

this fact, the Deputy Administrator infers that, since the Respondent is not authorized to practice medicine in California, he also lacks authorization to handle controlled substances in that state.

The DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts his business. 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f), and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51,104 (1993); James H. Nickens, M.D., 57 FR 59,847 (1992); Roy E. Hardman, M.D., 57 FR 49,195 (1992); Myong S. Yi, M.D., 54 FR 30,618 (1989); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11,919 (1988). Here, it is clear that the Respondent is neither currently authorized to practice medicine nor to dispense controlled substances in the State of California. Therefore, the Respondent currently is not entitled to a DEA registration.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824, and 28 C.F.R. 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration, AR9688194 previously issued to Jeffrey Rutgard, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for the renewal of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective August 9, 1996.

Dated: July 3, 1996.  
Stephen H. Greene,  
*Deputy Administrator.*  
[FR Doc. 96-17476 Filed 7-9-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

### **Mukesh H. Shah, M.D.; Revocation of Registration**

On May 23, 1995, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Mukesh H. Shah, M.D., (Respondent), of Cerritos, California, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration, BS0619885, under 21 U.S.C. 824(a)(3), and deny any pending applications for renewal of his registration as a practitioner under 21 U.S.C. 823(f), for the reason that, by order dated April 5, 1994, the Medical Board of California (Medical Board) ordered the revocation of his state

license to practice medicine, effective May 5, 1994. Further, the Show Cause Order noted that, lacking a medical license, the Respondent was no longer authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of California. The order also notified the Respondent that, should no request for a hearing be filed within 30 days, the hearing right would be deemed waived.

The DEA mailed the show cause order to the Respondent at two locations of record with the DEA, one in Cerritos, California, and a second in Brea, California. Subsequently, the DEA received two signed receipts from the United States Postal Service, showing that the orders had been delivered. However, no request for a hearing or any other reply was received by the DEA from the Respondent or anyone purporting to represent him in this matter.

Therefore, the Deputy Administrator, finding that (1) thirty days have passed since the issuance of the Order to Show Cause, and (2) no request for a hearing was received, concludes that the Respondent is deemed to have waived his hearing right. After considering relevant material from the investigative file in this matter, the Deputy Administrator now enters his final order without a hearing pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.54(e) and 1301.57.

The Deputy Administrator finds that, on April 5, 1994, the Medical Board revoked the Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of California, effective May 4, 1994. This order was upheld by the Los Angeles County Superior Court. From these facts, the Deputy Administrator infers that, since the Respondent is not authorized to practice medicine in California, he also lacks authorization to handle controlled substances in that state.

The DEA does not have statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts his business. 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f), and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 FR 51,104 (1993); James H. Nickens, M.D., 57 FR 59,847 (1992); Roy E. Hardman, M.D., 57 FR 49,195 (1992); Myong S. Yi, M.D., 54 FR 30,618 (1989); Bobby Watts, M.D., 53 FR 11,919 (1988). Here, it is clear that the Respondent is neither currently authorized to practice medicine nor to dispense controlled substances in the State of California. Therefore, the Respondent currently is not entitled to a DEA registration.

Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824, and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration, BS0619885, previously issued to Mukesh H. Shah, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for the renewal of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective August 9, 1996.

Dated: July 3, 1996.  
Stephen H. Greene,  
*Deputy Administrator.*  
[FR Doc. 96-17475 Filed 7-9-96; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

### **Federal Bureau of Investigation**

#### **Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**ACTION:** Notice of information collection under review; application for employment/Federal Bureau of Investigation. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval is being sought for the information collection listed below. This proposed information collection was previously published in the Federal Register and allowed 60 days for public comment.

The purpose of this notice is to allow an additional 30 days for public comments from the date listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 Code of Federal Regulation, Part 1320.10. Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC, 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to 202-395-7285. Comments may also be submitted to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Justice Management Division, Information Management and Security Staff, Attention: Department Clearance Officer, Suite 850, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530. Additionally, comments may be submitted to DOJ via facsimile to 202-514-1534. Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies should address one or more of the following points: