

representative rate of the Mexican fresh cut flower industry.

Final Results of Review

We determine that the following dumping margins exist for the period April 1, 1993, through March 31, 1994:

Manufacturer/exporter	Margin (per-cent)
Rancho el Aguaje	0.00
Rancho Guacatay	0.00
Rancho el Toro	0.00
Rancho del Pacifico	0.00
Rancho Daisy	*0.00
Visaflor	*0.00
Tzitzic Tareta	39.95
Rancho Mision el Descanso	39.95
Rancho Alisitos	39.95
Las Flores de Mexico	39.95
Mexipel, S.A. de CV	39.95
All others	18.20

*No shipments subject to this review. Rate is from the last relevant segment of the proceeding in which the firm had shipments.

The following deposit requirements shall be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise that are entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies shall be the above rates; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate shall be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this or any previous review, the cash deposit rate will be 18.28 percent, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative

protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d) or 355.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and section 353.22 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: July 29, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-19862 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-201-820]

Notice of Postponement of Preliminary Antidumping Duty Determination: Fresh Tomatoes From Mexico

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Judith Rudman (202-482-0192) or Jennifer Katt (202-482-0498), Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

POSTPONEMENT OF PRELIMINARY

DETERMINATION: On April 18, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an antidumping duty investigation of fresh tomatoes from Mexico (61 FR 18377, April 25, 1996). The notice of initiation stated that if this investigation proceeds normally, the Department would issue its preliminary determination by September 5, 1996.

In accordance with section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), on July 26, 1996, the petitioners¹ made a timely request for an extension of no more than 30 days of the period within which the preliminary determination must be made. Under section 733(c)(1)(A) of the Act and section 353.15(c) of the

¹ The petitioners in this investigation are: The Florida Tomato Growers Exchange; the Florida Tomato Exchange; the Tomato Committee of the Florida Fruit and Vegetable Association; the South Carolina Tomato Association; the Gadsden County Tomato Growers Association; and an Ad Hoc Group of Florida, California, Georgia, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Virginia Tomato Growers.

Department's regulations if, not later than 25 days before the scheduled date for the preliminary determination, the Department receives a request for postponement of the preliminary determination from the petitioners, the Department will, absent compelling reasons for denial, grant the request. Given that there are no compelling reasons to deny this request, we are postponing our preliminary determination in this investigation until no later than October 7, 1996.

This notice is published pursuant to section 733(c)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 353.15(d).

Dated: July 30, 1996.

Barbara R. Stafford,

Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-19864 Filed 8-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-588-815]

Gray Portland Cement and Clinker From Japan: Initiation and Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review, and Intent To Revoke Order in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation and preliminary results of changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review, and intent to revoke order in part.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from Surecrete, Inc., (Surecrete), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is initiating a changed circumstances antidumping duty administrative review and issuing an intent to revoke in part the antidumping duty order on gray portland cement and clinker from Japan. Surecrete requested that the Department revoke the order in part with regard to imports of New Super Fine Cement from Nittetsu Cement Company, Ltd., of Japan (New Super Fine Cement). Based on the fact that the Ad Hoc Committee of Southern California Producers of Gray Portland Cement (petitioner) has expressed no interest in the importation of New Super Fine Cement as described by Surecrete, we intend to partially revoke this order. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 5, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Amy S. Wei or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution