

ALTERNATIVE ONE

§ 327.37 Attribution of transferred deposits.

This section explains the attribution of deposits to the BIF and the SAIF when one insured depository institution (acquiring institution) acquires deposits from another insured depository institution (transferring institution). For the purpose of determining whether the assumption of deposits (assumption transaction) constitutes a transaction undertaken pursuant to section 5(d)(3) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and for the purpose of computing the adjusted attributable deposit amounts, if any, of the acquiring and the transferring institutions after the transaction:

(a) *Transferring institution—(1) Transfer of primary-fund deposits.* To the extent that the aggregate volume of deposits that is transferred by a transferring institution in a transaction, or in a related series of transactions, does not exceed the volume of deposits that is insured by its primary fund (primary-fund deposits) immediately prior to the transaction (or, in the case of a related series of transactions, immediately prior to the initial transaction in the series), the transferred deposits shall be deemed to be insured by the institution's primary fund. The primary institution's volume of primary-fund deposits shall be reduced by the aggregate amount so transferred.

(2) *Transfer of secondary-fund deposits.* To the extent that the aggregate volume of deposits that is transferred by the transferring institution in a transaction, or in a related series of transactions, exceeds the volume of deposits that is insured by its primary fund immediately prior to the transaction (or, in the case of a related series of transactions, immediately prior to the initial transaction in the series), the following volume of the deposits so transferred shall be deemed to be insured by the institution's secondary fund (secondary-fund deposits): the aggregate amount of the transferred deposits minus that portion thereof that is equal to the institution's primary-fund deposits. The transferring institution's volume of secondary-fund deposits shall be reduced by the volume of the secondary-fund deposits so transferred.

(b) *Acquiring institution.* The deposits shall be deemed, upon assumption by the acquiring institution, to be insured by the same fund or funds in the same amount or amounts as the deposits were so insured immediately prior to the transaction.

ALTERNATIVE TWO

§ 327.37 Attribution of transferred deposits.

This section explains the attribution of deposits to the BIF and the SAIF when one insured depository institution (acquiring institution) assumes the deposits from another insured depository institution (transferring institution). On and after January 1, 1997, for the purpose of determining whether the assumption of deposits constitutes a transaction undertaken pursuant to section 5(d)(3) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, and for the purpose of computing the adjusted attributable deposit amounts, if any, of the acquiring and the transferring institutions after the transaction:

(a) *Attribution of the deposits as to the transferring institution.* The deposits shall be attributed to the primary and secondary funds of the transferring institution in the same ratio as the transferring institution's total deposits were so attributed immediately prior to the deposit-transfer transaction. The transferring institution's stock of BIF-insured deposits and of SAIF-insured deposits shall each be reduced in the appropriate amounts.

(b) *Attribution of deposits as to the acquiring institution.* Upon assumption by the acquiring institution, the deposits shall be attributed to the same insurance funds in the same amounts as the deposits were so attributed immediately prior to the transaction. The acquiring institution's stock of BIF-insured deposits and of SAIF-insured deposits shall each be increased in the appropriate amounts.

(c) *Ratio fixed at start of quarter.* For the purpose of determining the ratio specified in paragraph (a) of this paragraph for any transaction:

(1) *In general.* The ratio shall be determined at the beginning of the quarter in which the transaction occurs. Except as provided in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the ratio shall not be affected by changes in the transferring institution's deposit base.

(2) *Prior acquisitions by a transferring institution.* If the transferring institution acquires deposits after the start of the quarter but prior to the transaction, the deposits so acquired shall be added to the transferring institution's deposit base, and shall be attributed to the transferring institution's primary and secondary funds in accordance with this section.

By order of the Board of Directors.
Dated at Washington, DC, this 17th day of June 1996.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Robert E. Feldman,
Deputy Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 96-16349 Filed 7-2-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6714-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-266-AD]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; De Havilland Model DHC-8-100 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes to revise an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain de Havilland Model DHC-8 series airplanes, that currently requires clearly marking the location and means of entering the lavatory. That AD was prompted by reports of passengers mistaking the airstair door operating handle for the means of gaining access to the lavatory. The actions specified by that AD are intended to prevent inadvertent opening of the airstair door and consequent depressurization of the airplane. This action would limit the applicability of the rule to fewer airplanes.

DATES: Comments must be received by July 29, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-266-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3K 1Y5. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc Goldstein, Aerospace Engineer, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANE-172, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office, Engine and

Propeller Directorate, 10 Fifth Street, Third Floor, Valley Stream, New York 11581; telephone (516) 256-7513; fax (516) 568-2716.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95-NM-266-AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-266-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056.

