

investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters not previously reviewed will be 21 percent, the rate established in *Pure Magnesium From Canada: Amendment of Final Determination of Sales At Less Than Fair Value and Order in Accordance With Decision on Remand*, 58 FR 62643, November 29, 1993.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

Interested parties may request disclosure within five days of the date of publication of this notice and may request a hearing within 10 days of the date of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held as early as convenient for the parties but not later than 44 days after the date of publication or the first workday thereafter. Case briefs or other written comments from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttal comments, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, may be filed not later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including its results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: July 24, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-19471 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments

Pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651; 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301), we invite comments on the question of whether instruments of equivalent scientific value, for the purposes for which the instruments shown below are intended to be used, are being manufactured in the United States.

Comments must comply with 15 CFR 301.5(a) (3) and (4) of the regulations and be filed within 20 days with the Statutory Import Programs Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230. Applications may be examined between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 96-067. Applicant: Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 266 Woods Hole Road, Woods Hole, MA 02543. Instrument: 5 Window Beta Detector with Anticoincidence, Model GM-25-5. Manufacturer: Risoe National Laboratory, Denmark. Intended Use: The instrument will be used for sampling the ocean at different depths to estimate carbon fluxes out of the upper water. This is a number that needs to be plugged into ocean/atmosphere models to estimate such things as global warming. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 19, 1996.

Docket Number: 96-068. Applicant: The University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405. Instrument: Multisample Inlet Manifold for Mass Spectrometer. Manufacturer: Pro-Vac Services, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The instrument is an accessory used to automate sample analysis performed with a mass spectrometer which will increase the daily sample processing. The mass spectrometer is used to determine stable isotope abundances of the elements C, O, N and S in natural materials for a variety of environmental, biological and ecological research projects. In addition, the accessory will be used for educational purposes in the course Environmental Isotope Geochemistry. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 20, 1996.

Docket Number: 96-069. Applicant: Arizona State University, Department of Zoology, Box 871501, Tempe, AZ 85287-1501. Instrument: Image Analysis System with Macro Accessory Package. Manufacturer: Imaging Research Inc., Canada. Intended Use: The instrument will be used for *in situ* hybridization studies and *in vitro*

receptor autoradiography. The neurochemical data will be analyzed at various levels of neuroanatomical detail. These experiments will be conducted to determine how stress alters the major neurotransmitter systems in the hippocampus in the hope of understanding how stress alters the functional status of the hippocampus. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 26, 1996.

Docket Number: 96-070. Applicant: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139. Instrument: Compact Geotechnical Centrifuge. Manufacturer: Chiker Technologies, United Kingdom. Intended Use: The instrument will be used to conduct experimental investigations of problems involving soil and groundwater behavior. Experiments will be conducted to examine how contaminants move through soil bodies under a variety of different conditions and under the influence of different body forces. In addition, the experiments will be conducted to investigate the performance of different *in situ* remediation technologies, such as "pump-and-treat," air-sparging and surfactant flushing, that are available for cleaning up contaminated soil sites. The instrument will also be used in the course Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering that teaches the fundamentals of soil behavior and soil-structure interaction. Application accepted by Commissioner of Customs: June 26, 1996.

Frank W. Creel,

Director Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 96-19473 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

Yale University; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Docket Number: 96-023. Applicant: Yale University, New Haven, CT 06510. Instrument: Shielded Gradient System, Model IC60. Manufacturer: Oxford Magnet Technology, United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 61 FR 25622, May 22, 1996.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent

scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) an off-the-shelf actively shielded gradient coil with guaranteed magnetic field specifications and minimized eddy current induction and (2) compatibility with an Oxford superconducting magnet. These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 96-19472 Filed 7-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[C-351-406]

Certain Agricultural Tillage Tools From Brazil; Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil. For information on the net subsidy for the reviewed company, as well for all non-reviewed companies, please see the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative review, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the *Preliminary Results of Review* section of this notice. Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gayle Longest or Anne D'Alauro, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-3338 or (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 22, 1985, the Department published in the Federal Register (57 FR 10885) the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil. On October 5, 1995, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (60 FR 52149) of this countervailing duty order. We received a timely request for review, and we initiated the review, covering the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994 on November 16, 1995 (60 FR 57573).

In accordance with section 355.22(a) of the Department's *Interim Regulations*, this review covers only those producers or exporters of the subject merchandise for which a review was specifically requested (see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duties: Interim Regulations; Request for Comments*, 60 FR 25130 (May 11, 1995)) (*Interim Regulations*). Accordingly, this review covers Marchesan Implementos Argicolas, S.A. This review also covers 5 programs.

As explained in the memoranda from the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration dated November 22, 1995, and January 11, 1996 (on file in the public file of the Central Records Unit, Room B-099 of the Department of Commerce), all deadlines were extended to take into account the partial shutdowns of the Federal Government from November 15 through November 21, 1995, and December 15, 1995, through January 6, 1996. Therefore, the deadline for these preliminary results is no later than July 31, 1996 and the deadline for the final results of this review is no later than 120 days from the date on which these preliminary results are published in the Federal Register.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) effective January 1, 1995 (the Act). The Department is conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are shipments of certain round shaped agricultural tillage tools (discs) with plain or notched edge, such as colters and furrow-opener blades. During the review period, such merchandise was classifiable under item numbers

8432.21.00, 8432.29.00, 8432.80.00 and 8432.90.00 of the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule* (HTS). The HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Verification

As provided in section 782(i) of the Act, we verified information submitted by the Government of Brazil and Marchesan. We followed standard verification procedures, including meeting with government and company officials and examination of relevant accounting and financial records and other original source documents. Our verification results are outlined in the public versions of the verification reports, which are on file in the Central Records Unit (Room B-099 of the Main Commerce Building).

Analysis of Programs

I. Programs Preliminarily Determined To Be Not Used

We examined the following programs and preliminarily determine that the producer and/or exporter of the subject merchandise did not apply for or receive benefits under these programs during the period of review:

- A. Accelerated Depreciation for Brazilian-Made Capital Goods
- B. Preferential Financing for Industrial Enterprises by Banco do Brasil (FST and EGF loans)
- C. SUDENE Corporate Income Tax Reduction for Companies Located in the Northeast of Brazil
- D. Preferential Financing under PROEX (formerly under Resolution 68 and 509 through FINEX)
- E. Preferential Financing under FINEP

Preliminary Results of Review

In accordance with section 355.22(c)(4)(ii) of the Department's *Interim Regulations*, we calculated an individual subsidy rate for each producer/exporter subject to this administrative review. For the period January 1, 1994 through December 31, 1994, we preliminarily determine the net subsidy for Marchesan to be zero percent *ad valorem*.

As provided for in the Act, any rate less than 0.5 percent *ad valorem* in an administrative review is *de minimis*. Accordingly, no countervailing duties will be assessed. If the final results of this review remain the same as these preliminary results, the Department intends to instruct the U.S. Customs Service to liquidate, without regard to countervailing duties, shipments of the subject merchandise from Marchesan