SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Titles: Application for Permit to Drill, Form MMS–123; Sundry Notices and Reports on Wells, Form MMS–124; Well Summary Report, Form MMS–125; Well Potential Test Report and Request for Maximum Production Rate (MPR), Form MMS–126; and Semi-Annual Well Test Report, Form MMS–128.

OMB Control Numbers (Form Numbers): 1010–0044 (MMS–123); 1010–0045 (MMS–124); 1010–0046 (MMS–125); 1010–0039 (MMS–126); 1010–0017 (MMS–128).

Abstract: Section 3506 of the Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that OMB provide interested Federal agencies and the public an opportunity to comment on information collection requests.

The Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act (OCSLA), 43 U.S.C. 1331 *et seq.*, requires the Secretary of the Interior to preserve, protect, and develop oil and gas resources in the OCS; make such resources available to meet the Nation's energy needs as rapidly as possible; balance orderly energy resources development with protection of the human, marine, and coastal environment; ensure the public a fair and equitable return on the resources offshore; preserve and maintain free enterprise competition, and ensure that the extent of oil and natural gas resources of the OCS is assessed at the earliest practicable time. To carry out these responsibilities, the MMS issued rules governing oil and gas and sulphur operations in the OCS. These rules and the associated information collection requirements are contained in 30 CFR Part 250, Subpart D, Drilling Operations; Subpart E, Well-Completion Operations; Subpart F, Well-Workover Operations; Subpart G, Abandonment of Wells; Subpart K, Production Rates; and Subpart P, Sulphur Operations. Various sections of these Subparts require lessees to submit several MMS forms.

Failure to collect this information would prevent the Director from carrying out the mandate of the OCSLA and implementing the provisions contained in 30 CFR Part 250. The following explains how MMS uses the information collected and the consequences if MMS did not collect the information.

a. Form MMS–123, Application for Permit to Drill: MMS uses the information to determine the conditions of a drilling site in order to avoid hazards inherent in drilling operations and to decide whether the drilling operations are safe and environmentally sound. If MMS did not collect this information, we could not ensure that drilling operations were planned to minimize the risks to personnel and the environment.

b. Form MMS–124, Sundry Notices and Reports on Wells: MMS District Supervisors use the information to evaluate the adequacy of the equipment, materials, and/or procedures that the lessee plans to use for drilling, production, well-completion, and well-workover operations. These include deepening and plugging back and well-abandonment operations, including temporary abandonments where the wellbore will be reentered and completed or permanently abandoned. If MMS did not collect this information, we could not review lessee plans to require changes to drilling procedures or equipment to ensure that levels of safety and environmental protection are maintained. Nor could we review information concerning requests for approval or subsequent reporting of well-completion or well-workover operations to ensure that procedures and equipment are appropriate for the anticipated conditions.

c. Form MMS–125, Well Summary Report: MMS District Supervisors use the information to ensure that they have accurate data on the wells under their jurisdiction and to ensure compliance with approved plans. It is also used to evaluate remedial action in well-equipment failure or well-control loss situations.

d. Form MMS–126, Well Potential Test Report and Request for Maximum Production Rate (MPR): MMS District Supervisors use this form to determine the MPR for an oil or gas well. The form contains information concerning the conditions and results of a well-potential test. This requirement carries out the conservation provisions of the OCSLA and 30 CFR Part 250. Failure to collect this information could result in waste of energy resources in the OCS by production at imprudent rates, jeopardizing the ultimate full recovery of hydrocarbons.

e. Form MMS–128, Semi-annual Well Test Report: MMS Gulf of Mexico and Pacific Regional Supervisors use this information to evaluate the results of well tests to find out if reservoirs are being depleted in a way that will lead to the greatest ultimate recovery of hydrocarbons. The form is designed to present current well data on a semiannual basis to allow the updating of permissible producing rates and to provide the basis for estimates of currently remaining recoverable gas reserves.

Description of Respondents: Federal OCS oil and gas lessees.

Frequency: Forms MMS–123, MMS–124, MMS–125, and MMS–126, are on occasion; Form MMS–128 is semi-annual.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 130 respondents for each form.

Estimate of Annual Burden:

MMS–123 1,013 responses @ 2 hrs per response = 2,026 hours.

MMS–124 9,950 responses @ 1 hr per response = 9,950 hours.

MMS–125 2,118 responses @ 1 hr per response = 2,118 hours.

MMS–126 4,040 responses @ 1.4 hr per response = 5,656 hours.

MMS–128 1,716 responses @ 2 hrs per response = 3,432 hours.

Comments: The OMB is required to make a decision concerning the proposed collection of information between 30 and 60 days after publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Therefore, a comment to OMB is best ensured of having its full effect if OMB receives it within 30 days of publication.

Bureau Clearance Officer: Carole deWitt (703) 787–1242.

Dated: July 11, 1996.

Henry G. Bartholomew,

Deputy Associate Director for Operations and Safety Management.

[FR Doc. 96–21431 Filed 8–21–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–M

National Park Service

Jimmy Carter National Historic Site; Advisory Commission Meeting

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Commission Act that a meeting of the Jimmy Carter National Historic Site Advisory Commission will be held at 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., at the following location and date.

DATE: October 1, 1996.

LOCATION: Plains High School Visitor Center/Museum, North Bond Street, Plains, Georgia 31780.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Fred Boyles, Superintendent, Jimmy Carter National Historic Site, Route 1, Box 800, Andersonville, Georgia 31711, (912) 924–0343.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the Jimmy Carter National Historic Site Advisory Commission is to advise the Secretary of the Interior or his designee on achieving balanced and accurate interpretation of the Jimmy Carter National Historic Site.