Discussion

On April 22, 1988, the FAA issued AD 88-09-05, amendment 39-5908 (53 FR 15363, April 29, 1988), applicable to certain de Havilland Model DHC-8-100 series airplanes, to require clearly marking the location and means of entering the lavatory. That action was prompted by reports of passengers mistaking the airstair door operating handle for the means of gaining access to the lavatory. The requirements of that AD are intended to prevent inadvertent opening of the airstair door and consequent depressurization of the airplane.

Explanation of Relevant Service Information

Since the issuance of that AD, de Havilland has issued Revision 'B', dated July 1, 1988, and Revision 'C', dated September 29, 1995, of Service Bulletin S.B. 8-11-14. The modification procedures (Modification 8/0757) specified in these revisions are essentially identical to Revision 'A' of the service bulletin, which was referenced in AD 88-09-05 as the appropriate source of service information. However, the effectivity listing in Revisions 'B' and 'C' has been revised to eliminate certain airplanes on which Modification 8/0757 was installed during production; therefore, these airplanes are not affected by the addressed unsafe condition. The modification clearly marks the location and means of entering the lavatory.

Transport Canada Aviation, which is the airworthiness authority for Canada, classified these service bulletins as mandatory and issued Canadian airworthiness directive CF-87-07R1, dated June 30, 1995, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Canada.

FAA's Conclusions

This airplane model is manufactured in Canada and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the Transport Canada Aviation has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the Transport Canada Aviation, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are certificated for operation in the United States.

Explanation of Requirements of Proposed Rule

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, the proposed AD would revise AD 88-09-05 to continue to require clearly marking the location and means of entering the lavatory. This action would limit the applicability of the existing AD to fewer airplanes.

Cost Impact

There are approximately 30 de Havilland Model DHC-8-100 series airplanes of U.S. registry that would be affected by this proposed AD.

Since this proposed AD merely deletes airplanes from the applicability of the rule, it would add no additional costs, and would require no additional work to be performed by affected operators. The current costs associated with this proposed rule are reiterated below for the convenience of affected operators:

The actions that are currently required by AD 88-09-05, and retained in this proposal, take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Required parts are supplied by the manufacturer at no cost to the operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact on U.S. operators of the actions currently required is estimated to be \$1,800, or \$60 per airplane.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by removing amendment 39-5908 (53 FR 15363, April 29, 1988), and by adding a new airworthiness directive (AD), to read as follows:

De Havilland, Inc.: Docket 95-NM-266-AD. Revises AD 88-09-05, Amendment 39-5908.

Applicability: Model DHC-8 series airplanes, serial numbers 3 through 79, inclusive; on which Modification 8/0757 has not been installed; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent inadvertent opening of the airstair door and consequent depressurization of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 60 days after June 10, 1988 (the effective date of AD 88-09-05, amendment 39-5908), replace the labels marking the location and means of opening the lavatory, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of de Havilland Service Bulletin 8-11-14, Revision 'A', dated July 31, 1987.

Note 2: Replacement accomplished in accordance with de Havilland Service Bulletin 8-11-14, Revision 'B', dated July 1, 1988, or Revision 'C', dated September 29, 1995, is considered acceptable for compliance with this paragraph.

(b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, Engine and Propeller Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, New York ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the New York ACO.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 27, 1996.

S. R. Miller,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 96-16952 Filed 7-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96-AGL-11]

Establishment of Class E Airspace; Miller, SD

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to establish Class E airspace at Miller Municipal Airport, Miller, SD, to accommodate a Nondirectional Radio Beacon (NDB) to serve Runway 15. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 to 1200 feet above ground level (AGL) is needed to contain aircraft executing the approach. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide segregation of aircraft using instrument approach procedures in instrument conditions from other aircraft operating in visual weather conditions.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before August 5, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, AGL-7, Rules Docket No. 96-AGL-11, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois. An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the Air Traffic Division, Operations Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John A. Clayborn, Air Traffic Division, Operations Branch, AGL-530, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018, telephone (847) 294-7568.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions

presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-AGL-11." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket, FAA, Great Lakes Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Inquiry Center, APA-230, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267-3484. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) to establish Class E airspace at Miller Municipal Airport, Miller, SD to accommodate a Nondirectional Radio Beacon to serve Runway 15. Controlled airspace extending upward from 700 to 1200 feet AGL is needed to contain aircraft executing the approach. The intended effect of this action is to provide segregation of aircraft using instrument approach procedures in instrument conditions from other