ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE: July 9–10, 1996.
PLACE: ARRB, 600 E Street, NW., Washington, DC.
STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDED:
1. Review and Accept Minutes of Closed Meeting
2. Review of Assassination Records
3. Other Business

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Thomas Samulok, Associate Director for Communications, 600 E Street, NW., Second Floor, Washington, DC 20530.
Telephone: (202) 724–0088; Fax: (202) 724–0457.
David G. Marwell, Executive Director.
[FR Doc. 96–16860 Filed 6–27–96; 3:03 pm]
BILLING CODE 6118–01–P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Mississippi Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Mississippi Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 6:00 p.m. and recess at 9:00 p.m. on Wednesday, July 10, 1996, and reconvene on Thursday, July 11, 1996, at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 12:00 p.m., at the Delta State University Performing Arts Center, Highway 8, West, Cleveland, MS 38733.

The purpose of the meeting is to allow Committee members to receive a briefing on race relations issues in the aftermath of church burnings.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Melvin L. Jenkins, Director of the Central Regional Office, 913–551–1400 (TDD 913–551–1414). Hearing impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, June 25, 1996.
Carol-Lee Hurley, Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.
[FR Doc. 96–16664 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

Foreign-Trade Subzone 183A—Dell Computer Corporation Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Foreign Trade Zone of Central Texas, Inc., grantee of FTZ 183, requesting authority to expand Subzone 183A at the computer manufacturing facilities of Dell Computer Corporation (Del) located in Austin, Texas. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u) and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on June 19, 1996.

Subzone 183A was approved on November 16, 1992 (Board Order 607, 57 FR 56902; 12/1/92). The subzone currently consists of the following three sites: Site 1: (32 acres) located in the Braker Center Industrial Park, at the intersection of Braker Lane and Metric Boulevard; Site 2: McKalla 2 (124,000 sq. ft.) located at 2500 Mchale Court within the Rutland Center Industrial Park; and, Site 3: Research 1 (100,685 sq. ft.) located at 8701 Research Boulevard, Austin, Texas. Sites 2 and 3 are temporary sites, which expire on December 31, 1996.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the subzone as follows:

1. Expand Site 1 to include three buildings (Braker C, D & F, 9 acres, 143,280 sq. ft.)
2. Add Site 2 to the subzone plan on a permanent basis
3. Expand Site 2 by adding a building (McKalla 1, 135,000 sq. ft.) located at 10220 Mckalla Drive
4. Add Site 3 to the subzone plan on a permanent basis

Dell is authorized to manufacture computers and related products under zone procedures within Subzone 183A. The proposal does not involve any new manufacturing authority under zone procedures.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations (as revised, 56 FR 50790–50808, 10–8–91), a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment (original and 3 copies) is invited from interested parties (see FTZ Board address below). The closing date for their receipt is August 30, 1996. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to September 16, 1996).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:
U.S. Department of Commerce, District Office, 410 E. 5th Street, Suite 414–A, Austin, TX 78711
Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 3716, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230
Dated: June 24, 1996.
John J. Da Ponte, Jr., Executive Secretary.
[FR Doc. 96–16748 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration

Intent To Revoke Antidumping Duty Orders and Findings and To Terminate Suspended Investigations

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of intent to revoke antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate suspended investigations:

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its intent to revoke the antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations listed below. Domestic interested parties who object to these revocations and terminations must submit their comments in writing no later than the last day of July 1996.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Panfeld or the analyst listed under Antidumping Proceeding at:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department may revoke an antidumping duty order or finding or terminate a suspended investigation if the Secretary of Commerce concludes that it is no longer of interest to interested parties. Accordingly, as required by §353.25(d)(4) of the Department’s regulations, we are
notifying the public of our intent to revoke the following antidumping duty orders and findings and to terminate the suspended investigations for which the Department has not received a request to conduct an administrative review for the most recent four consecutive annual anniversary months:

