

on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17073 Filed 7-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER96-998-001]

Cenerprise, Inc.; Notice of Filing

June 28, 1996.

Take notice that on June 19, 1996, Cenerprise, Inc. (Cenerprise), corporate successor to Cenergy, Inc. (Cenergy), filed an amendment to its application in Docket No. ER96-998-000 filed pursuant to Section 205 of the Federal Power Act, Part 35 of the Commission's Regulations, and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, for an order approving certain changes to Cenerprise's Rate Schedule FERC No. 1 and changes to its Standards of Conduct.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 10, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17074 Filed 7-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER96-1471-000]

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company; Notice of Filing

June 28, 1996.

Take notice that on June 6, 1996, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company tendered for filing an amendment to its June 1, 1996, filing in the above-referenced docket.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of

Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 10, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17075 Filed 7-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-594-000]

El Paso Natural Gas Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 28, 1996.

Take notice that on June 25, 1996, El Paso Natural Gas Company (El Paso), P.O. Box 1492, El Paso, Texas 79978, filed in Docket No. CP96-94-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to operate three existing metering facilities located in San Juan County, New Mexico, as jurisdictional delivery points for delivery of natural gas under El Paso's blanket certificate issued in Docket Nos. CP82-435-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

El Paso states that it installed two metering facilities in connection with the construction and installation of various procession and treating facilities at the Blanco Plant and one metering facility in connection with the pigging facilities on El Paso's 16" O.D. Blanco-Fruitland Line (Line No. 3200) to facilitate the delivery of pipeline quality gas to those facilities for use as fuel. El Paso further states that the Blanco Plant processing and treating facilities and Line No. 3200 have been abandoned by El Paso and are now owned by a different non-regulated entity; however, a need for pipeline quality gas as fuel at these facilities still remains. El Paso states that in order to facilitate the delivery of gas for fuel, El Paso now seeks authorization to utilize the three existing metering facilities as jurisdictional delivery points under a transportation arrangement and to

charge its tariff rates for any deliveries of gas to such points.

El Paso states that pursuant to the spin-down of production-area facilities by El Paso to El Paso Field Services Company (Field Services) as described in El Paso's application at Docket Nos. CP94-183-000 and 001, Field Services acquired, among other things, the Blanco Plant processing and treating facilities and Line No. 3200. El Paso has been informed that Field Services will continue to need pipeline quality gas to be utilized as fuel at the transferred facilities.

El Paso states that the operation of the proposed delivery points at the Blanco Plant processing and treating facilities and the pigging operations on Line No. 3200 is not prohibited by El Paso's existing Volume No. 1-A Tariff. El Paso further states that it will provide interruptible transportation service to the proposed delivery points pursuant to an effective Transportation Service Agreement (TSA) between El Paso and El Paso Gas Marketing Company. In addition, El Paso states that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish the deliveries specified under the TSA without detriment or disadvantage to El Paso's other customers.

El Paso states that the volumes of natural gas to be transported through the proposed delivery points are:

- (a) Blanco Plant Miscellaneous Operating Fuel No. 1—15.63 Mcf per day for an average day;
- (b) Blanco Miscellaneous Operating Fuel No. 2—5.30 Mcf per day for an average day during those months when in use; and
- (c) Dry Gas to Pig 16" Wet Line—1,112 Mcf per day based on a peak day with approximately 2 flow hours total (only used when pigging of Line No. 3200 is necessary).

El Paso believes the delivery of gas through the existing metering facilities will have a negligible effect upon El Paso's peak day and annual deliveries based on its 1995 peak day transportation of 3,224,879 Mcf and a total annual transportation of 872,056,118 Mcf.

The request also states that El Paso's environmental analysis supports the conclusion that the operation of the existing metering facilities as jurisdictional delivery points will not be a major Federal action significantly affecting the human environment.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section

157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17076 Filed 7-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-587-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 28, 1996.

Take notice that on June 21, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP96-587-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 175.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to install a two-inch delivery tap and meter station in Jasper County, Texas under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to install a two-inch tap on its 16-inch line designated as TPL 64 to provide a new delivery point and meter station to serve Entex, Inc. (Entex), which in turn will serve Louisiana Pacific Company in Jasper County, Texas. All work will be within Koch Gateway's existing right of way. The estimated cost of construction is \$21,861 and Entex will reimburse Koch Gateway for the actual cost of the construction.

Koch Gateway states that the installation of this delivery point is not prohibited by its existing tariff and that it has sufficient capacity to accomplish deliveries without detriment or disadvantage to other customers. The proposed delivery point will not have an effect on Koch Gateway's peak day and annual deliveries and the total volumes delivered will not exceed total volumes authorized prior to this request.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of

the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17077 Filed 7-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-589-000]

Koch Gateway Pipeline Company; Notice of Application

June 28, 1996.

Take notice that on June 21, 1996, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company, P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP96-589-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to acquire and operate by sale certain facilities in southeast Louisiana and offshore Louisiana, permission to abandon by sale certain offsystem/offshore facilities in offshore Louisiana, and a declaratory order disclaiming jurisdiction over certain facilities it will acquire from Southern, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 19, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Gateway to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-17078 Filed 7-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 1952]

Maverick County Water Control and Improvement District No. 1; Notice of Authorization for Continued Project Operation

June 28, 1996.

Maverick County Water Control and Improvement District No. 1 has not filed an application for a new or subsequent license for the Maverick County Project No. 1952 pursuant to the Federal Power Act (FPA) and the Commission's regulations thereunder. Project No. 1952 is located on the Rio Grande River in Maverick and Kinney Counties, Texas.

The license for Project No. 1952 was issued for a period ending June 30, 1996. Section 15(a)(1) of the FPA, 16 U.S.C. 808(a)(1), requires the Commission, at the expiration of a license term, to issue from year to year an annual license to the then licensee under the terms and conditions of the prior license until a new license is issued, or the project is otherwise disposed of as provided in Section 15 or any other applicable section of the FPA.

Notice is hereby given that an annual license for Project No. 1952 is issued to Maverick County Water Control and Improvement District No. 1 for a period effective July 1, 1996, through June 30, 1997, or until the issuance of a new license for the project or other disposition under the FPA, whichever comes first. If issuance of a new license (or other disposition) does not take