n. fee-generating cases.
o. grantees’ collection of attorneys’ fees.
4. Consider and act on other business.

CONTACT PERSON FOR INFORMATION:
Victor M. Fortuno, General Counsel &
Corporate Secretary, (202) 336–8810.

SPECIAL NEEDS: Upon request, meeting
notices will be made available in
alternate formats to accommodate visual
and hearing impairments. Individuals
who have a disability and need an
accommodation to attend the meeting
may notify Barbara Asante, at (202) 336–
8890.

Dated: July 10, 1996.
Victor M. Fortuno,
General Counsel & Corporate Secretary.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Each year U.S. government agencies create
billions of records on paper, film,
magnetic tape, and other media. In order
to control this accumulation, agency
records managers prepare records
schedules specifying when the agency
no longer needs the records and what
happens to the records after this period.
Some schedules are comprehensive and
cover all the records of an agency or one
of its major subdivisions. These
comprehensive schedules provide for
the eventual transfer to the National
Archives of historically valuable records
and authorize the disposal of all other
records. Most schedules, however, cover
records of only one office or program or
a few series of records, and many are
updates of previously approved
schedules. Such schedules also may
include records that are designated for
permanent retention.

Destruction of records requires the
approval of the Archivist of the United
States. This approval is granted after a
thorough study of the records that takes
into account their administrative use by
the agency of origin, the rights of the
Government and of private persons
directly affected by the Government’s
activities, and historical or other value.

This public notice identifies the
Federal agencies and their subdivisions
requesting disposition authority,
includes the control number assigned to
each schedule, and briefly describes the
records proposed for disposal. The
records schedule contains additional
information about the records and their
disposition. Further information about
the disposition process will be
furnished to each requester.

Schedules Pending
1. Department of Agriculture, Farm
Service Agency (N1–258–96–1). Case
files of audit compliance reviews of
reinsured companies.
2. Department of Agriculture,
Agricultural Research Service (N1–310–
96–3). Routine and facilitative
Congressional Correspondence files.
3. Department of the Air Force (N1–
AFU–96–13). Summary court martial
records.
4. Department of the Air Force (N1–
AFU–96–14). Performance reporting and
quality control records.
5. Department of the Air Force (N1–
AFU–96–15). Ambulatory procedure
visit (medical) records.
6. Department of the Army, U.S. Army
Garrison-Panama (N1–338–96–1).
Architectural drawings pertaining to
minor, insignificant structures.
7. Department of State, All Foreign
Service Posts (N1–84–96–2). Extradition
case files.
8. Department of the Treasury,
Internal Revenue Service (N1–58–96–1
and N1–58–96–2). Background and
input records supporting the agency’s
strategic planning and organization
process.
Technical Information Center (N1–361–
94–4). Routine administrative records.
10. Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety
Board (N1–524–96–1). Routine
administrative records.
11. Federal Mine Safety and Health
Records maintained by the Office of
General Counsel and the Docket Office.
12. General Services Administration
(N1–269–96–2). Reduction in retention
periods for telecommunications engineering records.


14. National Archives and Records Administration (N2–326–96–1). Routine engineering construction project files in the custody of the National Archives relating to the construction and maintenance of supporting facilities at DOE and DOD test sites in the Pacific Ocean Area and Western United States.


Dated: July 3, 1996.

James W. Moore,
Assistant Archivist for Records Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–17766 Filed 7–11–96; 8:45 am]
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NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–416]


The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–29, issued to Entergy Operations, Inc. (the licensee), for operation of the Grand Gulf Nuclear Station, Unit 1, (GGNS), located in Claiborne County, Mississippi.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise the operating license and the antitrust conditions of the license to account for the change in name of Mississippi Power & Light Company (M&L) to Entergy Mississippi, Inc. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated May 6, 1996.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed because the name of Mississippi Power & Light (M&L) will be changed to Entergy Mississippi, Inc. The licensee has stated that this is only a name change, and the corporate existence continues uninterrupted and all legal characteristics remain the same. There is no change in the state of incorporation, registered agent, registered office, directors, officers, rights or liabilities of the company. Nor is there a change in the function of the Company or the way in which it does business. M&L's financial responsibility for GGNS and its sources of funds to support the facility will remain the same. Further, this name change does not impact the existing ownership of GGNS or the existing entitlement to power and will not alter the existing antitrust license conditions applicable to M&L or MP&L's ability to comply with these conditions or with any of its other obligations or responsibilities under the operating license for GGNS.

The licensee also stated that the company, Entergy Mississippi, Inc., will still own all of the same assets as did M&L, serve the same customers, and will continue all the existing obligations and commitments. There is also no change in the management or the procedures that operate GGNS. The financial responsibility for GGNS and the funds to support the facility will remain the same.

The licensee further stated that the name change is being made to improve customer identification by establishing a consistent, well recognized name, "Entergy", for the region that the licensee serves.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the change in company name will have no effect on the radiological and nonradiological operation of the plant.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of any accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite from the plant, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure at the plant. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does not involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for GGNS.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on June 10, 1996, the staff consulted with the Mississippi State official, Robert Goff of the Division of Radiological Health, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated May 6, 1996, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Judge George W. Armstrong Library, 220 S. Commerce Street, Natchez, Mississippi 39120.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 2nd day of July, 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Jack N. Donohew,
Senior Project Manager, Project Directorate IV–1, Division of Reactor Projects III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.
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