**Antidumping Proceeding**

- **Armenia**
  - Solid Urea
  - A-831-801
  - 52 FR 26366
  - July 14, 1987
  - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Azerbaijan**
  - Solid Urea
  - A-832-801
  - 52 FR 26366
  - July 14, 1987
  - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Belarus**
  - Solid Urea
  - A-822-801
  - 52 FR 26366
  - July 14, 1987
  - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Georgia**
  - Solid Urea
  - A-833-801
  - 52 FR 26366
  - July 14, 1987
  - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Germany**
  - Industrial Nitrocellulose
  - A-428-803
  - 55 FR 28271
  - July 10, 1990
  - Contact: Todd Peterson at (202) 482-4195

- **Iran**
  - In-Shell Pistachio Nuts
  - A-507-502
  - 51 FR 25922
  - July 17, 1986
  - Contact: Valerie Turoscy at (202) 482-0145

- **Japan**
  - Industrial Nitrocellulose
    - A-588-812
    - 55 FR 28268
    - July 10, 1990
    - Contact: Rebecca Trainor at (202) 482-0666
  - Synthetic Methionine
    - A-588-041
    - 38 FR 18382
    - July 10, 1973
    - Contact: Charles Riggle at (202) 482-0650

- **Kazakhstan**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-834-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Kyrgyzstan**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-835-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Latvia**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-449-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Lithuania**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-451-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Moldova**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-841-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Romania**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-485-601
    - 53 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Tom Futtner at (202) 482-3813

- **Russia**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-821-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **South Korea**
  - Industrial Nitrocellulose
    - A-580-805
    - 55 FR 28266

- **Tajikistan**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-842-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **The People’s Republic of China**
  - Industrial Nitrocellulose
    - A-570-802
    - 55 FR 28267
    - July 10, 1990
    - Contact: Rebecca Trainor at (202) 482-0666

- **The Ukraine**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-823-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Turkmenistan**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-844-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

- **Uzbekistan**
  - Solid Urea
    - A-844-801
    - 52 FR 26366
    - July 14, 1987
    - Contact: Thomas Barlow at (202) 482-0410

If no interested party requests an administrative review in accordance with the Department’s notice of opportunity to request administrative review, and no domestic interested party objects to the Department’s intent to revoke or terminate pursuant to this notice, we shall conclude that the antidumping duty orders, findings, and suspended investigations are no longer of interest to interested parties and shall proceed with the revocation or termination.

**Opportunity To Object**

Domestic interested parties, as defined in § 353.2(k) (3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations, may object to the Department’s intent to revoke these antidumping duty orders and findings or to terminate the suspended investigations by the last day of July 1996. Any submission to the Department must contain the name and case number of the proceeding and a statement that explains how the objecting party qualifies as a domestic interested party under § 353.2(k) (3), (4), (5), and (6) of the Department's regulations.
Seven copies of such objections should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B–099, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230. You must also include the pertinent certification(s) in accordance with § 353.31(g) and § 353.31(i) of the Department’s regulations. In addition, the Department requests that a copy of the objection be sent to Michael F. Panfeld in Room 4203. This notice is in accordance with 19 CFR 353.25(d)(4)(i).

Dated: June 24, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96–16747 Filed 6–28–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[8–570–843]

Notice of Amendment of Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Bicycles From the People’s Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1996.


Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 by the Uruguay Rounds Agreements Act (URAA).

Amendment to the Final Determination

We are amending the final determination of sales at less than fair value of bicycles from the People’s Republic of China (PRC), to reflect the correction of ministerial errors made in the margin calculations in that determination. Although the International Trade Commission (ITC) has already issued its negative final injury determination in this investigation, we are publishing this amendment to the final determination in accordance with section 353.28(c) of the Department’s regulations.

