

the "continuance of existing controls and advisories regarding LWBR activities" and the "Monitoring Plan." The DOE report (page 2-9) also notes that "The state of Tennessee and other federal agencies are already implementing the main components of the preferred alternative." With respect to the removal of radioactive sediments, the DOE report (page 2-9) states that "The cost of the preferred alternative is much lower and a more effective use of funds when compared to active remediation of sediments." In other words, a remedy has been developed for the contamination in the LWBR and the purpose of the DOE report is to present that remedy.

Notwithstanding the conclusion that operation of WBNP will not disturb the sediment in the upstream LWBR, the WBNP Technical Specifications (TS) and the associated Offsite Dose Calculation Manual require programs and controls for the control of radioactive effluents from the plant itself. Such controls include limitations on the concentrations of radioactive material released in liquid effluents from the plant. The staff evaluated control of radioactive effluents by WBNP in Section 11 of NUREG-0847, "Safety Evaluation Report related to the operation of Watts Bar Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2." The staff concluded therein that WBNP meets applicable regulations (10 CFR 20.1302; 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix A, General Design Criteria 60, 63, and 64) and other guidance documents and is therefore acceptable for operation.

The NRC staff's review did not substantiate the Petitioner's assertions. The Petitioner did not offer information that indicated any need to revisit the staff's previous evaluations.

III. Conclusion

For the reasons given above, Petitioner's request to rescind the operating license of the WBNP is denied. As explained above, the NRC staff concludes that the Petitioner has not raised any substantial health and safety issues as the staff believes that there is no appreciable threat to the public health and safety presented by WBNP's effluent water. Accordingly, the Petitioner's request for action pursuant to 10 CFR 2.206, as specifically stated in the letter of February 14, 1996, is denied.

A copy of this Final Director's Decision will be filed with the Secretary of the Commission for the Commission's review in accordance with 10 CFR 2.206(c). This Decision will become the final action of the Commission 25 days after issuance unless the Commission,

on its own motion, institutes review of the Decision within that time.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 9th day of July 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

William T. Russell,

Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-18004 Filed 7-15-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DATE: Weeks of July 15, 22, 29, and August 5, 1996.

PLACE: Commissioner's Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of July 15

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of July 15.

Week of July 22—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of July 22.

Week of July 29—Tentative

Monday, July 29

10:00 a.m.

Briefing on Uranium Recovery Program (Public Meeting)
(Contact: Joe Holonich, 301-415-6643)

Tuesday, July 30

10:00 a.m.

Briefing by Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (Public Meeting)

2:00 p.m.

Briefing on Status of Staff Actions on Industry Restructuring and Deregulation (Public Meeting)
(Contact: Dave Mathews, 301-415-1282)

Wednesday, July 31

2:00 p.m.

Briefing on EEO Program (Public Meeting)
(Contact: Ed Tucker, 301-415-7382)

Thursday, August 1

10:00 a.m.

Briefing on Spent Fuel Pool Cooling Issues (Public Meeting)
(Contact: George Hubbard, 301-415-2870)

11:30 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting) (if needed)

Week of August 5—Tentative

There are no meetings scheduled for the Week of August 5.

The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (Recording)-301 415-1292.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Bill Hill (301) 415-1661.

* * * * *

The NRC Commission Meeting Schedule can be found on the Internet at: <http://www.nrc.gov/SECY/smj/schedule.htm>.

This notice is distributed by mail to several hundred subscribers; if you no longer wish to receive it, or would like to be added to it, please contact the Office of the Secretary, Attn: Operations Branch, Washington, D.C. 20555 (301-415-1963).

In addition, distribution of this meeting notice over the internet system is available. If you are interested in receiving this Commission meeting schedule electronically, please send an electronic message to alb@nrc.gov or dkw@nrc.gov.

* * * * *

Dated: July 12, 1996.

William M. Hill, Jr.,

SECY Tracking Officer, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-18149 Filed 7-12-96; 2:24 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-390]

Tennessee Valley Authority, Watts Bar Nuclear Plant; Issuance of Director's Decision Under 10 CFR 2.206

Notice is hereby given that the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation (NRR), has taken action on a Petition of February 14, 1996 (Petition), for action under Section 2.206 of Title 10 of the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS (10 CFR 2.206) filed by Ms. Faith Young (Petitioner) of Dixon Springs, Tennessee. The Petitioner asks that the NRC rescind Watts Bar's license to operate until the alleged issue of increased radioactive contamination of the plant's emission is resolved.

Petitioner believes that the lake containing the water used to cool Watts Bar's core contains sediment previously contaminated by radioactive material.

Over the lifetime of Watts Bar's operation, according to Petitioner, uncontrolled access to the lake will disturb this sediment, which will in turn contaminate water drawn into the plant's cooling system. Petitioner believes that the issue of heightened radioactive contamination of nuclear power plant emissions has not been previously addressed. The Notice of Receipt of Petition Under 10 CFR 2.206 was published in the Federal Register on April 4, 1996 (61 FR 15151).

The Director of NRR determined that the Petition should be denied for the reasons explained in the "Director's