 131 of title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 131—WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

1. The authority citation for part 131 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.

Subpart D—[Amended]

2. Section 131.32 is added to read as follows:

§131.32 Pennsylvania.

(a) Antidegradation policy. This antidegradation policy shall be applicable to all waters of the United States within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, including wetlands.

(1) Existing in-stream uses and the level of water quality necessary to protect the existing uses shall be maintained and protected.

(2) Where the quality of the waters exceeds levels necessary to support propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife and recreation in and on the water, that quality shall be maintained and protected unless the Commonwealth finds, after full satisfaction of the inter-governmental coordination and public participation provisions of the Commonwealth's continuing planning process, that allowing lower water quality is necessary to accommodate important economic or social development in the area in which the waters are located. In allowing such degradation or lower water quality, the Commonwealth shall assure water quality adequate to protect existing uses fully. Further, the Commonwealth shall assure that there shall be achieved the highest statutory and regulatory requirements for all new and existing point sources and all cost-effective and reasonable best management practices for nonpoint sources.

(3) Where high quality waters are identified as constituting an outstanding National resource, such as waters of National and State parks and wildlife refuges and water of exceptional recreational and ecological significance, that water quality shall be maintained and protected.

(b) (Reserved)

[FR Doc. 96-21945 Filed 8-28-96; 8:45 am]
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ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Interior (Department) proposes to amend the livestock grazing regulations of the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) to allow the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) discretion to postpone implementation of the fallback standards and guidelines beyond February 12, 1997, but not to exceed the six month period ending August 12, 1997. The amendment would allow the Secretary to provide additional time for the BLM to collaborate with resource advisory councils (RACs) and the public to develop State or regional standards and guidelines. Without this proposed change to the regulations, fallback standards and guidelines would go into effect on February 12, 1997, despite the fact that work on State or regional standards and guidelines might be nearly complete.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule must be received by September 30, 1996 to be assured of consideration. Comments received or postmarked after this date may not be considered in the preparation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to: Director (420), Bureau of Land Management, Room 401 LS, 1849 C Street, NW, Washington, DC 20240, or the Internet address: WoComment@WO0033wp.wbo.blm.gov. [For Internet, include “Attn: AB89”, and your name and return address.] You may also hand deliver comments to the Bureau of Land Management Administrative Record, Room 401, 1620 L Street, NW, Washington, DC. Comments will be available for public review at the L Street address during regular business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m.), Monday through Friday, except Holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Salt, (202) 208-4896.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Introduction

The current regulations at 43 CFR § 4180.2 require the BLM State Director to develop State or regional standards and guidelines. These standards and guidelines are being developed at the State or regional level, in consultation with affected RACs to reflect local resource conditions and management practices. The standards and guidelines will reflect properly functioning conditions, or those conditions which must be met to ensure sustainability and healthy productive ecosystems and outline best management practices to achieve standards. They will provide the basis for evaluation of rangeland health and subsequent corrective actions. The regulations further provide that in the event State or regional standards and guidelines are not completed and in effect by February 12, 1997, fallback standards and guidelines described in the regulations will go into effect.

The proposed amendment to 43 CFR 4180.2(f) would give the Secretary discretion to postpone the implementation of the fallback standards and guidelines for up to six months. The regulation currently provides that the fallback standards and guidelines automatically go into effect on February 12, 1997, if State or regional standards and guidelines are not completed and in effect by that date. The Department promulgated this provision after receiving comments proposing various timeframes, ranging up to 24 months, for the completion of standards and guidelines. The Department concluded in the final environmental impact statement that 18 months was “an ambitious but realistic” timeframe. The Department of the Interior and Department of Agriculture, Rangeland Reform ’94, Final Environmental Impact Statement 56 (1994). Similarly, the Department stated in the preamble to the Final Rule that existing information and NEPA tiering procedures would enable BLM State Directors to complete the standards and guidelines within 18 months. The Department is proposing this change now because it has become apparent that development of State or regional standards and guidelines might, in some instances, require longer than the 18-month period provided in the regulation.