Scope of Investigation

The product covered by this investigation is bicycles of all types, whether assembled or unassembled, complete or incomplete, finished or unfinished, including industrial bicycles, tandems, recumbents, and folding bicycles. For purposes of this investigation, the following definitions apply irrespective of any different definition that may be found in Customs rulings, U.S. Customs law, or the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS): (1) The term ‘‘unassembled’’ means fully or partially unassembled or disassembled; (2) the term ‘‘incomplete’’ means lacking one or more parts or components with which the complete bicycle is intended to be equipped; and (3) the term ‘‘unfinished’’ means wholly or partially unpainted or lacking decals or other essentially aesthetic material. Specifically, this investigation is intended to cover: (1) Any assembled complete bicycle, whether finished or unfinished; (2) any unassembled complete bicycle, if shipped in a single shipment, regardless of how it is packed and whether it is finished or unfinished; and (3) any incomplete bicycle, defined for purposes of this investigation as a frame, finished or unfinished, whether or not assembled together with a fork, and imported in the same shipment with any two of the following components: (a) The rear wheel; (b) the front wheel; (c) a rear derailleur; (d) a front derailleur; (e) any one caliper or cantilever brake; (f) an integrated brake lever and shifter, or separate brake lever and click stick lever; (g) crankset; (h) handlebars, with or without a stem; (i) chain; (j) pedals; and (k) seat (saddle), with or without seat post and seat pin.

The scope of this investigation is not intended to cover bicycle parts except to the extent that they are attached to or in the same shipment as an unassembled complete bicycle or an incomplete bicycle, as defined above.

Complete bicycles are classifiable under subheadings 8712.00.15, 8712.00.25, 8712.00.35, 8712.00.44, and 8712.00.48 of the 1995 HTSUS. Incomplete bicycles, as defined above, may be classified for tariff purposes under any of the aforementioned HSU subheadings covering complete bicycles or under HTSUS subheadings 8714.91.20–8714.99.80, inclusive (covering various bicycle parts). The HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this investigation is dispositive.

Case History

In accordance with section 735(d) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), on April 30, 1996, the Department published its final determination that bicycles from the PRC were being, or were likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value (61 FR 19026). Subsequent to the final determination, we received allegations that the Department made ministerial errors in the margin calculations.

On June 12, 1996, the Department was formally notified by the ITC that an industry in the United States is not materially injured or threatened with material injury, and the establishment of an industry in the United States is not materially retarded by reason of imports of bicycles from the PRC that are sold in the United States at less than fair value.

Amendment of Final Determination

On May 1, 1996, Chitech Industries Ltd. (Chitech) submitted allegations that ministerial errors were made in the Department’s final determination. On May 2, 1996, petitioners submitted ministerial error allegations with regard to China Bicycle Co. (Holdings) Ltd. (CBC). On May 3, 1996, CBC, CATIC Bicycle Co., Ltd. (CATIC), Giant China Co., Ltd. (Giant), and Hua Chin Bicycle (S.Z.) Co., Ltd. (Hua Chin) submitted their ministerial error allegations. On May 6, 1996, Bo An Bicycle (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. (Bo An), Merida Bicycle (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. (Merida), Shenzhen Overlord Bicycle Co., Ltd. (Overlord), and Universal Cycle Corporation (Guangzhou) (Universal) submitted their ministerial error allegations. Also, on May 6, 1996, petitioners submitted additional ministerial error allegations. On May 7, 1996, petitioners responded to CATIC, Merida, Giant, and Hua Chin’s ministerial error allegations.

For a detailed discussion of the alleged ministerial errors and the Department analysis, see, the memo from The Team to Paul Joffe, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, regarding Clerical Error Allegations in the Final Determination of Bicycles From the People’s Republic of China, dated May 10, 1996. (Clerical Error Memo). Pursuant to section 735(e) of the Act and section 353.28(c) of the Department’s regulations, we have corrected the ministerial errors in the final determination. However, certain alleged errors were not corrected because we determined that they were not ministerial errors. See, Clerical Error Memo. The revised final weighted-average dumping margins are as follows: