

NPA: The Advocacy and Resources Corp.
(ARC), Cookeville, Tennessee
Beverly L. Milkman,
Executive Director.
[FR Doc. 96-19700 Filed 8-1-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 61-96]

Foreign-Trade Zone 17—Kansas City, Kansas Area Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Greater Kansas City Foreign Trade Zone, Inc., grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 17, requesting authority to expand its zone in the Kansas City, Kansas area, adjacent to the Kansas City, Missouri, Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on July 24, 1996.

FTZ 17 was approved on December 20, 1973 (Board Order 97, 39 FR 26, 1/2/74) and expanded on January 31, 1989 (Board Order 428, 54 FR 5992, 2/7/89) and January 15, 1993 (Board Order 631, 58 FR 6112, 1/26/93). The zone project currently consists of five sites in the Kansas City area: *Site 1* (405,000 sq. ft.)—6500 Inland Drive, Kansas City; *Site 2* (220,000 sq. ft.)—5203 Speaker Road, Kansas City; *Site 3* (5 acres, 26,000 sq. ft.)—30 Funston Road, Kansas City; *Site 4* (50,000 sq. ft.)—830 Kindleberger Road, Kansas City; and, *Site 5* (23 acres)—1800 South Second Street, Leavenworth.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the general-purpose zone to include two sites in Topeka, Kansas (proposed Sites 6 and 7): *Proposed Site 6* (2,400 acres)—Forbes Field Airport/Topeka Air Industrial Park, 6700 South Topeka Blvd., Topeka; and, *Proposed Site 7* (972 acres)—Philip Billard Airport/Industrial Park Complex, 3600 Sardue, Topeka. Both sites are owned and managed by the Metropolitan Topeka Airport Authority and include air cargo facilities and jet fuel storage/distribution facilities. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to

investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is October 1, 1996. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to October 16, 1996).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce Export Assistance Center, 601 East 12th Street, Rm. 635, Kansas City, MO 64106.

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: July 25, 1996.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,
Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 96-19723 Filed 8-1-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE ADMINISTRATION

[A-427-812]

Calcium Aluminate Flux From France; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from one respondent, Lafarge Fondu International (LFI) and its U.S. subsidiary, Lafarge Calcium Aluminates, Inc. (LCA) (collectively, Lafarge), the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on calcium aluminate (CA) flux from France. This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, Lafarge, for the period June 15, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

We have preliminarily determined that U.S. sales have been made below normal value (NV). If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service

(Customs) to assess antidumping duties equal to the differences between the United States Price (USP) and NV.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issues, and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 2, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maureen McPhillips or John Kugelman, Office 8 of the Deputy Assistant Secretary's Enforcement Group 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-5253.

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 13, 1994, the Department published in the Federal Register (59 FR 30337) the antidumping duty order on CA flux from France. On June 6, 1995 (60 FR 29821), the Department published in the Federal Register a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on CA flux from France. In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(1)(1995), we received a timely request for review from a respondent, Lafarge. We published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on July 14, 1995 (60 FR 36260), for the period June 15, 1994 through May 31, 1995.

The Department is now conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of CA flux, other than white, high purity CA flux. This product contains by weight more than 32 percent but less than 65 percent alumina and more than one percent each of iron and silica.