This discretion to grant up to a six-month extension would ensure that BLM State Directors, working with RACs and the public, will have adequate time to develop appropriate State or regional standards and guidelines. In developing this proposed amendment, the Department considered the benefits of efficient rangeland administration, effective public participation and possible impacts resulting from a minor delay. The Department believes that six months is an appropriate maximum period of extension. The Department seeks comment on whether this is a sufficient period of time or if additional time should be made available. Postponing implementation of the fallback standards and guidelines will enhance the efficient administration and promote the long-term health of public rangelands for two primary reasons. First, where locally developed standards and guidelines are nearly complete, implementation of the more general fallback standards and guidelines on a short term interim basis would be likely to create confusion and increase administrative costs. Second, postponing implementation of the fallback measures will allow the Department of the Interior to achieve its commitment to improving public land management through a collaborative process that utilizes RACs recommendations, local public input and consideration of State or regional public rangeland issues. The Department expects that the amendment will not have a significant impact on the environment since postponement of the fallback standards and guidelines would be for a limited period up to six months. Furthermore, the Department does not anticipate that every BLM State Director would need a postponement.

In determining whether to grant a postponement, the Secretary would evaluate whether the requested postponement would promote administrative efficiencies and long-term rangeland health. The Secretary might consider such factors as the scheduled timing for completion of the State or regional standards and guidelines, whether the delay would promote the efficient administration, use and protection of the public rangelands, and other factors the Secretary deems relevant.

The proposed rule would permit the Secretary the flexibility to postpone implementation of the fallback standards and guidelines when the State or regional standards and guidelines are far from completion or when a postponement would not promote long-term rangeland health.

II. Procedural Matters

National Environmental Policy Act

The BLM is analyzing the impacts of this proposed rule in accordance with section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) [42 U.S.C. 4332(2)]. The BLM anticipates the proposed rule will not have a significant impact on the quality of the human environment, and therefore, preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement would not be necessary. The final rule will be accompanied by the appropriate NEPA documentation.

Executive Order 12630

The BLM has analyzed the takings implications and concluded that this proposed rule does not present a risk of a taking of constitutionally protected private property rights.

Executive Order 12866

The BLM has determined that this proposed rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempted from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order.

Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

The proposed rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act [5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.].

Federal Paperwork Reduction Act

This rulemaking does not contain information collection requirements that require approval by the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

Author

The principal author of this proposed rule is Tim Salt, Western Rangelands Team, BLM.

List of Subjects in 43 CFR Part 4100

Administrative practice and procedure, Grazinglands, Livestock, Penalties, Range management, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

For the reasons stated in the preamble and under the authority of 43 U.S.C. 1740, subpart 4100, part 4100, group 4100, subchapter D, subtitle B, chapter 11 of Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as set forth below:
PART 4100—GRAZING ADMINISTRATION—EXCLUSIVE OF ALASKA

1. The authority citation for part 4100 continues to read as follows:


Subpart 4180—Fundamentals of Rangeland Health and Standards and Guidelines for Grazing Administration

2. Section 4180.2(f) introductory text is revised to read as follows:

§ 4180.2 Standards and guidelines for grazing administration.

(f) In the event that State or regional standards and guidelines are not completed and in effect by February 12, 1997, and until such time as State or regional standards and guidelines are developed and in effect, the following standards provided in paragraph (f)(1) of this section and guidelines provided in paragraph (f)(2) of this section shall apply and will be implemented in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section. However, the Secretary may grant, upon referral by the BLM of a formal recommendation by a resource advisory council, a postponement of the February 12, 1997, fallback standards and guidelines implementation date, not to exceed the six-month period ending August 12, 1997. In determining whether to grant a postponement, the Secretary will consider, among other factors, long-term range and health and administrative efficiencies.

Dated: August 15, 1996.

Sylvia V. Baca,
Acting Assistant Secretary, Land and Minerals Management.

[F.R. Doc. 96–21994 Filed 8–28–96; 8:45 am]