

efficiency in its operations; as well as other issues concerning ISO facilities that participants wish to address.

Panel 6—Bidding and Settlements

The panel will consider the integration of PX bidding and bilateral schedules; the types of information that should flow among the PX, ISO, and market participants; the advantages and disadvantages of an ISO/PX separation; the costs of operations; how, when, and by whom unit commitments should be determined; pricing of ancillary services; and the role of economic criteria in determining curtailments of must-run and must take units in overgeneration situations; as well as other issues concerning bidding and settlements that participants wish to address.

Panel 7—Role of Scheduling Coordinators

The panel will consider the functions, responsibilities, and qualifications of Scheduling Coordinators, including, for example, whether the Scheduling Coordinators are the sole communication link between the ISO and its customers, both during normal system conditions and during emergencies; as well as other issues concerning Scheduling Coordinators that are of concern to the participants.

[FR Doc. 96-20998 Filed 8-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. GT96-90-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Filing of Refund Report

August 13, 1996.

Take notice that on July 30, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) tendered for filing a refund report detailing the allocation to its firm customers of the refund received from the Gas Research Institute (GRI). The refund represents the GRI's overcollection of \$654,157.00 from Tennessee during 1995.

Tennessee states that this refund report is being made to comply with the Commission Order issued February 22, 1995, in Docket No. RP95-124-000. Tennessee states that the report indicates that the pro rata refunds to the affected customers were made through adjustments to their respective July invoices.

Tennessee notes that copies of the refund report were served on each of its customers, interested state commissions, and all persons on the Commission's service list for this proceeding.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

All such protests or motions should be filed on or before August 20, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-20996 Filed 8-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP96-336-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

August 13, 1996.

Take notice that on August 7, 1996, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), submitted for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume 1, the following revised tariff sheet to be effective on September 5, 1996:

Second Revised Sheet No. 328

Tennessee states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Commission's policy prohibiting re-releases of the same firm capacity to the same replacement shipper at less than the maximum tariff rate during the prescribed 28-day period, unless posted for bidding, where the re-released capacity is the same capacity as—or overlaps—the previous month's released capacity. 18 CFR 284.243(h)(2). See Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, 71 FERC ¶ 61,265, p. 62,057 (1995); Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, 71 FERC ¶ 61,235, p. 61,905 (1995).

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to this proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available

for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-21005 Filed 8-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM96-15-29-001]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

August 13, 1996.

Take notice that on August 8, 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing certain revised tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1 which tariff sheets are enumerated in Appendix A attached to the filing.

Transco states that the purpose of the instant filing is to supplement Transco's July 22, 1996, Tracker Filing in Docket No. TM96-15-29-000 (July 22 filing) in order to reflect the currently effective demand rates charged by National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation (National Fuel) under (a) its Rate Schedules X-42 and SS-1, the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under Transco's Rate Schedule LSS, (b) its Rate Schedules X-54 and SS-1, the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under Transco's Rate Schedule SS-2, and (c) its Rate Schedule X-58, the costs of which are included in the rates and charges payable under Transco's Niagara Import Point Project—System Expansion (NIPPs—SE). Transco's July 22 filing failed to recognize a change in National Fuel's demand billing components from an Mcf basis to a Dt basis. Transco also failed to reflect a \$100,000 transportation discount received from National Fuel for its Rate Schedule X-54 in calculating Transco's SS-2 rates.

In order to correct these errors, Transco states that it is submitting substitute tariff sheets herein as replacements for the SS-2, LSS and NIPPs—SE tariff sheets included in the July 22 filing. The tracking filing is being made pursuant to Section 4 of Transco's Rate Schedule LSS, Section 4 of Transco's Rate Schedule SS-2, and Section 8.01(I) of Transco's NIPPs—SE Rate Schedule X-315.

Transco states that included in Appendices B and C attached to the filing are explanations of the rate changes and details regarding the computation of the revised Rate Schedules LSS, SS-2, and NIPPs—SE rates.