

quarterly; and (8) change the monitoring equipment calibration laboratory from Cimarron site laboratory to Cushing site laboratory.

Prior to the issuance of the proposed amendments, the NRC will have made findings, required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the NRC's regulations. These findings will be documented in a Safety Evaluation Report and an Environmental Assessment. The NRC hereby provides notice that these actions are a proceeding on an application for license amendments falling within the scope of Subpart L, Informal Hearing Procedures for Adjudications in Materials Licensing Proceedings, of the NRC's rules of practice for domestic licensing proceedings in 10 CFR Part 2. Pursuant to § 2.1205(a), any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in accordance with § 2.1205(c). A request for a hearing must be filed within thirty (30) days of the date of publication of this Federal Register notice.

The request for a hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary either:

1. By delivery to the Docketing and Services Branch of the Office of the Secretary at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852-2738; or

2. By mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001 Attention: Docketing and Services Branch.

In addition to meeting other applicable requirements of 10 CFR Part 2 of the NRC's regulations, a request for a hearing filed by a person other than an applicant must describe in detail:

1. The interest of the requester in the proceeding;
2. How that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, including the reasons why the requester should be permitted a hearing, with particular reference to the factors set out in § 2.1205(g);
3. The requester's areas of concern about the licensing activity that is the subject matter of the proceeding; and
4. The circumstances establishing that the request for a hearing is timely in accordance with § 2.1205(c).

In accordance with 10 CFR § 2.1205(e), each request for a hearing must also be served, by delivering it personally or by mail to:

1. The applicant, Kerr-McGee Corporation, Attention: Mr. Jeff J. Lux, Project Manager, P.O. Box 25861, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73125; and
2. The NRC staff, by delivery to the Executive Director for Operations, One

White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, MD 20852, or by mail addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's requests for license amendment dated June 3, 1993, May 10, 1995, and October 20, 1995, and supplementary information, which is available for inspection at the NRC's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC 20555-0001.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this day of July, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Michael F. Weber,
Chief, Low-Level Waste and Decommissioning Projects Branch, Division of Waste Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards.

[FR Doc. 96-18919 Filed 7-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-282 and 50-306]

**Northern States Power Company;
Notice of Withdrawal of Application for
Amendment to Facility Operating
License**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted the request of Northern States Power Company (the licensee) to withdraw a portion of its January 9, 1995, application, as supplemented February 7, March 15, March 22, April 3, and April 20, 1995, for proposed amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-42 and DPR-60 for the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plants, Units 1 and 2, located in Red Wing, Minnesota.

The proposed amendments would have revised the Technical Specifications to allow the use of an alternate steam generator tube plugging criteria for tubes with degradation in tubesheet roll expansion region. The licensee requested the use of both F* and L* acceptance criteria. The Commission granted the licensee's request for use of the F* acceptance criteria in amendments 118 and 111 issued May 15, 1995. The licensee submitted an application for withdrawal of the L* portion in a letter dated May 3, 1996.

The Commission had previously issued a Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments published in the Federal Register on March 15, 1995 (60 FR 14023). However, by letter dated May 3, 1996, the licensee withdrew the L* portion of the proposed change.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated January 9, 1995, and supplemented February 7, March 15, March 22, April 3, and April 20, 1995, and the licensee's letter dated May 3, 1996, which withdrew the application for license amendments. The above documents are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Minneapolis Public Library, Technology and Science Department, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 18th day of July 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Beth A. Wetzel,
Project Manager, Project Directorate III-1, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-18918 Filed 7-24-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket No. 40-08948]

**Notice of Availability of "Draft
Environmental Impact Statement—
Decommissioning of the Shieldalloy
Metallurgical Corporation, Cambridge
Ohio, Facility"**

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) regarding the proposed decommissioning of the Shieldalloy Metallurgical Corporation (SMC), Cambridge, Ohio, facility. This DEIS describes and evaluates the potential environmental impacts of SMC's proposed approach to decommissioning two radiologically contaminated waste piles by capping and stabilizing the piles in place and implementing appropriate land-use restrictions. Based on the evaluations in this DEIS, the NRC staff's preliminary conclusion is that SMC's proposal, with certain mitigative measures, is acceptable with respect to environmental costs and benefits, and there is no obviously superior alternative. The DEIS is a preliminary analysis of the environmental impacts of SMC's proposed approach. The issuance of a final EIS, and any NRC decisionmaking based on a final EIS, will not be made until public comments on the DEIS are received and evaluated.

DATES: NRC will conduct a public meeting to discuss the DEIS and obtain public comment this Fall, in the Cambridge, Ohio area. A meeting