The members of the Advisory Commission are as follows:

Dr. Steven Hochman
Dr. James Sterling Young
Dr. Donald B. Schewe
Dr. Henry King Stanford

Dr. Barbara Fields

Director, National Park Service, Ex-Officio member

The matters to be discussed at this meeting include the status of park development and planning activities. This meeting will be open to the public. However, facilities and space for accommodating members of the public are limited. Any member of the public may file with the commission a written statement concerning the matters to be discussed. Written statements may also be submitted to the Superintendent at the address above. Minutes of the meeting will be available at Park Headquarters for public inspection approximately 4 weeks after the meetings.

Dated: July 30, 1996.

Jean Belson,

Acting Field Director.

[FR Doc. 96–21363 Filed 8–21–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–M

Mojave National Preserve, Advisory Commission; Notice of Meetings

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that meetings of the Mojave National Preserve Advisory Commission will be held September 11, 1996; assemble at 9:30 AM at the Hole-in-the-Wall Campground, Mojave National Preserve, California. September 12, 1996, leave at 9:30 AM from the Hole-in-the-Wall Information Center, Mojave National Preserve; travel by vehicle to Zzyzx at Soda Dry Lake.

The agenda: Project Agreement for Northern and Eastern Mojave Planning Effort; Status Report update; Wild Horse and Burro Management and Soda Springs Management Options (Zzyzx).

The Advisory Commission was established by Public Law 103–433 to provide for the advice on the development and implementation of the General Management Plan.

Members of the Commission are: Micheal Attaway, Irene Ausmus, Rob Blair, Peter Burk, Dennis Casebier, Donna Davis, Nathan 'Levi' Esquerra, Gerald Freeman, Willis Herron, Eldon Hughes, Claudia Luke, Clay Overson, Norbert Riedy, Mal Wessel.

This meeting is open to the public.

Mary G. Martin,

Superintendent, Mojave National Preserve.

[FR Doc. 96–21362 Filed 8–21–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–70–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Antitrust Division

[Civil Action No. 96–389–BMZ]

United States v. Woman's Hospital Foundation and Woman's Physician Health Organization; Public Comments and United States' Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States publishes below the comments received on the proposed Final Judgment in *United States* v. *Woman's Hospital Foundation and Woman's Physician Health Organization*, Civil Action 96–389–BMZ, United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana, together with the response of the United States to the comments.

Copies of the response and the public comments are available on request for inspection and copying in Room 200 of the U.S. Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 325 7th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530, and for inspection at the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Middle District of Louisiana, United States Courthouse, 777 Florida Street, Suite 208, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801.

Rebecca P. Dick,

Deputy Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

United States' Response to Public Comments

Pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (commonly referred to as the ''Tunney Act''), 15 U.S.C. 16(b)–(h), the United States hereby responds to public comments regarding the Consent Decree proposed to settle this proceeding in the public interest. The United States received several comments from a single source, General Health, Inc. (''General Health''). General Health does not oppose entry of the Consent Decree. Rather, one of its comments points out an inadvertent mistake in the language of the Decree which has been corrected to reflect the original intent of the parties. (A revised Final Judgment will be filed shortly with the Court as an attachment to a motion for entry of the Judgment.) General Health's two other comments suggest additional prophylactic relief. After careful consideration of these comments, the United States concludes that the additional relief suggested by General Health is not necessary because the proposed Consent Decree, as amended, will provide an effective and appropriate remedy for the antitrust violations alleged in the Complaint. Once the public comments and this Response have been published in the Federal Register, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 16(d), the United States will move the Court to enter the Consent Decree.

On April 23, 1996, the United States filed a Complaint alleging that Defendants Woman's Hospital Foundation and Woman's Physician Health Organization (''WPHO'') violated sections 1 and 2 of the Sherman Act, 15 U.S.C. 1, 2. At the same time, the United States filed a proposed Consent Decree, a Stipulation signed by all parties agreeing to entry of the Decree following compliance with the Tunney Act, and a Competitive Impact Statement (''CIS''). On May 6, 1996, the United States filed a Notice of Amendment of Competitive Impact Statement and an Amended Competitive Impact Statement.

Pursuant to the Tunney Act, on May 3, 1996, the Defendants filed the required description of certain written and oral communications made on their behalf. A summary of the terms of the proposed Decree and the CIS and directions for the submission of written comments were published in the *Washington Post* for seven consecutive days, from April 28, through May 4, 1996, and in the *Baton Rouge Advocate* from April 30, through May 7, 1996. The proposed Consent Decree and the CIS were published in the Federal Register on May 10, 1996. 61 FR 21,489 (1996).

The 60-day period for public comments began on May 10, 1996, and expired on July 9, 1996. General Health submitted several comments; the United States is filing them as attachments to this Response. The United States has concluded that the Consent Decree, as amended, reasonably, adequately, and appropriately addresses the harm alleged in the Complaint. Therefore, following publication of the comments and this Response, the United States will move this Court to hold that entry of the proposed Consent Decree, as amended, is in the public interest.

I. Background

Woman's Hospital Foundation owns and operates Woman's Hospital, a facility with 149 staffed acute care beds. Woman's Hospital provides a range of care, including inpatient, outpatient, and home health services, to women and infants in the Baton Rouge area. It is the dominant provider of private inpatient obstetrical care in Baton Rouge.

In the late 1980's, competition among doctors for participation in managed care plans created the opportunity for the entry of other Baton Rouge area